Title: Smelting condition identification for a fused magnesium furnace based on an acoustic signal

#### Author names and affiliations:

You Fu<sup>a</sup>, Ninghui Wang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Zhen Wang<sup>b</sup>, Zhiqiang Wang<sup>a</sup>, Bing Ji<sup>c</sup>, Xiaochen Wang<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Electrical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China
 <sup>b</sup>School of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China
 <sup>c</sup>Department of Engineering, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK
 <sup>d</sup>Environmental Engineering Assessment Center of Liaoning Province, Shenyang, 110032, China

*E-mail address*: ninghuiw@263.net (N. Wang), benjamin85100@126.com (Y. Fu), ueyou@sina.com (Z. Wang), wangzq@dlut.edu.cn (Z. Wang), bing.ji@leicester.ac.uk (B. Ji), wangxiao@163.com (X. Wang).

#### Corresponding author: Prof. Ninghui Wang

Address: Institute of electrostatics & special power supply, Dalian University of Technology, No. 2 Linggong Road, Gangjingzi District, Dalian, China.
Postal code: 116024 *E-mail address*: ninghuiw@263.net
Tel.: +86 0411 84708576; Fax: +86 0411 84708576.

3 Abstract

4 To promote energy efficiency during fused magnesium furnace smelting, four 5 smelting states were introduced in the smelting stage: an unmelted state, semi-molten 6 state, molten state, and overheating state. A smelting identification system to 7 distinguish these smelting states was developed through the use of linear predictive coding and a principal component analysis algorithm. A new smelting condition 8 9 identification system was obtained. Corresponding pilot productions were conducted 10 to compare the differences between employing the method and not employing the 11 method. All of the pilot production data showed that feeding raw materials over time 12 during the overheating state and decreasing current injection in the molten state could 13 reduce energy consumption as well as increase crystal purity.

## 14 Keywords:

Acoustic signal; Fused magnesium furnace; Linear predictive coding; Principal
component analysis.

## 17 **1. Introduction**

18 Fused magnesia is an essential material that has been widely used in many

1	industries, such as the chemical industry, metallurgical industry, electric apparatus
2	industry, and aerospace industry. High-purity fused magnesia is mainly produced by
3	the unique three phase ac fused magnesium furnace (FMF). Fig. 1 shows a schematic
4	diagram of FMF. It is well known that FMF smelts ore at a high temperature produced
5	by arcs. However, loud noises are often produced, which are caused by the strong
6	vibration of arcs inside the FMF. Moreover, the acoustic signal from these arcs
7	contains a wealth of information regarding the FMF smelting condition. If analyzed
8	properly, the acoustic signal can be used as a significant parameter to construct the
9	next generation FMF intelligent control system. Drouet and Nadeau (1982)
10	investigated the power of the arcs and time integral of the acoustic signal using an
11	oscilloscope and demonstrated their remarkable correspondence. Lv et al. (2013)
12	investigated the correlation between the arc sound signal and arc length by analyzing
13	the characteristics of the arc sound during the welding process. Fu et al. (2015) found
14	that the arc noise intensity of each characteristic frequency shows different
15	distributions in different operation states of the FMF. Matschullat et al. (2012)
16	proposed a sound-based control methodology for smelting and foaming slag. In recent
17	years, the linear predictive coding (LPC) method and principal component analysis
18	(PCA) have been widely used for acoustic signal recognition. Mohammed et al.
19	(2012) used the LPC method in the identification of spoken language. Xie et al. (2012)
20	applied PCA to reconstruct the power spectra of acoustic signals.



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of a fused magnesia furnace.

2 In general, the smelting process of FMF can be divided into 3 stages: the starting 3 stage, smelting stage, and ending stage. The smelting stage consumes more than 4 ninety percent of the total electric energy in the FMF smelting process. Obviously, it 5 is the most significant stage in throughout FMF smelting. In this stage, raw materials 6 are dumped into the FMF every ten to fifteen minutes by stove workers. The raw material feeding moment has an enormous influence on the production efficiency. The 7 8 materials cannot be melted completely if the raw materials are added into the FMF too 9 early. Conversely, the materials would be overheated and high temperature melts 10 would spurt out of the furnace if raw materials were added to the FMF too late. 11 During the last decade, numerous FMF control systems have been created by 12 Northeastern University, China. Wu et al. (2008) proposed an intelligent optimal 13 control strategy using case-based reasoning for fused magnesia production. Wu et al. 14 (2009) proposed a control method for the FMF smelting process based on rules

1 acquired from operational experience. Wu et al. (2011) applied a neural network 2 controller in a magnesium plant to reduce energy consumption. Wu et al. (2012) 3 developed an intelligent operation control system by combing rule-based reasoning 4 and switching control methodology. Wu et al. (2015) presented a data-driven identification and self-healing control system to address the abnormal conditions of 5 6 the FMF smelting process. Three-phase voltages and currents are the main parameters of the FMF control system. Arcs are submerged under materials during the FMF 7 8 smelting stage. The arc current and arc voltage cannot be measured directly. The 9 complicated interactions between the arcs and materials cannot be described by the 10 three phase voltages and currents. The main function of the FMF control system 11 during the smelting stage is to passively adjust the height of the three electrodes to 12 maintain the balance of the three phase currents. The smelting conditions of the 13 materials cannot be identified automatically. Stove workers must determine when to 14 feed raw materials into the furnace according to their experience. The smelting stage 15 usually lasts eight to nine hours, and the stove workers must feed tons of raw 16 materials every ten to fifteen minutes. Consequently, manual feeding brings a number of uncertain factors into the production of fused magnesia. A smelting condition 17 18 identification system must be developed for the fused magnesia production line to 19 realize automatic feeding of FMF.

In this investigation, an FMF smelting condition identification method based onthe acoustical signal of arcs was proposed to improve the production efficiency of

1 fused magnesia. A significant characteristic of this method was that a sound track model of arcs was introduced to distinguish different smelting conditions during the 2 FMF smelting stage. First, some vectors, which represent different smelting 3 4 conditions, were extracted from the arc sound using linear predictive coding (LPC). Next, through PCA, the dimensions of these vectors were reduced. Subsequently, a 5 6 status map of the arc sound signal was constructed to distinguish different FMF smelting conditions. Thus, an online FMF smelting condition identification system 7 8 based on both LabVIEW and Matlab was developed. The proposed FMF smelting 9 condition identification system can replace stove workers to determine when to feed 10 raw materials into FMF during the smelting stage. Pilot production proved that arranging the feeding time properly with the proposed smelting condition 11 12 identification method can both reduce the energy consumption per ton and promote 13 the purity of MgO crystals.

### 14 **2. Sound track model of arcs**

The evidence from high-speed photographic studies proved that arcs exist between the graphite electrodes and molten materials during the smelting stage (**Reynolds, 2011**). The axial temperature produced by the arcs in the EAF is typically over 10 000 °C, thus causing the air around the arcs to ionize at high temperature (**Zweben, 2002**). Molecules are ionized into positive ions and electrons. Through the electric field, positive ions converge into ion flow, whereas the electrons converge

1 into electron flow. The arc is a type of plasma composed of neutral particles, positive 2 ions, and electrons. The flow of plasma induces vibration of the surrounding medium. 3 Thus, the vibration propagates in the form of sound waves both in and out of the FMF. 4 As a result, it is envisaged that the whole FMF could be equivalent to a resonant 5 cavity. The reciprocity between the arcs and the raw materials changes the arc length. 6 Meanwhile, the characteristics of the arc track in the FMF change under different 7 smelting conditions. Different smelting conditions of the FMF can be distinguished 8 according to the characteristics of the arc sound track. Bi et al. (2011) considered the 9 arc sound track as a distributed system and adopted an auto regression model to 10 estimate the arc sound track:

11 
$$H(z) = \frac{s(z)}{u(z)} = \frac{G}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{P} a_k z^{-k}}$$
(1)

12 where H(z) is the transfer function of a certain smelting condition, s(z) is the Z 13 transformation of s(n), s(n) is the acoustic output signal sequence of FMF arc sound, 14 u(z) is the Z transformation of u(n), u(n) is the excitation source of s(n), G is the gain 15 factor, p is the order number of the full pole model, and  $a_k$  is the parameter of the 16 model; each smelting condition corresponds to a certain set of parameters. If the order 17 number of the model p and parameter  $a_k$  of each smelting conditions are known, then 18 the computer can distinguish between different FMF smelting conditions instead of 19 stove workers.

## **3. FMF sound track parameters estimation**

The parameters of the FMF sound track model were estimated using the LPC
method. The calculation progress of LPC can be summarized in the following steps.
First, the difference equation between s(n) and u(n) is induced according to

5 equation (1):

6 
$$s(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} a_k s(n-k) + Gu(n)$$
 (2)

7 Second, a predictor is defined as follows:

8 
$$\hat{s}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} a_k s(n-k)$$
 (3)

9 Third, the forecast error is calculated using the following formula:

10 
$$e(n) = s(n) - \hat{s}(n) = s(n) - \sum_{k=1}^{p} a_k s(n-k)$$
(4)

To ensure the channel model describes the arc sound as precisely as possible, the mean square error of the forecast error should reach its minimum. Thus, the energy of the average forecast error is defined by the following formula:

14 
$$E = \sum_{n} e^{2}(n) = \sum_{n} [s(n) - \hat{s}(n)]^{2} = \sum_{n} [s(n) - \sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{k} s(n-k)]^{2}$$
(5)

16 equation:

15

17 
$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial a_{j}} = 2\sum_{n} s(n)s(n-j) - 2\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{k}\sum_{n} s(n-k)s(n-j) = 0$$
(6)

18 Next, a set of linear prediction equations was obtained:

To ensure E reaches its minimum, each parameter  $a_k$  should satisfy the following

1 
$$\sum_{n} s(n)s(n-j) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} a_k \sum_{n} s(n-k)s(n-j) \quad (1 \le j \le p)$$
(7)

Further, a new operator  $\Phi(j, k)$  is constructed for the convenience of calculation:

$$\Phi(j,k) = \sum_{n} s(n-j)s(n-k)$$
(8)

4 The linear prediction equations (7) can be represented as follows:

5 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{j} \Phi(j,k) = \Phi(j,0) \quad (1 \le j \le p)$$
(9)

6 Next, an autocorrelation function r(j) is defined as:

2

3

7

9

$$r(j) = \sum_{n} s(n)s(n-j)$$
(10)

8 It can be proved that the relationship between  $\Phi(j, k)$  and r(j) is as follows:

$$\Phi(j,k) = \Phi(k,j) = r(|j-k|) \tag{11}$$

10 Thus, equation (9) can be represented as follows:

11
$$\begin{bmatrix} r(0) & r(1) & r(2) & \cdots & -1 \\ r(1) & r(0) & r(1) & \cdots & 2 \\ r(2) & r(1) & r(0) & \cdots & -3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ r(p-1) & r(p-2) & r(p-3) & \cdots & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_3 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_1 \\ a_1 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \\ a_$$

12 The matrix on the left side of the equation (12) is named the correlation function 13 matrix. In addition, it can be observed that the symmetric axis of this matrix is its 14 primary diagonal. All of the elements on the primary diagonal are equal. All of the 15 elements on any oblique stroke that are parallel to the primary diagonal are equal. 16 This type of matrix is called a Toeplitz matrix. Equation (12) is called the Yule-Walker 17 equation. To avoid a large number of calculations, the Levinson-Durbin recursive 18 algorithm was used to obtain the coefficients  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p$ .

 $8 s_w(n) = s(n)w(n) (13)$ 

9 where w(n) is a window function and  $s_w(n)$  is the windowed signal of s(n).

## 10 4. Experimental setup

11 The experimental setup of the FMF smelting condition identification system is 12 shown in Fig. 2; the system included a microphone, tripod, SMB-BNC cable, 13 dynamic signal acquisition module, lithium battery, Ethernet chassis, network cable, 14 and industrial personal computer. The microphone was G.R.A.S. 40PH free field array 15 microphone, which was fixed on a tripod with telescopic legs. The SMB-BNC cable 16 connected the microphone and the dynamic signal acquisition module. The dynamic 17 signal acquisition module that was used to perform the high accuracy audio frequency measurements was a NI 9234. The resolution of the module was 24 bits. The module 18 19 was plugged into an Ethernet chassis (NI 9181). For the convenience of measurement in the smelting site, a 50 000 mAh lithium battery was used as the power supply of the 20

Ethernet chassis. A network cable was used to connect the Ethernet chassis and an industrial personal computer. Both FMF sound signal acquisition and sound track parameter estimation were realized with LabVIEW graphic coding. The industrial personal computer sent control instructions to the operating board of the FMF via a

5 serial port.

6

7

8



Fig. 2. Experimental setup for the FMF smelting condition identification system. The position of the FMF sound measurement point is shown in Fig. 3. The microphone and tripod were placed at the measurement point. The telescopic legs of

9 the tripod were adjusted to set the microphone 2 m above the furnace bottom. The 10 FMF sound signal acquired at the measurement point in Fig. 3 contains the sound 11 emitted from both the furnace top and furnace shell. Moreover, the FMF sound signal 12 at that point could not be masked by the noise from the transformer (Haering et al, 13 1979). In the present work, the newly built FMF with the power of 5 000 kVA was 14 taken as the research object. The detail dimension of the FMF is shown in Table 1.



Fig. 3. The position of the FMF sound measurement point.

**Table 1**Dimensions of the fused magnesium furnace.

Items	Dimension (mm)
Electrode diameter	350
Diatance between electrodes	880
Electrode operating depth	2 000
Furnace shell diameter	2 500
Furnace shell height	2 000

1

#### **3 5. Experiments and analysis**

#### 4 5.1 LPC waveform reconstruction

According to the time-frequency characteristics of the FMF arc sound (**Fu et al.**, **2015**), the pitch period of the FMF sound was identified to be 10 ms. In addition, the frame length was 24 ms, and the sample points of each frame was 1200. Next, a frame of FMF sound in the smelting stage was acquired. Afterwards, the acquired acoustic signal was multiplied by the Hamming window. Specifically, the Hamming window was implemented using a subroutine module in the LabVIEW Functions Tab. Next,

1	the Levinson-Durbin recursive algorithm was adopted to obtain the coefficients $a_1$ ,
2	$a_2, \dots, a_p$ . It is well known that higher a LPC order number leads to higher prediction
3	accuracy. The calculation amount increases with the order number. In practical
4	engineering application, a small order number can be used in the calculation as long
5	as the mean forecast error meets the requirement. Bi et al. (2011) used a 10-order LPC
6	model to estimate the arc sound track in metal inert gas welding and obtained
7	satisfactory results. In this investigation, a 10-order LPC model was used to
8	reconstruct the FMF sound signal. The LPC function in Matlab was used to calculate
9	the LPC coefficients and to reconstruct the waveform. The LPC reconstructed
10	waveform of a frame FMF sound signal during the smelting stage is illustrated in Fig.
11	4. One can observe that the reconstructed signal waveform was consistent with the
12	windowed signal waveform. Moreover, the relative tolerance of the reconstructed
13	signal was generally smaller than 5%. Ten-order LPC analysis is found to be a
14	reasonable estimate for the FMF sound track.



Fig. 4. LPC reconstructed waveform of the FMF sound



2 5.2 Feature vectors extract



(7) Calculate the average LPC parameters value of each smelting condition in

2 Step (6).

1

The average value of the 10-order LPC parameters of each smelting condition are listed in **Table 2**. The LPC parameters of the FMF sound track model were used to develop the smelting condition feature vector during the smelting stage,  $(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{10})$ .

State	Unmelted	Semi-molten	Molten	Overheating
<i>a</i> <sub>1</sub>	-1.057	-1.317	-1.355	-0.864
$a_2$	0.398	0.649	1.093	0.371
a <sub>3</sub>	-0.391	-0.436	-0.826	-0.368
$a_4$	0.504	0.345	0.728	0.236
$a_{5}$	-0.263	-0.238	-0.366	-0.184
$a_6$	0.227	0.276	0.610	0.182
<i>a</i> <sub>7</sub>	-0.035	0.050	-0.462	-0.049
$a_{8}$	0.195	-0.142	0.081	0.077
a <sub>9</sub>	-0.218	0.086	-0.061	-0.073
$a_{10}$	0.071	-0.046	0.111	0.024

 Table 2
 Average LPC parameters in different smelting states.

7

8

9

The following 10D smelting condition feature vectors were used to predict the unmelted state, semi-molten state, molten state, and overheating state:

<sup>10</sup> 
$$A_1 = (-1.057, 0.398, -0.391, 0.504, -0.263, 0.227, -0.035, 0.195, -0.218, 0.071)^T (14)$$

11 
$$A_2 = (-1.317, 0.649, -0.436, 0.345, -0.238, 0.276, 0.050, -0.142, 0.086, -0.046)^T (15)$$

<sup>12</sup> 
$$A_3 = (-1.355, 1.093, -0.826, 0.728, -0.366, 0.610, -0.462, 0.081, -0.061, 0.111)^T (16)$$

<sup>13</sup> 
$$A_4 = (-0.864, 0.371, -0.368, 0.236, -0.184, 0.182, -0.049, 0.077, -0.073, 0.024)^T (17)$$

1	These four smelting condition feature vectors can be used to distinguish the
2	different smelting states. The 10D smelting condition vector is inconvenient to
3	graphically illustrate. There may be a relationship between the parameters of a certain
4	smelting state. To reduce the correlation and redundancy between the parameters, the
5	dimensions of the smelting condition feature vectors should be reduced. Theoretically,
6	the smelting condition feature vectors are dependent on the conditions of the arc
7	sound track inside the furnace. Different process parameters, such as the arc current,
8	arc voltage and raw material feeding moment, cannot change the sound track
9	characteristics of the electric arc furnace. These 4 smelting condition feature vectors
10	that are suitable for all of the fused magnesium furnace smelting processes, with
11	different process parameters for the same furnace.

# 12 5.3 PCA dimension reduction

13	High-dimensional feature vectors are difficult to understand and impossible to
14	display on the computer screen. On the contrary, low-dimensional vectors, such as
15	one-dimensional vectors and two-dimensional vectors, can be easily displayed on the
16	screen and improve the system operation speed. The PCA method can be used to
17	reduce the vector dimensions. The fundamental principle of PCA is to map
18	high-dimensional feature vectors to a low-dimensional space. Low-dimensional
19	vectors can represent the smelting state instead of high-dimensional vectors. The
20	advantages of PCA dimensional reduction are reduced system resources and faster

1 processing of feature matching. The steps of PCA dimension reduction for the FMF

2 sound signal are as follows:

3 (1) Construct the matrix of the four smelting condition feature vectors.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{1} & \mathbf{A}_{2} & \mathbf{A}_{3} & \mathbf{A}_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{p1} & a_{p2} & a_{p3} & a_{p4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

5 where p is the dimension of the smelting condition feature vector, p = 10.

6 (2) Take each element in matrix **A** and subtract the mean value of all of the 7 elements on its corresponding line. As a result, a new matrix is obtained:

8 
$$\mathbf{A}^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{*} & a_{12}^{*} & a_{13}^{*} & a_{14}^{*} \\ a_{21}^{*} & a_{22}^{*} & a_{23}^{*} & a_{24}^{*} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{p1}^{*} & a_{p2}^{*} & a_{p3}^{*} & a_{p4}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
(19)

9 
$$a_{ij}^{*} = a_{ij} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{4} a_{ik}$$
 (20)

10 (3) Calculate the covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{A}^*$ :

11 
$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{p} \mathbf{A}^* (\mathbf{A}^*)^T$$
(21)

12 (4) Solve both the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrix C from the following13 equation:

14

4

 $\left|\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C}\right| = \mathbf{0} \tag{22}$ 

15 Sort these eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  (*i*=1, 2, ..., *p*) from large to small,  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_p$ .

16 (5) Calculate the accumulative contribution rate of each eigenvalue and17 determine the dimensions of the feature vector after dimension reduction.

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{i} \lambda_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{p} \lambda_{k}}$$
(23)

The accumulative contribution rates of all of the eigenvalues are shown in **Table 3 .** The accumulative contribution rate of the first two eigenvalues was obviously greater than 95%. The principal components corresponding to the first two eigenvalues could be used to distinguish different smelting states instead of the 10D smelting condition feature vectors.

	Eigenvalue	Accumulative contribution rate (%)	
$\lambda_1$	0.093	78.7	
$\lambda_2$	0.020	95.8	
$\lambda_3$	0.005	100	
$\lambda_{4\sim}\lambda_{10}$	0	100	

 Table 3
 The accumulative contribution rate of each eigenvalue.

7

8 (6) Use the eigenvectors  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  to construct a transform matrix **T**. Here,  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ 

9 correspond to the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , respectively.

10 
$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}_{1} & \mathbf{t}_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.331 & 0.452 \\ 0.592 & -0.201 \\ -0.382 & -0.085 \\ 0.339 & 0.281 \\ -0.130 & -0.057 \\ 0.348 & 0.046 \\ -0.368 & -0.344 \\ -0.012 & 0.543 \\ 0.039 & -0.451 \\ 0.067 & 0.219 \end{bmatrix}$$
(24)

11 (7) Map the matrix of the four smelting condition feature vectors **A** to a new 2D

1 space via the transform matrix **T**.

2

 $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{AT}$ 

(25)

B is a matrix with four rows and two columns. The elements on the same row are
the principal components of a smelting state and correspond to a point in the 2D space.
Table 4 shows the principal components of the four different smelting states.

State	$b_1$	$b_2$
Unmelted	1.026	-0.125
Semi-molten	1.214	-0.708
Molten	2.092	-0.253
Overheating	0.829	-0.252

 Table 4
 The principal components of different smelting states.

6

#### 7 5.4 Smelting state identification

8 Fig. 5 shows the positions of the four sets of principal components in rectangular 9 coordinates. On this FMF smelting status map, Point 1 is the benchmark of the 10 unmelted state, Point 2 is the benchmark of the semi-molten state, Point 3 is the 11 benchmark of the molten state, and Point 4 is the benchmark of the overheating state. 12 During both the unmelted state and overheating state, the drastic fluctuations of arcs cause the instability of the FMF sound. The sound track features of these two smelting 13 14 states are very similar. Point 1 and Point 4 are found to be nearest to each other, 15 whereas, in the molten state, the blazing arcs inside the FMF produce a large noise. 16 The benchmark of the molten state is located far from the other three benchmarks.



1	The recognition rate was quite low when the online recognition program was
2	developed based on the method described above. The following situations usually
3	occurred. The current state point was close to the unmelted benchmark, whereas the
4	FMF was in the molten state. The current state point was close to the overheating
5	benchmark, whereas the FMF was in the semi-molten state. It can be proposed that
6	the distribution areas of different smelting states were different in the FMF smelting
7	status map. The smelting states could not be distinguished accurately only according
8	to the distances from the current state point to the smelting state benchmark point.
9	Step (6) and Step (7) must be improved. Four smelting state circles were defined
10	around each smelting state benchmark point. First, the smelting state of each point
11	obtained in Step (5) was judged manually by the stove workers at the beginning of the
12	FMF smelting stage. In addition, the radius of each circle was determined by the
13	distribution of the corresponding state points. Moreover, the position of the center of
14	each circle was adjusted according to the distribution of the state points. Fig. 6 shows
15	the LabVIEW block diagram of the FMF smelting condition identification program.
16	Fig. 7 shows the LabVIEW front panel of the FMF smelting condition identification
17	program. This program displayed the data acquisition channel number of the
18	microphone, waveform of a recent windowed FMF acoustic signal frame, and
19	movements of the smelting state point. At the bottom of the front panel, some slide
20	controls can be used to adjust the smelting state circles online. All of the state points
21	on the FMF status map were exported to DIAdem for statistics after the smelting stage

DIAdem is an interactive software for data management, data analysis, and generating
 reports. The distribution of state points on the smelting status map is shown in Fig. 8.
 Meanwhile, Fig. 9 demonstrates the statistical analysis of the smelting states duration
 time.





**LabVIEW** block diagram of the FMF smelting condition identification



Fig. 7 LabVIEW front panel of the FMF smelting condition identification program.



Fig. 8 Distribution of the state points on the smelting status map.



Fig. 9 Duration comparison of the four different FMF smelting states.

## 1 6 Industrial pilot production

#### 2 6.1 Material feeding on energy consumption

3 The relationship of the raw material feeding moment and energy consumption per ton in fused magnesia production via FMF has confused many technicians. In the 4 5 overheating state, the raw materials were completely melted. Meanwhile, large 6 quantities of heat were wasted without more crystal production. The existing process 7 in Fig. 9 had a long overheating period. Thus, the overheating period in the smelting 8 stage should be shortened. An effective solution is to adjust the raw material feeding 9 time. Feeding raw material in the overheating state could force the FMF to enter the 10 unmelted state. To prove the effectiveness of the process adjustment strategy above, 11 stove workers were arranged to feed raw material into the FMF as soon as the 12 program shown in Fig. 7 demonstrated that the FMF entered the overheating state. 13 The feeding amount at each time was 1.2 t, which was consistent with previous 14 processes. Afterwards, the electric energy was cut off when the total feeding amount 15 of raw materials was up to 40 t. Meanwhile, the FMF entered the ending stage, and 16 the smelting condition identification program calculated the duration of each state. 17 Next, the molten lump was designated alphabetically and numerically. The cooling 18 process of the lump took approximately one week. Afterwards, the cooled lump was 19 crushed and sorted. Consequently, the yield and purity of the product were tabulated. 20 **Table 5** shows production data with the original process, whereas, the production data with the improved feeding process are illustrated in **Table 6**. The durations of the four different FMF smelting states are shown in **Fig. 10**. It can be observed from **Fig. 9** and **Fig. 10** that the duration of the overheating state can be shortened by the improved feeding process. Consequently, it can be concluded that feeding raw materials in time results in the decrease of energy consumption per ton.

Furnace No.	Power consumption	Output	Energy consumption	Crystal with a purity
	(kWh)	(t)	per ton (kWh/t)	above 98% (%)
A1	43 440	12.88	3 372.7	52.5
A2	43 500	14.34	3 033.5	52.7
A3	41 618	13.72	3 033.4	55.6
A4	40 943	13.50	3 032.8	53.3
A5	43 524	14.35	3 033.0	52.8
Average	42 605	13.76	3 101.1	53.4

**Table 5**Production data of the original process.

 Table 6
 Production data of the improved feeding process.

Furnace No.	Power consumption	Output	Energy consumption	Crystal with a purity
	(kWh)	(t)	per ton (kWh/t)	above 98% (%)
B1	39 064	12.98	3 009.6	52.8
B2	39 706	13.25	2 996.7	51.6
B3	41 199	13.87	2 970.4	53.7
B4	40 425	13.90	2 908.3	52.9
B5	41 533	13.84	3 002.4	52.2
Average	40 389	13.57	2 977.5	52.6



Fig. 10 Duration comparison of the four different FMF smelting states of the improved feeding process.

## 2 6.2 Smelting state duration on product purity

3 During the molten state, the flow velocity of the molten can reach 0.03 m/s. This electromagnetic stirring effect plays a key role in MgO crystallization (Wang et al., 4 5 2014). Properly decreasing current injection after entering the molten state could prolong the duration of the molten state. The durations of the four different FMF 6 7 smelting states of the improved current injection process are shown in Fig. 11. Table 8 7 shows the production data with the improved feeding process. It can be deduced that 9 decreasing the current injection could increase the duration of the molten state. 10 Consequently, it can be concluded that a long molten state duration results in the 11 increase of the high-purity MgO crystal yield.



**Fig. 11** Duration comparison of the **four** different FMF smelting states of the improved current injection process.

 Table 7
 Production data of the improved current injection process.

Furnace No.	Power consumption	Output	Energy consumption	Crystal with a purity
	(kWh)	(t)	per ton (kWh/t)	above 98% (%)
C1	43 597	13.79	3 161.5	56.2
C2	42 069	13.38	3 144.2	55.7
C3	42 942	13.84	3 102.8	56.1
C4	42 996	13.95	3 082.2	55.8
C5	42 843	13.94	3 073.4	55.6
Average	42 890	13.78	3 122.8	55.9

2

#### 3 6.3 Process optimization

A new FMF smelting process based on the acoustic signal was proposed by
comprehensively using the two improved processes mentioned above. The detailed
smelting process optimizations are as follows:
(1) The smelting condition identification system monitored the smelting state on
the status map during each smelting stage.
(2) The identification system judged whether the FMF entered into the molten





Fig. 12 Duration comparison of the four different FMF smelting states of the improved process.

Furnace No.	Power consumption	Output	Energy consumption	Crystal with a purity
	(kWh)	(t)	per ton (kWh/t)	above 98% (%)
D1	39 787	13.26	3 000.5	54.9
D2	42 248	14.18	2 979.4	55.3
D3	40 539	13.58	2 985.2	55.0
D4	41 043	13.72	2 991.5	56.0
D5	42 376	14.23	2 977.9	55.8
Average	41 198	13.79	2 986.9	55.4

 Table 8
 Production data of the improved smelting process.

## 2 7 Conclusions

3	This paper focused on an online fused magnesium furnace smelting condition
4	identification system. The experimental results showed that the system could identify
5	the smelting states with a high accuracy rate. The system was found to be able to
6	improve the energy efficiency during the whole smelting stage. The following
7	conclusions were drawn:
8	(1) Feeding raw materials as soon as the furnace enters into the overheating stage
9	could effectively reduce the overheating time and reduce the energy consumption per
10	ton during fused magnesia production.
11	(2) Reducing current injection in the molten stage can prolong the smelting time
12	and promote the purity of MgO crystals.

## 1 Acknowledgements

2 This work was financially supported by the International Science and 3 Technology Cooperation Program of China (2014DFR50880), the Fundamental 4 Research Funds for the Central Universities (DUT16QY35), and the Natural Science 5 Foundation of Liaoning Province (201602173).

## 6 References

7 Bi, S., Han, Y., Lan, H., Liu, L., 2011. Feature selection of arc sound in MIG 8 welding based on core principal component analysis (in Chinese). J. Harb. Univ. Sci. 9 Technol., 16(5), 30-33. 10 Drouet, M. G., Nadeau, F., 1982. Acoustic measurement of the arc voltage 11 applicable to arc welding and arc furnaces. J. Phys. E: Sci. Instrum., 15, 268–269. Fu, Y., Wang, N., Wang, Z., Zhang, X., 2015. Running state analysis of electric 12 13 arc furnace smelting magnesium oxide based on noise signal (in Chinese). Trans. Chin. 14 Electrotechn. Soc., 30(5), 15-22. Matschullat, T., Rieger, D., Dittmer, B., 2012. Results of foaming slag and scrap 15 16 meltdown control SIMELT CSM/FSM based on structure-borne sound in electric arc 17 furnace operation. AIST, Atlanta, 2577-2590. Mohammed, E. M., Sayed, M. A., Moselhy, A., M., Abdelnaiem, A. A., 2013. 18 LPC and MFCC Performance evaluation with artificial neural network for spoken 19 20 language identification. Int. J. Signal Process., Image Process. and Recognit. Method, 21 6(3), 55-66. 22 Xie, S., Jin, F., Krishnan, S., Sattar, F., 2012. Signal feature extraction by 23 multi-scale PCA and its application to respiratory sound classification. Med. & Biol. 24 Eng. & Comput., 759-768. 25 Hearing, H., Polthier, K., Schmitz, J., 1979. Noise emission of electric furnace 26 steelworks installations. Stahl und eisen, 99(11), 562–568. 27 Lv, N., Xu, Y., Zhang, Z., 2013. Audio sensing and modeling of arc dynamic 28 characteristic during pulsed Al alloy GTAW process. Sens. Rev. 33(2), 141-156. 29 Reynolds, Q. G. 2011. The dual-electrode DC arc furnace-modelling insights. J. 30 S. Afr. Inst. Min. Metall., 111(10), 697-704. 31 Wang, Z., Fu, Y., Wang, N., Feng, L., 2014. 3D numerical simulation of electrical 32 arc furnaces for the MgO production. J. Mater. Process. Technol. 214(11), 2284–2291. Wu, Y., Zhang, L., Yue, H., Chai, T., 2008. Intelligent optimal control based on 33

CBR for fused magnesia production (in Chinese). J. Chem. Ind. Eng. (China), 59(7), 1 2 1686-1690. 3 Wu, Y., Zhou, P., Shang, P., Chai, T., 2012. Intelligent operation control for the fused magnesia production. Adv. Mater. Res., 1450-1454. 4 Wu, Z., Wu, Y., Chai, T., 2011. Intelligent control of fused magnesium furnaces 5 6 based on SPSA (in Chinese). J. Shanh. Jiaot. Univ., 45(8), 1095-1100 Wu, Z., Wu, Y., Chai, T., Sun, J. 2015. Data-driven abnormal condition 7 8 identification and self-healing control system for fused magnesium furnace. IEEE 9 Trans. Ind. Electro., 62(3), 1703–1715. 10 Wu, Z., Wu, Y., Chai, T., Zhang, L., 2009. Intelligent control system of fused magnesia production via rule-based reasoning (in Chinese). J. North. Univ. (Natural 11 12 Science), 30(11), 1526–1529. 13 Zweben, S., Karasik, M., 2002. Laboratory experiments on arc deflection and 14 instability. Ir. Steel., 28(2), 75-86. 15

## 1 **Table and Figure captions**

- 2 **Table 1** Dimensions of the fused magnesium furnace.
- 3 **Table 2** Average LPC parameters in different smelting states.
- 4 **Table 3** The accumulative contribution rate of each eigenvalue.
- 5 **Table 4** The principal components of different smelting states.
- 6 **Table 5** Production data of the original process.
- 7 **Table 6** Production data of the improved feeding process.
- 8 Table 7 Production data of the improved current injection process.
- 9 **Table 8** Production data of the improved smelting process.
- 10 Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of a fused magnesia furnace.
- 11 Fig. 2. Experimental setup for the FMF smelting condition identification system.
- 12 Fig. 3. The position of the FMF sound measurement point.
- 13 Fig. 4. LPC reconstructed waveform of the FMF sound.
- 14 **Fig. 5** FMF smelting status map.
- 15 Fig. 6 LabVIEW block diagram of the FMF smelting condition identification
- 16 Fig. 7 LabVIEW front panel of the FMF smelting condition identification program.
- 17 **Fig. 8** Distribution of the state points on the smelting status map.
- 18 Fig. 9 Duration comparison of four different FMF smelting states.
- 19 Fig. 10 Duration comparison of the four different FMF smelting states of the improved feeding
- 20 process.
- 21 Fig. 11 Duration comparison of the four different FMF smelting states of the improved current
- 22 injection process.
- 23 Fig. 12 Duration comparison of four different FMF smelting states of improved process.

Items	Dimension (mm)
Electrode diameter	350
Diatance between electrodes	880
Electrode operating depth	2 000
Furnace shell diameter	2 500
Furnace shell height	2 000

 Table 1
 Dimensions of the fused magnesium furnace.

	U	1		e
State	Unmelted	Semi-molten	Molten	Overheating
<i>a</i> <sub>1</sub>	-1.057	-1.317	-1.355	-0.864
$a_2$	0.398	0.649	1.093	0.371
<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	-0.391	-0.436	-0.826	-0.368
$a_4$	0.504	0.345	0.728	0.236
$a_{5}$	-0.263	-0.238	-0.366	-0.184
$a_{6}$	0.227	0.276	0.610	0.182
<i>a</i> <sub>7</sub>	-0.035	0.050	-0.462	-0.049
$a_8$	0.195	-0.142	0.081	0.077
<i>a</i> <sub>9</sub>	-0.218	0.086	-0.061	-0.073
<i>a</i> <sub>10</sub>	0.071	-0.046	0.111	0.024

 Table 2
 Average LPC parameters in different smelting states.

	Eigenvalue	Accumulative contribution rate (%)
$\lambda_1$	0.093	78.7
$\lambda_2$	0.020	95.8
$\lambda_3$	0.005	100
$\lambda_4 \lambda_{10}$	0	100

 Table 3
 The accumulative contribution rate of each eigenvalue.

		-
State	$b_1$	$b_2$
Unmelted	1.026	-0.125
Semi-molten	1.214	-0.708
Molten	2.092	-0.253
Overheating	0.829	-0.252

**Table 4**The principal components of different smelting states.

Furnace No.	Power consumption	Output	Energy consumption	Crystal with a purity
	(kWh)	(t)	per ton (kWh/t)	above 98% (%)
A1	43 440	12.88	3 372.7	52.5
A2	43 500	14.34	3 033.5	52.7
A3	41 618	13.72	3 033.4	55.6
A4	40 943	13.50	3 032.8	53.3
A5	43 524	14.35	3 033.0	52.8
Average	42 605	13.76	3 101.1	53.4

**Table 5**Production data of the original process.

Furnace No.	Power consumption	Output	Energy consumption	Crystal with a purity
	(kWh)	(t)	per ton (kWh/t)	above 98% (%)
B1	39 064	12.98	3 009.6	52.8
B2	39 706	13.25	2 996.7	51.6
В3	41 199	13.87	2 970.4	53.7
B4	40 425	13.90	2 908.3	52.9
В5	41 533	13.84	3 002.4	52.2
Average	40 389	13.57	2 977.5	52.6

**Table 6**Production data of the improved feeding process.

Furnace No.	Power consumption	Output	Energy consumption	Crystal with a purity
	(kWh)	(t)	per ton (kWh/t)	above 98% (%)
C1	43 597	13.79	3 161.5	56.2
C2	42 069	13.38	3 144.2	55.7
C3	42 942	13.84	3 102.8	56.1
C4	42 996	13.95	3 082.2	55.8
C5	42 843	13.94	3 073.4	55.6
Average	42 890	13.78	3 122.8	55.9

 Table 7
 Production data of the improved current injection process.

Furnace No.	Power consumption	Output	Energy consumption	Crystal with a purity
	(kWh)	(t)	per ton (kWh/t)	above 98% (%)
D1	39 787	13.26	3 000.5	54.9
D2	42 248	14.18	2 979.4	55.3
D3	40 539	13.58	2 985.2	55.0
D4	41 043	13.72	2 991.5	56.0
D5	42 376	14.23	2 977.9	55.8
Average	41 198	13.79	2 986.9	55.4

 Table 8
 Production data of the improved smelting process.



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of a fused magnesia furnace.



Fig. 2. Experimental setup for the FMF smelting condition identification system.



Fig. 3. The position of the FMF sound measurement point.



Fig. 4. LPC reconstructed waveform of the FMF sound



Fig. 5 FMF smelting status map.



Fig. 6 LabVIEW block diagram of the FMF smelting condition identification program.





Fig. 7 LabVIEW front panel of the FMF smelting condition identification program.



Fig. 8 Distribution of the state points on the smelting status map.



Fig. 9 Duration comparison of the four different FMF smelting states.



Fig. 10 Duration comparison of the four different FMF smelting states of the improved

feeding process.



**Fig. 11** Duration comparison of the four different FMF smelting states of the improved current injection process.

\



- 2
- 3



Fig. 12 Duration comparison of the four different FMF smelting states of the

improved process.