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Wave scattering problem by many bodies is studied in the case when the bodies are small, $k a \ll 1$, where $a$ is the characteristic size of a body. The limiting case when $a \rightarrow 0$ and the total number of the small bodies is $M=O\left(a^{-(2-\kappa)}\right)$, where $\kappa \in(0,1)$ is a number, are studied. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3555192]

## I. INTRODUCTION

Many-body scattering problem in the case of small scatterers embedded in an inhomogeneous medium has been solved in Refs. 3 and 4 under the following assumptions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k a \ll 1, \quad d=O\left(a^{\frac{2-\kappa}{3}}\right), \quad \zeta_{m}=\frac{h\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right)}{a^{\kappa}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the characteristic size of the small bodies, $k=2 \pi / \lambda=\omega / c_{0}$ is the wave number, and $c_{0}$ is the wave speed in free space, $\kappa \in(0,1)$ is a parameter one can choose as one wishes, $d$ is the distance between neighboring particles, $h(\mathbf{x})$ is a piecewise-continuous function in a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with a smooth boundary $S, \Im h=h_{2} \leq 0, h=h_{1}+i h_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{m} \in D_{m}$ is an arbitrary point, $D_{m}$ is a small body, $S_{m}$ is its surface, $\mathbf{N}$ is the unit normal to $S_{m}, 1 \leq m \leq M, M$ is the total number of the embedded small bodies in $D$, the unit normal $\mathbf{N}$ points out of $D_{m}, \zeta_{m}$ is the boundary impedance in the boundary condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}}=\zeta_{m} u \quad \text { on } \quad S_{m}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M ; \quad u=u_{M} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the distribution of small bodies in $D$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}(\Delta):=\Sigma_{D_{m} \subset \Delta} 1=\frac{1}{a^{2-\kappa}} \int_{\Delta} N(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}[1+o(1)], \quad a \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$ is the number of small bodies in an arbitrary subdomain $\Delta \subset D, N(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ is a ${ }^{23}$ piecewise-continuous function, and for simplicity it is assumed that $D_{m}=B\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}, a\right)$ is a ball centered at the point $\mathbf{x}_{m}$, of radius $a$. The scattering problem, solved in Refs. 3 and 4, consisted of finding the solution to the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla^{2}+k^{2} n_{0}^{2}(\mathbf{x})\right] u_{m}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \bigcup_{m=1}^{M} D_{m}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying boundary conditions (2) and the radiation condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{M}=u_{0}+v_{M}, \quad \frac{\partial v_{M}}{\partial r}-i k v_{M}=o\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \quad r:=|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Here, $u_{0}$ is the solution to problem (4) and (5) in the absence of the embedded particles, i.e., the solution for the problem with $M=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla^{2}+k^{2} n_{0}^{2}(\mathbf{x})\right] u_{0}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{0}^{2}(x)$ is the refraction coefficient in the absence of embedded particles, $n_{0}^{2}(x)=1$ in the region $D^{\prime}:=\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash D$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=e^{i k \alpha \cdot \mathbf{x}}+v_{0}, \quad \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial r}-i k v_{0}=o\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow \infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in S^{2}$ is the direction of propagation of the incident plane wave, and $S^{2}$ is the unit sphere in $R^{3}$.

We are interested in the behavior of the scattering solution as $a \rightarrow 0$ and the wavenumber $k>0$ is arbitrary fixed. In other words, the physical assumption that the dimensionless parameter $k a$ is very small, $k a \ll 1$, corresponds in our work to a study of the mathematical limiting procedure $a \rightarrow 0$.

It was proved in Refs. 3 and 4, that, as $a \rightarrow 0$, the limiting field $u$ does exist and solves the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla^{2}+k^{2} n^{2}(\mathbf{x})\right] u=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv n_{0}^{2}(\mathbf{x})-4 \pi k^{-2} h(\mathbf{x}) N(\mathbf{x}) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, in the limit $a \rightarrow 0$, under the constraints (1)-(3), the limiting medium, obtained by the embedding of many small particles, has the refraction coefficient $n^{2}(\mathbf{x})$, given by (9). Since the functions $h(\mathbf{x})$ and $N(\mathbf{x})$ are at our disposal, subject to the restrictions $N(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \Im h(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$, it is possible to create any desired refraction coefficient $n^{2}(\mathbf{x}), \Im n^{2}(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$, by choosing $h(\mathbf{x})$ and $N(\mathbf{x})$ suitably.

It is assumed that the term "piecewise-continuous" function $f$ in this paper means that the set $\mathcal{M}$ of discontinuities of $f$ is of Lebesgue's measure zero and, if $\mathcal{S}$ is a subset of this set such that $f$ is unbounded on $\mathcal{S},\left.f\right|_{\mathcal{S}}=\infty$, then $f$ grows not too fast as $\mathbf{x}$ tends to $\mathcal{S}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{c}{[\operatorname{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{S})]^{v}}, \quad 0 \leq v<3, \quad c=\mathrm{const} \geq 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the integral $\int_{D} f(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}$ exists as an improper integral.
This paper is related to: ${ }^{3}$ its goal is to develop a theory, similar to the one in Ref. 3, for a different governing equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} u_{0}:=\nabla \cdot\left(c^{2}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla u_{0}\right)+\omega^{2} u_{0}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad R^{3}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the wave speed $c(\mathbf{x})=c_{0}=$ const in $D^{\prime}:=R^{3} \backslash D$, the complement of $D$ in $R^{3}$, and $c(\mathbf{x})$ is a smooth and strictly positive function in $D$. The speed $c(\mathbf{x})$, in general, has $S$ as its discontinuity surface. In this case, Eq. (11) is understood in the distributional sense as an integral identity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{3}}\left(-c^{2}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \phi \nabla u_{0}+\omega^{2} \phi u_{0}\right) d \mathbf{x}=0 \quad \forall \phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Alternatively, one may understand Eq. (11) as the following transmission problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} u_{0}^{+}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad D, \quad u_{0}^{+}=u_{0} \quad \text { in } \quad D \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} u_{0}^{-}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad D^{\prime}, \quad u_{0}^{-}=u_{0} \quad \text { in } \quad D^{\prime} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}^{+}=u_{0}^{-}, \quad c_{+}^{2}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \mathbf{N}^{+}}=c_{-}^{2}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \mathbf{N}^{-}} \quad \text { on } \quad S . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transmission conditions (15) together with Eqs. (13) and (14) are equivalent to problem (12). Existence and uniqueness of the solution to (13)-(15) was proved in Ref. 6.

The scattering problem, we are interested in, can be stated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{0} u=0 \quad \text { in } \quad R^{3} \backslash \bigcup_{m=1}^{M} D_{m} ; \quad u=u_{M}  \tag{16}\\
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}}=\zeta_{m} u \quad \text { on } \quad S_{m}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M  \tag{17}\\
& u=u_{0}+v, \quad v_{r}-i k v=o\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow \infty \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

In Sec. II problem (16)-(18) is investigated and the limiting behavior of $u$ as $a \rightarrow 0$ is found. We conclude this Introduction by a brief derivation of the governing Eq. (11).
The starting point is the Euler equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}+(\mathbf{v}, \nabla) \mathbf{v}=-\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}$ is the velocity vector of the sound wave, $p=p(\rho)$ is the static pressure, $\rho$ is the density, ${ }_{66}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla p=c^{2}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \rho \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c(\mathbf{x})$ is the sound speed.
Let the material in $D$ be initially at rest, $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ be a small perturbation of the equilibrium ${ }_{69}$ zero velocity, the density be of the form $\rho=\rho_{0}+\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$, where $\rho_{0}$ is the equilibrium density of 70 the material, which is assumed to be constant, and $\psi$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are small quantities of the same order of 71 smallness.

The continuity equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\psi}=-\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{0} \mathbf{v}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the term $\nabla \cdot(\psi \mathbf{v})$ of the higher order of smallness is neglected. Differentiating (21) with 74 respect to time yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\psi}=-\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{0} \dot{\mathbf{v}}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the same assumptions about $\rho=\rho_{0}+\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{v}$, the term $(\mathbf{v}, \nabla) \mathbf{v}$ in (19) is of the 7 higher order of smallness and is, therefore, neglected. Multiplying (19) by $\rho$ and neglecting the term $\psi \dot{\mathbf{v}}$ of higher order of smallness yields the acoustic momentum equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0} \dot{\mathbf{v}}=-\nabla p \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (20) in (23) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0} \dot{\mathbf{v}}=-c^{2}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \psi \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the relation $\nabla \rho=\nabla \psi$ was used. This relation is exact for a constant $\rho_{0}$.
Substituting (24) in (22) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\psi}-\nabla \cdot\left(c^{2}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla \psi\right)=0 . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\psi=e^{-i \omega t} u$, then (25) reduces to Eq. (11).

## II. THE SCATTERING PROBLEM

In this section, problem (16)-(18) is studied. Assumptions (1) and (3) are still valid. Let $G$ be the Green's function for the operator $L_{0}$,


$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=-\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$G$ satisfies the radiation condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial|\mathbf{x}|}-i k G=o\left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

都

The following result from Ref. 5 will be used.
Theorem 1: In a neighborhood of a point of smoothness of $c(\mathbf{x})$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\frac{1}{4 \pi|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}| c(\mathbf{x})}(1+o(1)), \quad|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}| \rightarrow 0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a neighborhood of the point $x \in S$, where $S$ is a smooth discontinuity surface of $c(\mathbf{x})$, one has

$$
G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{4 \pi c_{+}(\mathbf{x})}\left[r_{\mathbf{x y}}^{-1}+b R^{-1}+o(1)\right], \quad \mathbf{y} \in \quad D  \tag{29}\\
\frac{1}{4 \pi c_{-}(\mathbf{x})}\left[r_{\mathbf{x y}}^{-1}-b R^{-1}+o(1)\right], \quad \mathbf{y} \in \quad D^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b:=\frac{c_{+}(\mathbf{x})-c_{-}(\mathbf{x})}{c_{+}(\mathbf{x})+c_{-}(\mathbf{x})}, \quad r_{\mathbf{x y}}:=|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|, \quad R=\sqrt{\rho^{2}+\left(\left|x_{3}\right|+\left|y_{3}\right|\right)^{2}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\sqrt{\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right)^{2}} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The origin of the local coordinate system lies on $S$, the plane $x_{3}=0$ is tangent to $S, c_{+}(\mathbf{x})$ and $c_{-}(\mathbf{x})$ are the limiting values of $c(\mathbf{x})$ when $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow S$ from inside (and outside) of $D$.

In Ref. 5, the operator $L_{0}$ corresponds to the case $\omega=0$. However, in Ref. 3 it is proved that adding to $L_{0}$ a term $q(\mathbf{x}) G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ with a bounded function $q$ does not change the main term of the asymptotic of $G$ as $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{y}$.

The solution to problem (16)-(15) is sought in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}+\sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{S_{m}} G(\mathbf{x}, t) \sigma_{m}(t) d t \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\sigma_{m} \in L^{2}\left(S_{m}\right)$, the function $u$, defined in (32), solves Eq. (16) and satisfies the radiation condition (15), since $G$ does. Therefore, (32) will be the solution to problem (16)-(15) if $\sigma_{m}$ are such that the boundary conditions (17) are satisfied. Uniqueness of the solution to problem (16)-(15) follows from essentially the same arguments as in Ref. 3, see the proof of Theorem 1 in Ref. 3.

The boundary conditions (15) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{e N}+\frac{A_{m} \sigma_{m}-\sigma_{m} c_{m}^{-1}}{2}=\zeta_{m} u_{e}+\zeta_{m} \int_{S_{m}} G(s, t) \sigma_{m}(t) d t \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{m}:=c\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right), \quad \zeta_{m}=h\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right) / a^{\kappa}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{e}(\mathbf{x}):=u_{e}^{(m)}:=u_{0}(\mathbf{x})+\sum_{m^{\prime} \neq m} \int_{S_{m^{\prime}}} G(\mathbf{x}, t) \sigma_{m^{\prime}}(t) d t \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field $u_{e}^{(m)}$ is called the effective (self-consistent) field. It is the field acting on the $m$ th particle from all other particles and from the incident field $u_{0}$.

The operator $A_{m}$ is the operator of the normal derivative of the single-layer potential,

$$
T \sigma_{m}:=\int_{S_{m}} G(\mathbf{x}, t) \sigma_{m}(t) d t
$$

at the boundary $S$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial T \sigma_{m}}{\partial \mathbf{N}^{-}}=\frac{A \sigma_{m}-\sigma_{m} c^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right)}{2}, \quad A \sigma_{m}=2 \int_{S_{m}} \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{s}, t)}{\partial \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{s}}} \sigma_{m}(t) d t, \quad \mathbf{s} \in S_{m} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (35), $\frac{\partial T \sigma_{m}}{\partial \mathbf{N}^{-}}$is the limiting value of the normal derivative on $S_{m}$ from outside of $D_{m} .{ }_{110}$ Equation (35) is well known from the potential theory in the case $c(\mathbf{x})=1$ and $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\frac{e^{i \omega r_{x y}}}{4 \pi r_{\mathrm{xy}}}, \quad{ }^{111}$ $r_{\mathrm{xy}}:=|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|$.

If $c(\mathbf{x}) \neq 1$, then by Theorem 1 , one may consider $T$ and $A$ as the operators, corresponding to $c(\mathbf{x})=1$, divided by $c\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right)$, because $c(\mathbf{s})$ is assumed smooth in $D$, and, therefore, it varies negligibly ${ }_{114}$ on the small distances of the order $a$.

The basic idea of solving the scattering problem (16)-(18) is to use a representation of the scattered field as a sum of single layer potentials and transform this representation to a sum of two terms of which one is much larger than the other asymptotically, as $a \rightarrow 0$, (cf. Ref. 3).

The approach is to reduce the solution of the many-body scattering problem by small bodies to finding some numbers rather than the unknown functions $\sigma_{m}, 1 \leq m \leq M$. If $M$ is very large, it is practically impossible to use the usual system of boundary integral equations for finding the unknown $\sigma_{m}$.

Let us rewrite Eq. (32) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}(\mathbf{x})+\sum_{m=1}^{M} G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right) Q_{m}+\sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{S_{m}}\left[G(\mathbf{x}, t)-G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right)\right] \sigma_{m}(t) d t \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m}:=\int_{S_{m}} \sigma_{m}(t) d t \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right) Q_{m}\right| \gg\left|\int_{S_{m}}\left[G(\mathbf{x}, t)-G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right)\right] \sigma_{m}(t) d t\right|, \quad a \rightarrow 0 ; \quad d_{1}<\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{m}\right|, \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \ll d_{1} \ll d$.
The region $d_{1}<\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{m}\right|$ clearly tends to $D$ as $a \rightarrow 0$. The main term of the asymptotics of the function $\sigma_{m}(t)$, as $a \rightarrow 0$, does not depend on $t \in S_{m}$, it is a constant with respect to $t$ depending on $a$, equal to $\frac{Q_{m}}{4 \pi a^{2}}$. (see Ref. 3).

Let us explain why the above inequality (38) holds. Its left-hand side is $O\left(\left|Q_{m}\right| /\left|x-x_{m}\right|\right)$, while its right-hand side does not exceed $\max _{z \in B\left(x_{m}, a\right)}\left|\nabla_{z} G(x, z)\right| a\left|Q_{m}\right|$.

One has

$$
\max _{z \in B\left(x_{m}, a\right)}\left|\nabla_{z} G(x, z)\right|=O\left(\max \left\{\frac{1}{\left|x-x_{m}\right|^{2}}, \frac{k}{\left|x-x_{m}\right|}\right\}\right)
$$

If $\left|x-x_{m}\right| \gg a$ and $k a \ll 1$, then $\frac{1}{\left|x-x_{m}\right|} \gg a \max \left\{\frac{1}{\left|x-x_{m}\right|^{2}}, \frac{k}{\left|x-x_{m}\right|}\right\}$. Therefore, inequality (38) is valid.

Let us choose the region $\left|x-x_{m}\right| \gg a$ to be $\left|x-x_{m}\right| \geq d_{1}$, where $d_{1}=O\left(a^{\frac{2-0.5 k}{3}}\right)$, so one has $a \ll d_{1} \ll d$ as $a \rightarrow 0$. We now want to prove that the input into the scattering solution $u$ of the terms in the second sum in Eq. (36), which lie in the region $\left|x-x_{m}\right| \leq d_{1}$ is negligible as $a \rightarrow 0$.

Since the distance between neighboring particles is $O(d)$, one concludes that there is one particle of radius $a$, centered at $x_{m}$, and there are no other particles in the region $a<\left|x-x_{m}\right| \leq d_{1}$ because the distance between small particles is $O(d) \gg d_{1}$. The input of one particle to the second sum in (36) is the quantity of the order $O\left(a a^{-2} a^{2-\kappa}\right)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $O\left(a^{1-\kappa}\right)$. This quantity tends to zero as $a \rightarrow 0$. Here the term $O\left(a^{-2}\right)$ is the order of $\left|\nabla_{z} G(x, z)\right|$ when $\left|z-x_{m}\right|=O(a)$, and the term $O\left(a^{2-\kappa}\right)$ is the order of $\left|Q_{m}\right|$ [see (46) below]. This explains the order of the magnitude $O\left(a^{1-\kappa}\right)$ of the input of the particles which lie in the region $a<\left|x-x_{m}\right| \leq d_{1}$ to the second sum in (36). Since $O\left(a^{1-\kappa}\right)$ is negligible as $a \rightarrow 0$, one can neglect the second sum in (36) as $a \rightarrow 0$. 145

Thus, the solution $u$ of the many-body scattering problem can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{0}(\mathbf{x})+\sum_{m=1}^{M} G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right) Q_{m}, \quad\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{m}\right| \gg a \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the error that tends to zero as $a \rightarrow 0$.

Consequently, the scattering problem is solved if the numbers $Q_{m}, 1 \leq m \leq M$, are found. This simplifies the solution of the many-body scattering problem drastically because Eq. (32) requires the knowledge of the functions $\sigma_{m}(t), 1 \leq m \leq M$, rather than the numbers $Q_{m}$, in order to find the solution $u$ of the scattering problem.

The next step is to derive the main term of the asymptotics of $Q_{m}$ as $a \rightarrow 0$.
To do this, we integrate (33) over $S_{m}$ and neglect the terms of the higher order of smallness as $a \rightarrow 0$.

One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{m}} u_{e N} d \mathbf{s}=\int_{D_{m}} \nabla^{2} u_{e} d \mathbf{x}=\left(\nabla^{2} u_{e}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right)\left|D_{m}\right|, \quad\left|D_{m}\right|=\frac{4 \pi a^{3}}{3} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Gauss divergence theorem was applied and a mean value formula for the integral over $D_{m}$ was used.

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{m}} A \sigma_{m} d \mathbf{s}=-\frac{1}{c_{m}} \int_{S_{m}} \sigma_{m} d \mathbf{s}=-\frac{Q_{m}}{c_{m}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (cf. Ref. 3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{m}} A \sigma d \mathbf{s}:=\frac{1}{c_{m}} \int_{S_{m}} d \mathbf{s} \int_{S_{m}} \frac{\partial}{\partial N_{s}} \frac{1}{4 \pi r_{\mathbf{s} t}} \sigma(t) d t=-\frac{1}{c_{m}} \int_{S_{m}} \sigma(t) d t \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, integrating (33) over $S_{m}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} u_{e}\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right)\left|D_{m}\right|-c_{m}^{-1} Q_{m}=\zeta_{m} u_{e}\left(x_{m}\right)\left|S_{m}\right|+\frac{\zeta_{m}}{c_{m}} \int_{S_{m}} d t \sigma_{m}(t) \int_{S_{m}} d \mathbf{s} \frac{1}{4 \pi r_{s} t} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|S_{m}\right|=4 \pi a^{2}$ is the surface area of the sphere $S_{m}$ and formula (28) was used, namely, we have replaced $G(\mathbf{s}, t)$ by $\frac{1}{4 \pi r_{s t}} \frac{1}{c(\mathbf{s})}$ using the smallness of $D_{m}$, and we have replaced $c(\mathbf{s})$ by $c\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right)=c_{m}$ because $\left|x_{m}-s\right| \leq a$ and $a$ is small.

Using the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{m}} \frac{d \mathbf{s}}{4 \pi r_{\mathbf{s} t}}=a \quad \text { if } \quad\left|\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{x}_{m}\right|=a \quad \text { and } \quad\left|t-\mathbf{x}_{m}\right|=a \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

one gets from (43) the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m}\left(c_{m}^{-1}+c_{m}^{-1} \zeta_{m} a\right)=-4 \pi \zeta_{m} u_{e}\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right) a^{2}+O\left(a^{3}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a \rightarrow 0$ and $\kappa \in(0,1)$, then

$$
\zeta_{m} a=h\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right) a^{1-\kappa}=o(1), \quad a \rightarrow 0
$$

the term $O\left(a^{3}\right)$ in (45) can be neglected, and one gets the main term of the asymptotics of $Q_{m}$ as $a \rightarrow 0$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m}=-4 \pi h\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right) u_{e}\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right) c\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right) a^{2-\kappa}[1+o(1)], \quad a \rightarrow 0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (34), (39), and (46) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{e}(\mathbf{x})=u_{0}(\mathbf{x})-4 \pi \sum_{m^{\prime} \neq m} G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{m^{\prime}}\right) h\left(\mathbf{x}_{m^{\prime}}\right) u_{e}\left(\mathbf{x}_{m^{\prime}}\right) c\left(\mathbf{x}_{m^{\prime}}\right) a^{2-\kappa}[1+o(1)] . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_{m}$ and neglecting $o(1)$ term in (47), one gets a linear algebraic system for the unknown quantities $u_{m}:=u_{e}\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right), 1 \leq m \leq M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}=u_{0 m}-4 \pi \sum_{m^{\prime} \neq m} G\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}, \mathbf{x}_{m^{\prime}}\right) h\left(\mathbf{x}_{m^{\prime}}\right) c\left(\mathbf{x}_{m^{\prime}}\right) u_{m^{\prime}} a^{2-\kappa} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now derive and use a generalization of the result proved originally in Ref. 3. This generalization is formulated as Theorem 2 below.

Consider the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} a^{2-\kappa} \sum_{m=1}^{M} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the points $\mathbf{x}_{m}$ are distributed in $D$ according to (3).
Assume that $f(\mathbf{x})$ is piecewise-continuous and (10) holds. If $f$ is unbounded, that is, the set $\mathcal{S}$ is not empty, then the sum (49) is understood as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I:=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} a^{2-\kappa} \sum_{m=1, d i s t\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}, \mathcal{S}\right) \geq \delta}^{M} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right) . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2: Under the above assumptions, there exists the limit (49) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} a^{2-\kappa} \sum_{m=1}^{M} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right)=\int_{D} f(\mathbf{x}) N(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2 is given at the end of this paper.
Applying Theorem 2 to the sum (47), one obtains the following result:
Theorem 3: There exists the limit,

$$
\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} u_{e}(\mathbf{x}):=u(\mathbf{x})
$$

and the limiting function solves the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\mathbf{x})=u_{0}(\mathbf{x})-4 \pi \int_{D} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) h(\mathbf{y}) c(\mathbf{y}) N(\mathbf{y}) u(\mathbf{y}) d \mathbf{y} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying operator $L_{0}$, defined in (11), to (52) and using the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} G=-\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \quad L_{0} u_{0}=0 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

one obtains the following new equation for the limiting effective field $u$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} u=4 \pi h(\mathbf{x}) c(\mathbf{x}) N(\mathbf{x}) u . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u:=\nabla \cdot\left(c^{2}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla u\right)+\omega^{2} u-4 \pi h(\mathbf{x}) c(\mathbf{x}) N(\mathbf{x}) u=0 . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, embedding many small particles into $D$ and assuming (1)-(3), one obtains in the ${ }_{186}$ limit $a \rightarrow 0$ a medium with essentially different properties described by the new equation (55).

Let us now prove Theorem 2.
Proof of Theorem 2:
Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the subset of the set of discontinuities of $f$ on which $f$ is unbounded, let the assumption $\quad 190$ (10) hold, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\delta}:=\{\mathbf{x}: \mathbf{x} \in D, \operatorname{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{S}) \geq \delta\} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a partition of $D_{\delta}$ into a union of small cubes $\Delta_{p}$, centered at the points $\mathbf{y}_{p}$, with the ${ }_{192}$ side $b=a^{1 / 3}$. One has

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{2-\kappa} \sum_{m=1, d i s t\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}, \mathcal{M}\right) \geq \delta}^{M} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right) & =\sum_{p} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{p}\right)[1+o(1)] a^{2-\kappa} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{m} \in \Delta_{p}} 1 \\
& =\sum_{p} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{p}\right) N\left(\mathbf{y}_{p}\right)\left|\Delta_{p}\right|[1+o(1)]  \tag{57}\\
& \rightarrow \int_{D_{\delta}} f(\mathbf{y}) N(\mathbf{y}) d \mathbf{y} \quad \text { as } \quad a \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

Here in the second sum we replaced $f\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}\right)$ by $f\left(\mathbf{y}_{p}\right)$ for all points $\mathbf{x}_{m} \in \Delta_{p}$. This is done with the error $o(1)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$ because $f$ is continuous in $D_{\delta}$. In the third sum, we have used formula (3) for $\Delta=\Delta_{p}$. The last conclusion, namely, the existence of the limit as $a \rightarrow 0$, follows from the known result: the Riemannian sum of a piecewise-continuous bounded in $D_{\delta}$ function $f(\mathbf{x}) N(\mathbf{x})$ converges to the integral $\int_{D_{\delta}} f(\mathbf{x}) N(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}$ if $\max _{p} \operatorname{diam} \Delta_{p} \rightarrow 0$. In our case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diam} \Delta_{p}=\sqrt{3} a^{1 / 3} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad a \rightarrow 0 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

so formula (57) follows.
From the assumption (10) with $v<3$, one concludes that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{D_{\delta}} f(\mathbf{x}) N(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}=\int_{D} f(\mathbf{x}) N(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral on the right in (59) exists as an improper integral if $v$ is less than the dimension of the space, i.e., $v<3$. Therefore, formula (51) is established.

Theorem 2 is proved.
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