

The Effects of Exercise and Sport in Solid Organ Transplant Recipients: A Review

Authors:

Jill Neale BSc, MBBS, MRCP, Academic Clinical Fellow

Alice C Smith BSc, MSc, PhD, Honorary Senior Lecturer

Leicester Kidney Exercise Team

John Walls Renal Unit, Leicester General Hospital, LE5 4PW, UK

And

Department of Infection, Immunity and Inflammation, University of Leicester, LE1 9HN, UK

Nicolette C Bishop BSc, PhD

School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU,
UK

Corresponding Author

Dr Jill Neale

Email: JN150@student.le.ac.uk

Telephone: 0116 2584346

Abstract

Solid organ transplantation is the gold-standard treatment for many with end-organ failure and can offer a new independence from the burden of disease. However solid organ transplant recipients (SOTRs) remain at high risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD), poor quality of life and physical functioning. Increasing physical activity and exercise can improve the health of the general population, however the effects on those with a transplant remain unclear. Intensive exercise and sporting activity has the potential to be beneficial, although there remain concerns particularly around the effects on immune function and the CV system. This review summarises what is known about the effects of exercise on determinants of health in SOTR and then collates the available literature investigating the consequences of intensive exercise and sport on the health of SOTR. There is a paucity of high-quality research, with most evidence being case-studies or anecdotal; this is understandable given the relatively few numbers of SOTR who are performing sport and exercise at a high level. However if suitable evidence-based guidelines are to be formed and SOTR are to be given reassurances that their activity levels are not detrimental to their transplanted organ and overall health, then more high-quality studies are required.

Key Words

Transplants, Rehabilitation, Exercise, Sports

Introduction

Solid organ transplantation offers those with end-stage organ disease an intervention which can transform their lives and is now the gold-standard of care. More patients are successfully undergoing transplants, partly due to advances in surgical techniques, as well as improvements in donor recruitment, organ preservation and immunosuppressive therapies. In the UK there has been a 12% increase in kidney transplants and a rise of 20% from donors after circulatory-death(1). Worldwide there has been a steady increase in solid organ transplants (SOT) with 114,690 estimated to have taken place in 2012, however this is meeting <10% of global need, meaning there is increased emphasis on improving transplant survival(2). Morbidity and mortality following SOT continues to fall and one-year patient and graft survival from cadaveric transplants has increased over the last ten years(3). Therefore the long-term focus is on identifying modifiable risk factors which can be addressed to improve health related quality of life (HRQoL), morbidity and survival.

Exercise has a range of health benefits to the general population including improved HRQoL, reduced CV risk and chronic inflammation. However there are many other factors which need consideration such as the effects of immunosuppression, their underlying disease process and altered body composition which mean that the effects of exercise in the general population cannot be directly translated into solid organ transplant recipients (SOTRs). In addition, many SOTRs wish to either return to, or begin, new sporting activities to improve their health following transplant and this higher intensity exercise may have more unanticipated effects than activity at lower levels.

Competitive Events

The first Transplant Games were held in the UK in 1978(4) with 99 participants from 5 countries. Since then there has been a significant growth in the event with emerging evidence of the benefit of exercise in SOTRs and the publicity surrounding the Games. There are a number of reasons why SOTRs take up sporting activities or exercise, ranging from perceived health benefits to enjoyment. It

can be done simply as a hobby or for social reasons but it can also be life-affirming, a celebration of regained health, or a way of showing appreciation to their donor and the health services.

The US Transplant Games (USTG) attracts high-level athletes from across the country who have near age-predicted cardiorespiratory fitness and HRQoL(5). Those more active participants have higher VO_{2peak} and lower body fat compared to those who train less intensely(6-8). In a paediatric population, participation at the World Transplant Games (WTG), results in an improvement in habitual activity, with an increase in those achieving healthy CV fitness, abdominal strength and upper body strength compared to controls who do not take part(9).

The location of the WTG has been shown to affect rates of organ donation in that local area(10). Education, awareness and engaging the public through the media have all been shown to increase knowledge of transplantation and affect willingness to donate. However, donation rates are rarely maintained after media coverage ceases.

These highly organised events have the potential to greatly improve our knowledge of the effects of high-intensity exercise on SOTRs and are a potential platform for the development of future research studies. This review article will summarise the current available literature examining the effects of physical activity and exercise on SOTRs.

Solid Organ Transplantation

Patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD), and those on dialysis in particular, have an elevated CV risk compared to the general population(11-13). Kidney transplantation improves survival(14), quality of life(15,16) and reduces CV events(17,18) compared to individuals on dialysis, although outcomes still remain poorer than in the general population(19), with the rate of cardiac death 10-times higher and the annual rate of fatal or non-fatal CV events 50-times that of the general population. It is thought that this is due to traditional CV risk factors which remain, such as hypertension and dyslipidaemia, but non-traditional risks such as immunosuppression(20-22), chronic inflammation(23,24) and altered haemostasis(25-27) also play an important role.

Patients with severe heart failure, understandably, have elevated morbidity and mortality compared to the general population. The most common causes of death include congestive cardiac failure (CCF) and arrhythmias(28). However these numbers have been improving, in part due to the use of left ventricular assist devices (LVAD) which act to delay or prevent the progression to end-organ failure(29). Overall outcomes remain better following heart transplant than LVAD so this still remains the optimum treatment for those with end-stage heart failure(30). Following a heart transplant, patients have an improvement in survival, QoL and exercise capacity, although these measures often do not reach those of their non-transplanted counterparts.

Heart transplant recipients (HTRs) represent a complex population, with several issues needing to be considered when determining their exercise capabilities(31). Prior to transplantation, the donor heart experiences a significant ischaemic time and then subsequently undergoes reperfusion when successfully transplanted into the recipient. Also, the anatomy is not completely restored and during the operation, the heart is surgically denervated and this is not entirely corrected. In the post-operative months, some patients demonstrate signs of partial cardiac re-innervation which may serve to, in part, normalise their responses to exercise. HTRs also often experience diastolic dysfunction. The underlying causes are likely to be multi-factorial including hypertension, episodes

of rejection and vasculopathy of the allograft. These factors directly affect the function of the transplant and are likely to influence the response to exercise.

For patients with chronic lung conditions, transplantation offers the chance of improving QoL, morbidity and mortality. In the USA, there are 1572 people currently waiting for a transplant with the most common underlying diagnoses being congenital disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and cystic fibrosis(32).

Lung transplantation is a highly complex procedure that carries considerable peri- and postoperative risks. It is a treatment option for patients whose pulmonary function, exercise capacity, and QoL are drastically restricted and predicted 5-year survival is <50%(33). There are three types of lung transplant; single lung transplantation (SLTx), double lung transplantation (DLTx) and simultaneous heart and lung transplantation (HLTx), with the selection depending on the underlying pathology(34).The 1 and 5-year survival rates for SLTxRs and DLTxRs are 77% and 59% respectively(35). Infection (38%), rejection (29%), malignancy (15%) and CVD (10.9%) are the main contributors to morbidity and mortality post-operatively(33) as well as organ specific complications such as obliterative bronchiolitis, all of which may be influenced by physical activity. Post-transplant rehabilitation has recently been the focus, in order to optimise long-term outcomes and promote physical and psychological measures as these still remain impaired despite transplantation(36)with the majority of lung transplant recipients (LTxRs) remaining sedentary 3-6 months post-transplant(37).

Liver transplantation (LivTx) offers those with end-stage liver disease improved morbidity and mortality and is now an established procedure in the developed world, with over 6000 transplants being performed in the United States in 2010(38). Obesity and the development of metabolic syndrome are well-recognised after LivTx, with an increasing BMI seen in the first few years after surgery and 22% of previously normal-weight individuals becoming obese within two years(39). Independent predictors of obesity include episodes of acute rejection and a higher dose of

prednisolone. In LivTx recipients (LivTxR), increased BMI at one-year accurately predicts the development of metabolic syndrome(40) and one-third will have CVD at 8-years. Therefore addressing weight gain in the early-post-operative period should be seen as a priority to reduce CVD in the future. LivTx has also been shown to improve markers of QoL including self-reported physical health, daily activities, general HRQoL and social functioning(41).

Cardiovascular System

Regular exercise has positive effects on CV risk in the general population(42), and now the focus has switched to analysing the effect on transplant recipients.

Renal transplant recipients (RTRs) spontaneously increase their activity levels after transplantation and this peaks at one-year despite an initial decrease in the first month post-operatively(43)whereas in the first three months after receiving a heart transplant, HTRs significantly increase their physical activity levels(44) although the effect plateaus after this time. Those transplant recipients who are more physically active have reduced CV risk(45) and this principal has been used in the design of exercise programs aiming to improve a variety of physiological parameters.

Blood Pressure

In RTRs, there are no overall significant effects of exercise on blood pressure (BP)(46,47) with both a supervised six-week aerobic training and a 1 year home-based aerobic program yielding insignificant results in those undergoing the intervention (133/87 at baseline to 132/89 at 12 months) compared to the control group receiving usual care (138/88 to 133/90). Additionally, exercise programs for RTRs do not seem to interact with anti-hypertensive medications either(48) with the number of anti-hypertensives being similar at all time-points and between groups.

In HTRs, exercise has been shown to have equivocal effects on BP. Some studies of supervised moderate intensity exercise for 10-12 weeks demonstrate little effect on either systolic or diastolic BP(49,50) even when combined with strength training(51), with the timing of commencement of the exercise program after transplantation not influencing outcomes. However, high-intensity interval training (HIIT) regimes (16-min interval training with intervals of 4-, 2- and 1-min duration at >80% of VO_{2peak} , separated by a 2-min active rest period) of 12-weeks duration, commenced >12 months after surgery, significantly reduce systolic BP compared to a control group of exercisers who continue to do moderate-intensity activity (50). Additionally, one 6-month study of cycle-based

moderate intensity exercise 5 times-a-week at home, reduced both systolic and diastolic BP in the exercisers (143/78 at baseline to 121/69 at 6 months) compared to non-exercising controls (140/77 to 136/77), perhaps suggesting that a longer duration of intervention may be needed to have an effect(52). Hypertension is common in HTRs and beta-blockers have been shown to have an adverse effect on peak heart rate (HR) during exercise and overall exercise capability(53) therefore their use needs to be carefully considered in active HTRs.

In LTxRs, a RCT of 3 months of three times-a-week combined aerobic and resistance training(54) led to a significant improvement in ambulatory 24-hour BP in the intervention group compared to controls. The exercising group maintained their BP at 1 year after transplantation (129/80 pre-transplant and 126/80 at 1 year) whereas the control group experienced worsening hypertension (126/73 to 142/89 $p<0.01$).

Serum Cholesterol

In RTRs, there is no clear consensus as to whether exercise has a beneficial effect on lipid levels as some studies show an improvement(55) and others do not(47,56-58). The only study to show an improvement in total serum cholesterol was based on a Chinese alternative therapy (Don Jeon) for a duration of 9 weeks with 1 once-a-week supervised session and daily activity at home, unfortunately the control group of transplanted patients was not equivalent and therefore it is difficult to draw a meaningful conclusion. The studies which showed no significant improvements in cholesterol profiles included a variety of interventions including combined exercise program and dietary advice(56), supervised(57), and home-based exercise(47,58). Additionally there were also variations in type of exercise with some being solely aerobic(47,59) and others including only strengthening(57) or a combination of both(58). Interestingly, in one study(57) there was a significant increase in total cholesterol in both the exercise group (5.55mmol/L to 6.92mmol/L at 5 weeks $p=0.001$) and the controls (5.40mmol/L to 6.85mmol/L) although this is in part due to a rise in

219 HDL-cholesterol (1.29mmol/L to 1.67mmol/L in exercisers vs 1.42mmol/L to 1.51mmol/L in controls
220 $p=0.05$). There was no change seen in triglyceride levels.

221 In LTxRs, the RCT of combined aerobic and resistance training revealed no significant improvements
222 in total cholesterol (219mg/dL to 191mg/dL at 1 year) compared to controls (169mg/dL to
223 190mg/dL) or triglycerides (107mg/dL to 159mg/dL vs 86mg/dL to 145mg/dL) (54).

224 Following LivTx, there is an increase in prevalence of hypertriglyceridaemia and
225 hypercholesterolaemia after 1-year(60) and metabolic syndrome is very common and has been
226 described in 44–58% of patients followed for 6 months after transplantation (61). The development
227 of metabolic syndrome is associated with lower exercise intensity and older age. In addition exercise
228 intensity is inversely related to the development of metabolic syndrome after LivTx suggesting that
229 those who are more active are likely to have improved CV risk factors(62). However an uncontrolled,
230 supervised 12-week combined aerobic and strength training program with lifestyle counselling(63),
231 found that there was actually no improvement in lipid profile with total cholesterol:HDL cholesterol
232 ratio (3.70 to 3.74 after 12-weeks) and LDL cholesterol: HDL cholesterol ratio (2.08 to 2.09)
233 remaining static.

234 Glycaemic Control

235 In RTRs, the total amount of physical activity is significantly lower in RTRs with impaired glucose
236 tolerance compared to RTRs with normal glucose tolerance (255 vs 580 minutes/week respectively,
237 $P = 0.03$) and total physical activity has been identified as an independent predictor of impaired
238 glucose tolerance in all RTRs ($p=0.04$)(64). A 6-month study of combined lifestyle modification advice
239 and 2-hours endurance exercise per week in RTRs(56) with either new-onset diabetes after
240 transplant (NODAT) or impaired glucose tolerance(IGT) (group 1) versus RTRs with normal glucose
241 tolerance receiving usual care (group 2) revealed a 15% improvement in 2-hr postprandial glucose in
242 group 1 versus 12% deterioration in group 2 ($p=0.001$). Additionally in group 1, 44% of impaired

glucose tolerance patients developed normal glucose tolerance, whereas only 4% developed NODAT and 58% of NODAT patients showed improvement (29% to IGT and 29% to normal). Glucose metabolism deteriorated in group 2 with 14% developing IGT and 3% developing NODAT. Another study of strengthening exercise alone(57), commenced within the first few days after transplantation, showed improvements in glucose levels in both the intervention group (102mg/dL to 83mg/dL at the end of the study) and the control group (108mg/dL to 83mg/dL) which may reflect changes in medications (such as decreased steroid doses).

Three months of 3 times-a-week aerobic and resistance training in LTxRs(54) leads to a trend of reduced rates of overall progression to NODAT (6%) compared to the control group (25%) although this is not significant ($p=0.11$) and also a reduction in fasting glucose (99mg/dL to 90mg/dL at 1 year vs 88mg/dL to 107mg/dL) however again this is not significant ($p=0.13$). However in LivTxRs, after a 12-week aerobic and strength exercise program, there was actually a trend towards worsening of glycaemic control (HbA1c 5.40% to 5.60% after the program, $p=0.071$)(63).

Body Composition

Body Weight and Soft Tissue

Observational studies have shown that those RTRs who are more physically active have lower amounts of body fat(65) however a randomised trial of home-based cardiovascular exercise versus usual care has shown particularly disappointing effects on body composition(48). In fact body weight and BMI increase in both groups from baseline (Intervention: 24.8 to 27.7 at 1-year vs Control: 25.1 to 27.1) and lean body mass remains constant (Intervention: 49.2kg to 49.7kg at 1-year vs Control: 50.1kg to 51.8kg) whilst fat mass rises (Intervention: 20.8kg to 25.8kg at 1-year vs Control: 21.2kg to 27.6kg). Increased habitual activity levels do reduce body fat and increase lean muscle mass in women(66) in some studies but there is no clear link in males. A 7-week isokinetic bicycle-based program focusing on thigh musculature(67), discovered that RTRs taking prednisolone and those who were not both benefitted from increased thigh muscle area (Prednisolone group: 128cm² to 133cm² p=0.01, Non-prednisolone group: 100cm² to 107cm², p=0.005) although there were non-significant changes in both total fat area and overall thigh area.

Previous CCF and reduced pre-transplantation physical activity both contribute to deterioration in overall body composition. In HTRs, a 12-week combined aerobic and strength training program increased total lean tissue mass compared to the control group(51) and 6-months of resistance exercise training alone also had positive results compared to the control group(68). Fat mass significantly increased at 2 months after transplant in both the control (+8.3%) and exercising groups (+7.3%) and 6-months of resistance training restored fat-free mass to levels 3.9% greater than before transplant (p<0.05). Fat-free mass of the control group decreased progressively to levels that were 7% lower than pre-transplant values (p<0.05). However HIIT programs have yet to be proven to be effective in improving body composition(69), with no significant reduction in BMI in the intervention group (27.2 to 26.5 at follow-up) compared to the controls (26.3 to 26.3 p=0.106) or

improvement in body fat percentage (Intervention: 26.1% to 25.2% at follow-up versus Control: 24.6% to 25.0% $p=0.152$).

In LTxRs, a randomised trial of combined aerobic and resistance training did not reveal any positive effects on body weight or BMI in either the intervention group (22.6 to 24.4 at 1-year) or the controls (21.5 to 24.1, $p=0.89$) with both overall body weight and BMI increasing over time in both groups.

A randomised 10-month home-based aerobic exercise program in combination with dietary advice has been shown to improve lean body mass, although coincidentally, there were also increases in body weight, fat-mass and percentage of body fat(70) , additionally there were issues with adherence to the program, with only 37% following the program as instructed. When this was taken into account, total body weight, lean mass, and fat mass increased in all patients over the study period ($p<0.001$) with no significant interactions found between the intervention and control groups in any of the body composition variables.

In LivTxRs, a randomised 24-week combined resistance and aerobic based regime based either at home or supervised in a clinical setting(71), resulted in a significant increase in BMI in the supervised exercise group compared to the home-based group and the controls (Supervised: 20.4 to 24.4, Home-based: 22.3 to 22.6, Control: 22.2 to 22.2 $p=0.005$). This increase was predominantly due to an increase in lean mass in the exercising groups (Supervised: 43.4kg to 48.9kg, Home-based: 41.7kg to 47.0kg, Control: 49.9kg to 45.1kg $p=0.009$) with a non-significant change in fat mass (Supervised: 13.9kg to 17.5kg, Home-based: 16.4 to 15.6kg, Control: 13.2 to 15.1kg $p>0.05$). However in another study(63), 3-months combined training in a supervised group setting, resulted in stabilisation of the BMI (29.7 to 30.0 after completion, $p=0.287$) and a significant reduction in body fat percentage (33.0% to 31.8%, $p=0.049$).

Bone Mineral Density

In RTRs, 1-year of home-based aerobic exercise(48) did not influence bone mineral density (BMD) in either the exercisers (0.970g/cm² to 0.995g/cm² at 12 months) compared to the control group (1.012g/cm² to 1.024g/cm², p>0.05).

In HTRs, a 6-month weekly lumbar extensor and twice weekly upper and lower limb resistance training program(72), found that bone demineralisation occurred early after transplantation, and BMD losses from compartments with trabecular bone, such as the lumbar spine, were greater than BMD losses from regions with cortical bone, such as the femoral neck. BMD losses in the lumbar vertebra were 12% and 15% in the control and training groups, respectively, at 2 months after transplantation. Following the intervention, losses were significantly reduced compared to the control group (-3% vs -16%, p<0.05). The main finding of this study was that resistance training was osteogenic and restored BMD toward pre-transplantation levels in HTRs despite continued immunosuppression with glucocorticoids. In contrast, regional BMD in the control group did not indicate any significant recovery toward preoperative levels by 8 months after transplantation. Additionally, a 6-month resistance training program with calcitonin supplementation versus calcitonin alone(73), found that total body BMD did not decrease significantly below pre-transplantation values at 2-months after transplantation in either the calcitonin plus training (-0.5%) or calcitonin reference groups (-1.7%). At 8-months post-transplantation both the calcitonin group (-2.4%) and the calcitonin plus training group (-2.6%) experienced small but significant (p<0.05) losses in total body BMD when compared to pre-transplantation values. The magnitude of change in total body BMD at 2 and 8-months after transplantation were comparable in the two groups. This suggests that neither calcitonin nor calcitonin plus mechanical loading were efficacious in preventing small long-term reductions of BMD in non-metabolically active, slow turnover, cortical bone cells of the appendicular skeleton in HTRs.

337 In LTxRs, prior to commencing the training study(74), both the trained (0.63 to 0.54 g/cm² of
338 hydroxyapatite) and control groups (0.62 to 0.53 g/cm² of hydroxyapatite) lost significant and
339 comparable amounts (-14.5%) of BMD between study entry and 2 months post-transplantation.
340 After 6-months of weekly lumbar extensor training, the control group lost further lumbar BMD
341 between 2 and 8 months post-transplantation (0.53 to 0.50 g/cm² of hydroxyapatite, p<0.05),
342 decreasing to values that were 19.5% less than pre-transplantation baseline. Lumbar BMD in the
343 trained group increased significantly (+9.2%) after 6 months (0.54 to 0.60 g/cm² of hydroxyapatite)
344 and returned to values that were within 5% of pre-transplantation baseline. The aforementioned
345 resistance training program, in combination with alendronic acid led to a bigger improvement than
346 alendronic acid alone(75) . Lumbar BMD decreased significantly to below pre-transplant baseline at
347 2-months after transplantation in controls (-12.5%), but not in the alendronate (1.5%) or
348 alendronate + training (1.5%) groups. At 8-months after transplantation, lumbar BMD in controls
349 was 14.1% below baseline (p<0.05), but was 1.4% above baseline in alendronate recipients (p>0.05).
350 The alendronate + training group showed a significantly increased lumbar BMD with values 10.8%
351 greater than before transplant. This indicates that pharmacological intervention should be
352 prescribed alongside resistance exercise for the greatest benefit in LTxRs.

353 A 24-week combined resistance and aerobic based regime based either at home or supervised in a
354 clinical setting for LivTxRs(71) found that total BMD did not change significantly in any group from
355 baseline to after completion of the program (Supervised: 1.11g/cm² to 1.11g/cm², Home-based:
356 1.21g/cm² to 1.11g/cm², Control: 1.21g/cm² to 1.11g/cm²). However proximal femoral BMD did
357 differ significantly in the home-based exercise group compared to the controls (Home-based: 0.9
358 g/cm² to 0.8 g/cm², Control: 0.9 g/cm² to 0.9 g/cm², p=0.017) although there were no differences in
359 T or Z-scores. Similarly, a randomised home-based cardiovascular exercise and dietary education
360 program, found no difference in BMD between the exercisers (1.14 g/cm² to 1.15 g/cm²) and
361 controls (1.15 g/cm² to 1.17 g/cm²).

Exercise Capacity

Muscle Strength and Function

In RTRs, a thrice-weekly strengthening focused exercise program of 6 months duration(76), found that upper extremities muscle strength increased more in the rehabilitation group (15.99 to 18.56 after completion) compared to the control group (15.89 to 16.88) although this did not reach significance. Similarly, a 7-week isokinetic bicycle-based exercise program investigating outcomes in those on prednisolone compared to those on steroid-sparing regimes(67), found improvements in peak torque (at 60°) in both groups (Prednisolone-free: 236Nm to 289Nm after training completion $p<0.005$, Prednisolone: 207Nm to 237Nm $p<0.005$) and in total work output (at 180°/s (Prednisolone-free: 3563J to 4584J after training completion $p<0.001$, Prednisolone: 2712J to 3587J $p<0.001$). Benefits were also seen in a study of Don Jeon(55), for a duration of 9 weeks with 1 once-a-week supervised session and daily activity at home, where grip strength ($p<0.001$), back muscle strength ($p=0.01$) and sit and reach distance ($p<0.001$) all improved in the intervention group compared to those receiving usual care.

In RTRs 1-year of home aerobic training(48), also resulted in an improvement in peak torque (per body weight) in the intervention group (34.5 ft/lb/kg to 42.5ft/lb/kg) compared to the control group (33.7ft/lb/kg to 37.2ft/lb/kg, $p<0.003$). Furthermore, a study of 24-weeks of treadmill exercise alone(77), revealed that exercise time to exhaustion (12min $p<0.001$) improved and there were also large increases seen in isokinetic muscle function in both the quadriceps and hamstrings ($p<0.001$ to $p<0.0001$) although values were still lower than in the untrained non-transplanted healthy control group. Muscle biopsies showed an unexpected rise in type-2 muscle fibres and low oxidative capacity suggesting that enhanced muscle contractile function is partly responsible for improved overall performance.

In comparison of aerobic training versus resistance training alone in RTRs(78), 12-weeks of twice weekly supervised exercise found an improvement in the isometric quadriceps muscle force in both of the exercising groups (Aerobic: 77.9nM to 81.0nM after 12-weeks, Resistance: 91.2nM to 130.3nM) whereas the control group actual deteriorated (101.0nM to 95.1nM), with a significant difference between the resistance trained group and the controls ($p=0.006$) A similar pattern was seen in performance in the sit-to-stand 60 test (Aerobic: 24reps to 27 reps, Resistance: 30reps to 37 reps, Control: 26reps to 26reps) where improvements were seen in both intervention groups in comparison to the controls although only the difference between resistance training and controls was significant ($p=0.009$).

In HTRs, a 6-month study of cycle-based moderate intensity exercise 5 times-a-week at home(52), found that in the training group, physical performance improved significantly, with exercise time (7.65mins to 11.40mins, $p<0.01$) and maximal workload (75W to 105 W, $p<0.01$) both improving and the anaerobic threshold was reached at higher workloads (50 to 75 W). In the control group, physical performance did not improve with VO_{2peak} (14.33ml/kg/min to 15.60ml/kg/min), exercise time (8.00mins to 8.50mins) and peak workload (70W to 78W) remaining comparable to baseline. Another study of 10-weeks of aerobic exercise 2-3 times per week(49), found a significant increase in peak HR in the exercising group (128bpm to 146bpm) compared to the control group (136bpm to 142bpm, $p<0.05$) and in duration of the exercise to exhaustion test (Exercisers: 9.2mins to 10.7mins, Control: 8.5mins to 8.8mins, $p<0.05$).

A 6-month structured aerobic and strength training program designed for HTRs(79) revealed that peak workload increased in the exercisers (59W to 94W) compared to the usual care group (66W to 78W $p=0.01$) and the duration of exercise performed also improved (Exercisers: 6.9mins to 9.0mins, Controls: 7.2mins to 8.3mins $p=0.07$).

A study of either moderate exercise or a HIIT regime of 12-weeks duration, commenced >12 months after heart transplant(50) found improvements between groups in workload achieved (HIIT: 148W to

410 162W, Moderate: 155W to 158W, $p=0.003$) and peak HR (HIIT: 139bpm to 144bpm, Moderate:
411 140bpm to 141bpm, $p=0.027$). A second study of HIIT versus usual care(69) also found a significant
412 improvement in peak HR (HIIT: 159bpm to 163bpm, Control: 154bpm to 153bpm, $p=0.035$) as well as
413 the test duration (HIIT: 10.6mins to 14.1mins, Control:12.2mins to 13.0mins $p<0.001$) and
414 quadriceps and hamstring strength (HIIT: 394Nm to 402nM, Control: 380nM to 359nM $p=0.043$).

415 After LTx, there are significant gains in exercise capacity. In the first 3 months, there is a 60–75%
416 increase in the distance covered in 6 minute walking test (6MWT), with an ensuing plateau in
417 performance(80). However VO_{2max} remains deficient compared to expected levels with a function of
418 40-60% of predicted achieved(81,82) but there is no overall ventilatory limitation to mild exertion
419 required for daily living(83). Exercise capacity is reduced in both SLTxRs and DLTxRs(84). LTxRs have
420 similar thigh muscle volumes, intramuscular fat infiltration and strength of the quadriceps and
421 hamstrings to those with COPD who have not undergone transplantation. However, quadriceps
422 endurance tends to be lower in LTxRs compared to people with COPD(85). A 12-week study of
423 thrice-weekly cycling(86) found that endurance time was improved in LTxRs to the same extent as
424 healthy subjects but with greater variability between patients (LTxRs: +9min, Healthy Control:
425 +8min, $p<0.05$). Additionally, muscle strength significantly improved in LTxRs, with similar increases
426 also seen in the healthy controls (LTxRs: +4.6kg $p = 0.001$, Controls: +3.1kg $p=0.047$), leading to a
427 recovery of muscle strength as compared with the initial healthy subjects' value. In LTxRs, there
428 were also trends to significant changes in the percentage of type I fibre (+7%, $p = 0.10$) and the type
429 II fibre diameter ($-3\mu m$, $p = 0.10$).

430 Three-months of supervised combined aerobic and resistance training(54) resulted in an
431 improvement in performance in the 6MWT at 1-year in the LTxRs undergoing exercise training
432 (56%pred to 86%pred) compared to the controls receiving usual care (51%pred to 74%pred $p=0.002$)
433 as well as peak workload (Exercisers: 47%pred to 69%pred, Controls: 39%pred to 53%pred, $p=0.043$)
434 and quadriceps force (Exercisers: 63%pred to 92%pred, Controls: 56%pred to 71%pred, $p=0.001$). An

early intervention of mixed aerobic and strengthening training(87), initiated in the first few weeks after transplant also reported an improvement in performance in the 6MWT (451m at 1 month to 543m at 3 months post-transplantation). Improvements between all time points were statistically significant ($p<0.0001$), although with no control group for comparison it is difficult to make assumptions from this study that LTxRs would not have shown improvement after transplant without the intervention.

In LivTxRs, 10-months of home-based aerobic exercise(70), led to a significant increase in quadriceps strength in the exercising group over time (26.9ft/lb/weight to 32.6ft/lb/weight $p<0.001$) although this was not significantly different to the control group (29.2ft/lb/weight to 32.9ft/lb/weight). Additionally, after 24 sessions of aerobic treadmill-based exercise(88), the exercising group increased their walking distance (Baseline: 453.6m to 582.5m after the program $p<0.05$) compared to the controls receiving usual care (Baseline 516.5m to 517.7m).

A randomised 24-week combined resistance and aerobic based regime based either at home or supervised in a clinical setting(71) revealed no significant improvement in performance in the 6MWT between the supervised exercisers (Baseline: 506.2m to After program: 573.3m), home-based exercisers (491.2m to 550.5m) or the control group receiving usual care (530.9m to 553.6m $p>0.05$) or in the quadriceps strength (Supervised: 506.2Nm to 573.3Nm, Home: 491.2Nm to 550.5Nm, Control: 530.9Nm to 553.6Nm, $p>0.05$). However, a supervised 12-week combined aerobic and strength training program with lifestyle counselling(63) with no control group, did find a non-significant trend towards improvement in quadriceps strength (Baseline 1.3Nm/kg, After program: 1.4Nm/kg $p=0.058$), although improvements in workload (Baseline 1.6W/kg, After program: 1.7W/kg $p=0.004$) and performance in the 6MWT (Baseline: 546.5m, After Program: 578m $p=0.004$) were significant.

Cardiorespiratory Fitness

In RTRs, a 6-month strengthening program(76), discovered that peak expiratory flow rate (PEF) increased significantly in those undergoing strength training (Intervention: 419L/min to 516L/min, Control: 439L/min to 483L/min). One year of home aerobic training(48), also resulted in a significant improvement in age-predicted VO_2 (Intervention: 70.9% to 85.4% at 1-year, Control: 71.6% to 77.4%, $p<0.03$) although peak respiratory exchange ratio did not change (Intervention: 1.32 to 1.35, Control: 1.38 to 1.37 $p>0.05$). Another study of treadmill exercise alone(77), revealed that exercise time to exhaustion (12min $p<0.001$), VO_{2max} (37.5 ml/kg/min $p<0.05$) and maximum ventilation rate (68.5L/min $p<0.05$) all improved. In comparison of resistance or aerobic training versus standard care(48), VO_{2max} increased over time in all groups (Aerobic: 12.3ml/kg/min to 15.1ml/kg/min, Resistance: 14.1ml/kg/min to 16.8ml/kg/min, Control: 11.8ml/kg/min to 12.8ml/kg/min) however significantly greater gains were seen in those who were trained compared to the control group (Aerobic vs Control $p=0.02$, Resistance vs Control $p=0.002$). Nevertheless, in comparison of VO_{2max} between very well-trained RTRs and non-transplanted controls the transplant patients still have decreased mechanical efficiency as reflected by an increased VO_2 /treadmill-speed relationship(89).

Observational studies have found that, even in well-trained HTRs, there were still deficiencies in VO_{2max} and treadmill speed and the VO_{2max} /treadmill speed relationship compared to healthy controls(89,90). A 6-month study of cycle-based moderate intensity exercise (52), found that in the training group, VO_{2peak} improved significantly (14.93ml/kg/min to 19.61ml/kg/min, $p<0.001$) compared to the control group (14.33ml/kg/min to 15.60ml/kg/min $p<0.05$) and this is supported by a shorter study of 10 weeks duration of aerobic exercise(49) where VO_{2max} also increased to a greater extent in the exercisers (Intervention: 16.7ml/kg/min to 20.0ml/kg/min, Control: 15.5ml/kg/min to 16.1ml/kg/min, $p<0.05$).

482 Following a 6-month combined aerobic and strength training program(79)it was observed that
483 VO_{2peak} increased significantly in the intervention group (9.2ml/kg/min to 13.6ml/kg/min) compared
484 to the controls (10.4ml/kg/min to 12.3ml/kg/min, $p=0.01$).

485 HIIT training(50) has been found to be more beneficial in HTRs than moderate aerobic exercise in
486 improving VO_{2peak} (HIIT: 23.2 to 28.1ml/kg/min, Moderate: 23.0ml/kg/min to 25.6ml/kg/min,
487 $p<0.001$). However the respiratory exchange ratio remained unchanged in both the HIIT (1.18 to
488 1.15) and moderate groups (1.20 to 1.18, $p=0.754$). These results are supported by another
489 study(69) of 24-weeks of HIIT training where VO_{2peak} also improved significantly in those in the
490 intervention group (27.7ml/kg/min to 30.9ml/kg/min) compared to the controls (28.5ml/kg/min to
491 28.0ml/kg/min, $p<0.001$) and the respiratory exchange ratio remains stable (HIIT: 1.07 to 1.08,
492 Control: 1.06 to 1.07, $p=0.602$).

493 Following a 12-week, home-based cycling program comparing healthy controls and LTxRs(91), FVC
494 (Forced Vital Capacity) (LTxRs: 78%pred to 82%pred $p=0.19$, Controls: 109%pred to 109%pred
495 $p=0.82$) and FEV_1 (Forced Expiratory Volume in the first second) (LTxRs 74%pred to 77%pred $p=0.44$,
496 Controls: 108%pred to 106%pred $p=0.20$) remained generally stable in both groups. However VO_{2max}
497 did show a trend for improvement in the LTxRs (63%pred to 68%pred, $p=0.07$) and increased
498 significantly in the healthy controls (101%pred to 113%pred $p=0.02$). Similarly, a 12-week study of
499 thrice-weekly home-based aerobic exercise(86) discovered that VO_{2peak} was significantly improved in
500 healthy subjects after the program, although there was only a trend to improvement observed in
501 LTxRs ($+0.13 \pm 0.22$, $p = 0.059$). Additionally, a 6-week part home-based, part-supervised, aerobic
502 training program(92) found a significant improvement in VO_{2peak} from baseline (18.4ml/kg/min)
503 compared to after training (20.3ml/kg/min $p<0.05$), although there were no controls for comparison
504 therefore is difficult to conclude that the improvements seen were greater than would have been
505 seen in those receiving usual care.

Following a supervised mixed aerobic and strength training program of the same duration, VO_{2max} showed a non-significant improvement compared to the non-exercising controls (Exercisers: 55%pred to 78%pred, Controls: 47%pred to 63%pred, $p=0.082$) with FEV_1 also being non-significant (Exercisers: 79%pred to 92%pred, Controls: 69%pred to 89%pred, $p=0.615$). A mixed endurance and resistance rehabilitation programme (with no control group)(87), completed in the first 12-weeks post lung-transplant, found that both FEV_1 and FVC significantly increased(87) after the training program ($p<0.0001$). FEV_1 increased from 71% at 1 month to 78% at 2 months and 81% at 3 months. The improvement from 2 to 3 months was not statistically significant. FVC improved from 69% at 1 month to 77% at 2 months and 81% at 3 months with improvements between each time point being statistically significant.

Following LivTx, VO_{2peak} increases by a modest amount(93), however it actually decreases in one-quarter of patients. This persistent impairment of exercise tolerance is principally peripheral in origin but anaemia and beta-blocker treatment should be considered as major aggravating factors(94). A randomised 10-month home-based aerobic exercise program in combination with dietary advice(70) discovered that age-predicted VO_{2peak} increased significantly in the exercising group(71.9%pred to 90.1%pred) compared to the control group receiving usual care (73.2%pred to 83.0%pred $p<0.04$), although the respiratory exchange ratio did not change in either group from baseline to 12-month assessments (Intervention: 1.11 to 1.10 , Control: 1.12 to 1.11 $p>0.05$). An uncontrolled, supervised 12-week combined aerobic and strength training program with lifestyle counselling(63) also found that VO_{2peak} increased significantly from baseline (20.9ml/kg/min) to after the intervention (22.4ml/kg/min $p=0.031$).

Quality of Life and Anxiety and Depression

HRQoL describes the subjective assessment of the impact of disease and its treatment across the physical, psychological and social domains of functioning and well-being(95). There are many factors of HRQoL including satisfaction with life and individual happiness, as well as objective assessments of physical and psychological functioning(96). In research studies involving transplant recipients, the most commonly used method of evaluation of anxiety and depression is the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)(97). The HADS is a validated screening instrument for the presence of depression and anxiety symptoms, which is widely used in hospital settings, it takes less than 5 minutes to complete and has been shown to be acceptable by the population for which it was designed. The HADS consists of 14 Likert scaled items with two subscales (0–21). Each subscale includes seven items scored on a four-point scale between 0 and 3. Subscale scores of up to 7 are considered normal, whereas scores of 8–10 are regarded as borderline and set as clinical manifestation. Both scales can be interpreted independently from each other. HRQoL is most commonly measured using the internationally validated SF-36 questionnaire(98). Thirty-six items are combined into 8 scales such as physical functions (PF, 10 items), social functions (SF, 2 items), role limitations due to physical problems (RP, 4 items), role limitations due to emotional problems (RE, 3 items), mental health (MH, 5 items), energy and vitality (VIT, 4 items), bodily pain (BP, 2 items), and a general perception of health (GH, 5 items). The subscales can be combined into two summation scales measuring the overall physical and mental HRQoL (a physical component summary and a mental component summary). Sometimes shortened versions of this questionnaire can be use when time constraints apply (for example SF-12 or SF-8).

HRQoL and Anxiety and Depression following Transplantation

HRQoL often does not improve according to expectations, as RTRs often have ongoing physical and psychological issues which persist post-operatively. Diagnoses of psychiatric disorders remain high with some studies reporting a prevalence of 50% with affective disorders, major depression and

anxiety being most common at around 5 years post-transplant (99) and those with a cadaveric transplant are more likely to experience anxiety and depression than those who receive a living transplant(100). Using the Spielberg State Trait Anxiety Inventory and the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), anxiety scores are significantly lower among living transplant recipients (80.2) compared to cadaveric transplant recipients (86.9, $p=0.03$). There is also a significant relation between depression score and kind of graft donation (Living: 11.6, Cadaveric: 16.4, $p<0.005$). However HRQoL has been found to improve following renal transplant with a significant improvement in all QoL domains(101). This improvement occurs within the first 6 months after surgery and remains stable following that. Predictors of QoL include number of hospital admissions (representing early morbidity after transplantation), work (representing economic autonomy), and social support.

Following heart transplant, a significant overall improvement in QoL is perceived not only immediately after the operation(102), but also in the long-term(103). However another study found that in the immediate post-transplant period there were no significant differences in adjustment, physical function and employment between HTRs and similar medically managed heart failure patients(104). The long term improvements(103) are apparent in the physical domain, social dimension and psychological dimension. The improvement remains stable up to 5 years post-transplant and is not correlated with age, rejection episodes, preoperative medical parameters, or medication and this is supported by other studies which have also shown improvements in physical functioning(105,106) and perceived quality of life(107,108) in HTRs.

In the first 3 years after heart transplant, survival analysis indicates that cumulative risks for disorder onset are major depression, 25.5%; adjustment disorders, 20.8%, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), 17.0%; and any assessed disorder, 38.3%, with only one case of generalised anxiety disorder(109). PTSD onset is limited almost exclusively to the first year after transplantation. Episodes of major depression (but not anxiety disorders) that occur at 8-36 months post-transplant are more likely than early post-transplant episodes to be treated with psychotropic medications. Factors increasing cumulative risk for post-transplant psychiatric disorder include a pre-transplant

psychiatric diagnosis, female gender, longer hospitalisation, more impaired physical functional status and lower social supports from caregivers or family in the peri-operative period. At around 10 years post-transplantation moderate or severe depression is still experienced by around 37% of patients(110), although interestingly around 50% report good or very good satisfaction with QoL and physical health. However the prevalence of depression is negatively associated with physical domain ($r = -0.45$, $p < 0.01$), social domain ($r = -0.49$, $p < 0.05$), and psychological domain ($r = -0.3$, $p < 0.05$). The worst QoL signifies a high prevalence of depression and the subjective assessment of QoL negatively correlates with the prevalence of depression ($r = -0.43$; $p < 0.01$).

Within the first 2 years following lung transplantation(111), LTxRs report that several symptoms are both frequently occurring and rather distressing such as muscle weakness (occurs in 40%, distressing in 46%, shortness of breath with activity (occurs in 32%, distressing in 36%, and increased hair growth (occurs in 54%, distressing in 29%). At around 5 years after lung transplant, patients demonstrate statistically lower rates of respiratory problems as compared with reference values for patients with COPD(112). Following completion of the SF-36, in the sub-scales of vitality and mental health and the mental component summary scale, LTxRs had scores similar to those of the healthy control population, although the remaining scores were significantly lower. Also, there were no significant differences between the LTxRs and the reported reference healthy population on the anxiety and depression sub-scales. However 10% of the patients showed clinical depression and 12% had clinical anxiety.

Levels of anxiety are higher in those waiting for transplant than in the LivTxR group (7.1 vs 5.6 $p < 0.05$)(113) and the mean score for the depression scale is higher in the waiting group as well (6.5 vs 4.9 $p < 0.05$). Comparing both groups with data from the reference population (mean scores: anxiety: 4.7, depression: 5.1, total score: 9.9) reveals significant differences for anxiety ($p < 0.001$), depression ($p < 0.05$) and the summation score ($p < 0.001$). There are also significantly higher scores for anxiety ($p < 0.001$), depression ($p < 0.05$) and HADS total score ($p < 0.001$) in the group of patients

on the waiting list compared to the reference population. However after liver transplant, anxiety scores are significantly higher ($p<0.001$).

Prior to liver transplantation, half of patients report some level of distress in 14/21 HRQoL determinants whereas 1-year post-operatively they report distress in only 10/21(114). The most commonly reported symptoms causing distress at baseline are fatigue and muscle weakness which are experienced by 98% and 90% respectively, however these are statistically likely to improve at 1-year although 86% remain distressed by fatigue and 79% by weakness demonstrating that these continue to be a substantial problem for these patients. At baseline the Index of Wellbeing is 8.4 and this increases to 11.2 at follow-up which is similar to that of the general population (11.8). Although wellbeing generally improves it does actually decrease after transplantation in around 15% of patients.

Exercise and HRQoL and Anxiety and Depression

One study of active RTRs showed that regular exercise significantly improves different aspects of HRQoL such as social function, general health perception and mental health, compared to their sedentary counterparts(115). Additionally, just 2 hours of exercise per week significantly ameliorates RTRs' self-reported health and fitness condition. The amount of exercise participation also positively correlates to the patient's health and fitness condition with no effect on graft function(116). In RTRs, 10-weeks of thrice-weekly cycling has been shown to improve anxiety (HADS score: Baseline: 9.9 After program: 6.0 $p<0.004$) and depression (HADS score: Baseline 8.3, After program 5.9 $p<0.008$) scores in RTRs(117) as well as overall HRQoL as measured by the SF-36 questionnaire (Baseline: 394 After program: 553 $p<0.0001$) although the authors did not provide a breakdown of the scoring for each component. A 9-week intervention of Don Jeon, with 1 once-a-week supervised session and daily activity at home (55), found reductions in both stress ($p=0.03$) and uncertainty ($p=0.001$) compared to the control group and improvements in self-esteem ($p<0.001$) and overall QoL ($p=0.001$) although they do not report which questionnaires have been used.

After one-year of home-based aerobic exercise(48), the only scale on the SF-36 questionnaire that approached significant differences between the two groups was the physical functioning scale (Baseline Exercisers: 68.1, Control: 64.1; 12 months: Exercisers: 84.8, Control: 73.2, $P=0.06$). Although both groups improved in the role physical during the 12 months (Baseline Exercisers: 39.0, Control: 54.9; 12 months: Exercisers: 59.4, Control: 60.6), it remained low compared with the general population (average for general population is 83). Both groups improved with time in the physical component summary during the 12 months, (Baseline Exercisers: 40.1, Control: 40.8; 12 months: Exercisers 47.0, Control 44.8, $p<0.0001$), but there were no significant differences between the groups as a function of time. At 12 months, both groups were similar to the general population scores for mental health and the mental component summary; all other scale scores remained lower than the scores reported for the general population.

A 1-year resistance training intervention for 1 hour twice-a-week, with psychological and dietary support, specifically designed for obese RTRs (118), found that the mean SF-36 score at 6 months was significantly higher in the intervention group compared with the control group (583 vs 436 $p=.008$) and the exercisers had improvements in the domains of vitality (Intervention: 53 to 62, Control: 59 to 25, $p<0.05$) and general health (Intervention:39 to 50, Control: 45 to 29 , $p<0.05$) compared to the controls receiving usual care. This translated positively into job prospects, as overall, at 12-months there was a significantly higher employment rate of 77.7% in the exercise group, compared with 12.5% in the control group ($p=0.02$).

HTRs who participated in an early post-transplant rehabilitation program of supervised cycling or treadmill-walking for 12 weeks showed a significant increase of SF-36 scores in physical functioning (59.7 to 77.0), physical role (21.1 to 38.3), bodily pain (57.4 to 73.6), social functioning (63.6 to 72.8), emotional role (59.2 to 76.3), and mental health (67.1 to 73.4) (119). This study compared the results to patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) and found that after early

655 post-operative cardiac rehabilitation HTRs showed greater improvement in HRQoL than patients
656 with CABG regardless of lower physical capacity.

657 Additionally a hospital-based exercise program of combined aerobic and strength training three-
658 times-a-week over an eight-week period, produced a significant improvement in all aspects of the
659 SF-36 questionnaire except for mental health(120), although there was a significant improvement in
660 depressive symptoms as measured by the BDI (7.36 to 5.86 $p<0.05$). However there was no change
661 in symptoms of anxiety as recorded on the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (43.89 to 45.58
662 $p>0.05$).

663 A 24-week HIIT program for HTRs(69) found that both groups had high HRQoL scores and there were
664 no significant changes in any of the sum-scores. However, there was a significant difference between
665 the exercisers and the control group on the SF-36 General Health subscale at follow-up (Exercisers:
666 54 Control: 49, $p<0.05$). As for subjectively improved health, the exercisers reported 65 on the visual
667 analogue scale compared to 26 in the control group ($p<0.001$).

668 A 12-week home-based aerobic training program after lung transplantation(86) improved symptoms
669 of dyspnoea (3.6 to 4.2 after training, $p=0.03$) and a there was an observed trend towards decreased
670 fatigue (4.5 to 4.8, $p=0.07$) as measured by the chronic respiratory questionnaire.

671 A program for LTxRs within the first 12-weeks post-transplant, of mixed aerobic and strengthening
672 training(87), revealed that all HRQoL domains improved from month 1 to 3 when measured using
673 the SF-36. Physical conditioning demonstrated gains up to 3 months (Physical functioning: 46 at
674 baseline to 75 at 3-months, $p<0.05$, Role functioning physical: 31 to 71, $p<0.05$) whereas symptoms
675 related to surgery for example pain (39 at baseline to 62 at 2-months to 66 at 3-months), showed a
676 reduction up to 2 months ($p<0.05$) and then this effect plateaued.

677 A 3-4 week inpatient intensive rehabilitation program of aerobic and strengthening training for
678 LTxRs around 4.5 years post-transplantation(121) found no significant improvements in HRQoL (SF-

36) could be demonstrated in either the exercise group or the usual care group. In fact, the groups were found to have an already good HRQoL at the first evaluation visit, as indicated by SF-36 scores >80% of 100% best possible and although there was a slight trend toward increased HRQoL levels, this did not reach statistical significance.

A RCT of 3 months of three times-a-week combined aerobic and resistance training(54) only found a significant improvement in the physical components of the SF-36 when the intervention group were compared with controls (Physical functioning: Intervention: 43 at baseline to 77 after 1-year, Control: 36 to 65 $p=0.039$. Role functioning physical: Intervention: 31 to 83, Control: 22 to 52 $p=0.011$).

More physically active LivTxRs have improved general health, physical function, bodily pain and vitality(122). Fatigue is a common problem following LivTx(123) with 60% perceiving themselves to be fatigued or severely fatigued. . Following an uncontrolled twice-a-week, 12-week aerobic and strength rehabilitation program, LivTxRs reported an increase in health-related daily functioning (Sickness Impact Profile-68, 10.39 to 7.94 $p=0.007$). When assessing HRQoL with the SF-36 only physical functioning (67.5 to 75.3 $p=0.007$) and vitality (7.8 to 58.1 $p=0.019$) were statistically significant and the HADS score did not improve for either anxiety (5.5 to 5.4 $p=0.929$) or depression (5.8 to 5.4 $p=0.432$). Furthermore, another uncontrolled, supervised 12-week combined aerobic and strength training program with lifestyle counselling(63) found that there was a clinically relevant improvement in fatigue after the intervention (Fatigue Severity Scale 5.5 to 4.9 $p=0.014$, Visual Analogue scale 63.5 to 50.3 $p=0.043$ and Checklist Individual Strength 38.5 to 28.0 $p=0.007$).

A randomised 10-month home-based aerobic exercise program in combination with dietary advice(70) found that only the general health aspect of HRQoL improved (SF-36 Intervention: 54.5 to 65.1, Control: 58.8 to 63.0 $p<0.05$). This indicates that the increase in general health over time is greater for the exercisers than for those receiving usual care. All other physical scales increased in both groups ($p<0.05$) but the change was not significantly different between the exercisers and

controls. Of the mental scales only mental health showed a significant group by time interaction (Exercisers:78.5 to 83.4, Control: 79.3 to 77.9, $p=0.009$) with the increase being greater over time in the exercising group. Vitality and social functioning increased in both groups ($p<0.001$) at 12-months.

724 **Sporting Performance Following Transplantation**

725 Renal Transplant

726 High intensity exercise and sport have not been extensively investigated in transplant recipients,
727 with most publications being case report or small-scale studies.

728 A study of RTRs, performed at the WTG(124), determined that the average energy expenditure was
729 3376 ± 739 kcal/day, corresponding to 43.7 ± 13.6 kcal/kg per day, which is 25% higher than those of
730 age-matched inactive healthy subjects. The transplant recipients were also able to perform activity
731 at an intensity level greater than three times the resting MET for 197 ± 112 min suggesting that SOTRs
732 are capable of taking part in sustained moderate-vigorous activity. However the RTRs did perform at
733 a lower level compared to age-matched best performances of nationally competitive healthy
734 athletes. Following a complicated post-transplant recovery a previously competitive swimmer has
735 managed to complete a successful rehabilitation programme and return to swimming(125), taking
736 part in several WTG and gaining many medals and records. Although transplant patients are
737 performing at a lower level than healthy athletes, with a structured training program there is
738 potential for them to return to performance levels which match their non-transplanted counterparts
739 with adequate training, motivation and nutrition. Another example of a RTR returning to
740 competition with non-transplanted athletes is seen in a case study of a professional boxer(126).
741 Within three years of transplantation he had returned to regular training and commenced protein
742 supplements to improve his body composition. Despite recommendations from physicians he
743 continued to box professionally and has had no adverse effects to his graft function.

744 Heart Transplant

745 A patient, who developed cardiac failure due to dilated cardiomyopathy, underwent a cardiac
746 transplant(127) and as part of his rehabilitation he was introduced to tennis. At 22-months post-
747 transplant he was able to match the aerobic capacity of an age-matched member of the general

population. He went on to participate successfully at the WTG and has managed to maintain his physiological function a decade later at his most recent assessments. Furthermore, an elite cyclist who experienced heart failure following a myocardial infarction (MI) received a heart transplant 4 months later(128,129). He resumed training after 1 month and underwent maximal exercise testing. Prior to his MI, his VO_{2max} was 58ml/kg/min, this remained reduced at both 6 and 12-months post-transplant, although there were significant improvements over time. These results imply that if an individual is physically fit prior to their transplant then this may positively impact on their subsequent work capacity. In active HTRs it may be beneficial to introduce a more intensive rehabilitation program so that they can return to competition faster.

It is difficult to predict which patients chronotropic incompetence will affect. A young HTR experienced a severely reduced exercise capacity with a workload of only 56% predicted(130),with the major contributor an insufficient HR response to activity. She had a pacemaker implanted and this significantly improved her physical performance, resulting in her winning several medals at the European Heart and Lung Transplant Games. This demonstrates that chronotropic incompetence can be successfully treated and it does not necessarily limit subsequent athletic performance.

Further case-reports have shown that, following an intensive endurance training program, HTRs are able to improve maximal power, oxygen consumption, resting and submaximal HR and ventilator anaerobic threshold(131). One such report featured a HTR who completed the Boston marathon in less than six hours with no observed complications(131) and the second successfully competing for an intercollegiate conference championship soccer team(132). Additionally, in endurance-trained HTRs(133), graded exercise does not alter levels of malondialdehyde in either HTRs or healthy controls and there is also no difference in glutathione peroxidase activity or vitamin E levels suggesting that exercise to fatigue does not promote an increase in oxidative stress in the blood of HTRs.

773 Heart and Lung Transplant

774 A patient with cystic fibrosis successfully underwent a HLTx(134). One year after surgery, exercise
775 capacity was slightly reduced due to cardio-circulatory rather than ventilatory limitations. In the 10-
776 years after transplantation he took part in strenuous training in order to compete in sports events
777 for transplant patients. Exercise testing revealed better-than-normal aerobic and ventilatory
778 capacity as characterized by a noticeably high oxygen uptake, oxygen pulse and delayed onset of
779 ventilatory anaerobic threshold. This suggests that patients who have had a HLTx are capable of
780 achieving high levels of physical fitness and can perform well in competitive environments.
781 Therefore, those patients who are motivated to return to intense physical activity should be
782 encouraged, as their maximal physical capabilities are often not reached by conventional
783 rehabilitation.

784 Sporting Performance in an Extreme Environment

785 Performance in an extreme environment has been assessed by studying RTRs whilst trekking in the
786 desert(135). There were minimal differences between transplant and healthy-controls for BP,
787 hydration status, walking velocity and intensity of physical activity. The selected transplant patients,
788 who had a creatinine clearance>55ml/min, showed a near-normal physical performance and
789 acclimatisation to the extreme conditions of the desert environment which suggests that
790 performance of RTRs can be maintained even in challenging environmental conditions. Moreover, 6
791 LivTxRs and 15 healthy controls participated in a trek up Mount Kilimanjaro in Tanzania, with an
792 ascent of 5895m(136). Most of the participants successfully completed the trek (83% LivTxRs vs
793 84.6% controls). Of those who were unable to complete the climb, the LivTxR reported physical
794 exhaustion and the two controls developed gastroenteritis and cerebral oedema respectively.
795 Overall, there was no difference in physical performance, perceived exertion or altitude sickness
796 between groups. However both experienced reduced oxygen saturations and a rise in BP and HR as
797 altitude increased. The only significant difference was the development of hypertension in LivTxRs at

798 3950m. This study provides evidence that LivTxRs can safely participate in strenuous physical activity
799 at high altitude, as their adaptive responses are similar to the control participants with few adverse
800 events seen.

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817 **Risks of Exercise and Sport**

818 There are risks to the transplant recipients of a return to sport, although documented adverse
819 events are mostly linked to traumatic events. One RTR developed a traumatic lymphocoele following
820 direct trauma from a squash ball(137) requiring marsupialisation of the lymphocoele in the
821 peritoneal cavity although the patient recovered fully despite being very unwell initially.
822 Additionally, following intense gym sessions and heavy lifting, a RTR reported anuria(138).
823 Investigations revealed a haematoma secondary to a spontaneous pseudocapsular bleed or intense
824 activity. Following evacuation of the blood clots in theatre, the patient began to pass urine and has
825 made a full recovery.

826 Infection remains a concern in transplant recipients and, as exercise can be detrimental to the
827 immune system, it should be considered when athletes who are already immunosuppressed are
828 training intensely(139). After cycling in an 81km event, 10 RTRs and 10 healthy-controls underwent
829 simulated exposure to pathogens(140). Analysis revealed an oppositional pattern of gene expression
830 in RTRs compared to controls. In addition, in RTRs several apoptotic genes were over-represented
831 whereas immune response genes were seen more commonly in controls. RTRs also had a
832 significantly lower relative increase in neutrophils following the bout of exhaustive exercise(141).

833 In HTRs, one study discovered that lymphocyte subpopulations do not change during or after a six-
834 week cycling exercise intervention(142),with only slight, non-significant, increases in total T cells,
835 activated T cells, cytotoxic T cells and the T4+/T8+ ratio. Additionally, levels of immunosuppression
836 in the bloodstream, episodes of rejection and infections are also unaffected. Another supervised 8-
837 week aerobic program found there is no effect on plasma markers of inflammation (C-reactive
838 protein, IL-6, TNF- α)(143). However the control group (HTRs receiving usual care) did have a
839 significant rise in TNF- α following completion suggesting that activity does have a role in improving
840 inflammation in HTRs.

Many individuals who receive a SOT, regard it as an opportunity to embark on a new healthier lifestyle or to re-engage with sporting activities they enjoyed prior to their transplant. There are many opportunities for SOTRs to partake in competitive sporting events and they are often able to achieve a fitness level near to that of the general population. Although the intensive training and exercise that they participate in is usually well-tolerated there are potential issues which may arise and therefore the benefits of exercise cannot simply be considered as for the general population. The effects of immunosuppression and the known increase in CV risk means that undertaking physical training may result in abnormal responses of the immune and CV systems to exercise. Given the paucity of research, and that it has been highlighted as a top research priority by a panel of transplantation and sports experts(144), further studies need to be conducted, so that evidence-based guidelines can be produced for this complex population, ensuring the best possible outcomes whilst limiting adverse events.

Conclusions and Recommendations

This review explores the benefits of structured exercise programs on the health of SOTRs. Incorporation of exercise into the routine post-operative care of transplant recipients should be strongly considered due to the improvement in many aspects of wellbeing in these patients and the absence of significant complications or side-effects.

Cardiovascular System

Exercise programs of combined aerobic and strength training of at least 3 months duration seem to be most effective at reducing BP in HTRs and LTxRs, with HIIT being a promising alternative. However RTRs seem to gain less benefit and this may be in part due to their underlying disease process and suboptimal renal function. Cholesterol and triglyceride levels do not appear to be augmented by exercise, with transplant recipients experiencing increases over time regardless of activity. LivTxRs, who are more likely to experience metabolic syndrome, did however have static total cholesterol:HDL cholesterol after training and this may represent a slowing of the progression of hypercholesterolaemia. Glycaemic control in RTRs is improved by a 6-month combined lifestyle education and endurance exercise program and LTxRs achieve benefit after 3-months of combined training. However LivTxRs experience worsening of glycaemic control despite 12-weeks of exercise and this again reflects the complexities of treating those with increased risk of metabolic syndrome, LivTxRs may benefit from longer interventions or modification of the training program to include dietary and lifestyle advice.

Body Composition

Both aerobic and combined resistance and aerobic training programs of at least 12-weeks duration are effective in increasing lean muscle mass, however there are few improvements seen in fat mass and overall body weight and BMI. Even when dietary advice has been incorporated into the programs, there have been no additional benefits observed. BMD decreases in transplant recipients

post-operatively, predominantly due to immunosuppression and steroids, and this decline is reduced by targeted lumbar resistance training of at least 6-months duration. The greatest benefit is seen when combined with alendronic acid, although calcitonin supplementation is not effective. Results have been less promising in improving femoral BMD and also in LivTxRs, and this requires further investigation.

Exercise Capacity

Interventions comprising of aerobic or combined aerobic and resistance exercise have consistently been shown to improve workload and muscle strength. Durations of between 12-weeks and 12-months and both home-based and supervised training have been effective in all types of transplant recipient. These improvements are also translated into a significant improvement in physical performance in a variety of tests such as the 6MWT and the capacity to exercise until exhaustion.

In RTRs, HTRs and LivTxRs aerobic, resistance or combined training leads to consistent gains in aerobic capacity with improvements being seen in home-based and supervised programs and also in durations of between 10-weeks and 1-year, with frequencies of between 2 and 5 times-a-week. However LTxRs generally see minimal improvement in FVC, FEV₁, VO_{2max} in comparison to transplant recipients receiving usual care in studies of 12-weeks duration, irrespective of supervision. This is a reflection of their underlying disease status and they may require longer interventions to see a benefit. In HTRs, HIIT is more effective than moderate continuous exercise in improving exercise capacity and this could be a potential area of further investigation in other transplant recipients, particularly LTxRs.

Quality of Life

The most commonly used measures of HRQoL and anxiety and depression are the SF-36 and HADS respectively. In general there is an improvement in the overall SF-36 score and in particular physical functioning, vitality and general health in all types of transplant recipients, with changes being seen

following supervised, inpatient and home-based exercise of varying types. Measures of mental health prove more variable, with no clear trend emerging with regards to which type of exercise intervention is most beneficial. Similarly, when anxiety and depression are assessed, a short 10-week program of cycling for RTRs significantly improved both anxiety and depression, whereas an 8-week combined program for HTRs showed only a statistically significant improvement in depressive symptoms and in LivTxRs, 12-weeks of aerobic and strength training failed to show an improvement in either anxiety or depression. Structured exercise programs may be useful in improving anxiety and depression and more importantly they are not detrimental to mental health.

931 **Acknowledgements**

932 This activity was conducted under the auspices of the National Centre for Sport and Exercise
933 Medicine (NCSEM) England, a collaboration between several universities, NHS trusts and sporting
934 and public bodies. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of NCSEM
935 England or the partners involved. The work was supported by the National Institute for Health
936 Research (NIHR) Diet, Lifestyle & Physical Activity Biomedical Research Unit based at University
937 Hospitals of Leicester and Loughborough University. The views expressed are those of the authors
938 and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

939 **Conflict of Interest**

940 J. Neale, A.C Smith and N.C Bishop declare that they have no conflict of interest.

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

References

- (1) Pruthi R, Casula A, MacPhee I. UK Renal Registry 17th Annual Report: Chapter 3 Demographic and Biochemistry Profile of Kidney Transplant Recipients in the UK in 2013: National and Centre-specific Analyses. *Nephron* 2015;129(Suppl. 1):57-86.
- (2) Global Observatory on Donation and Transplantation - 2012 Activity Data. January 2014; Available at: <http://www.transplant-observatory.org/Pages/Data-Reports.aspx>. Accessed March 26, 2015.
- (3) Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients - Data Tables. Available at: http://www.srtr.org/annual_reports/2011/data_tables_section5.aspx. Accessed March 26, 2015.
- (4) World Transplant Games Federation. Available at: <http://www.wtgf.org/default.asp>. Accessed March, 2015.
- (5) Cicognani E, Mazzoni D, Totti V, Roi GS, Mosconi G, Nanni Costa A. Health-related quality of life after solid organ transplantation: the role of sport activity. *Psychol , Health Med* 2014(ahead-of-print):1-8.
- (6) Griffin P. Exercise and sport after organ transplantation. *Br J Sports Med* 1998 Sep;32(3):194.
- (7) Painter PL, Luetkemeier MJ, Moore GE, Dibble SL, Green GA, Myll JO, et al. HEALTH-RELATED FITNESS AND QUALITY OF LIFE IN ORGAN TRANSPLANT RECIPIENTS1, 2. *Transplantation* 1997;64(12):1795-1800.
- (8) Slapak M. Sport for the transplant athlete-just harmless fun or a valuable tool? *Annals of Transplantation* 2005;10(4):24.
- (9) Deliva RD, Patterson C, So S, Pellow V, Miske S, McLister C, et al. The World Transplant Games: An incentive to improve physical fitness and habitual activity in pediatric solid organ transplant recipients. *Pediatr Transplant* 2014;18(8):889-895.
- (10) Slapak M. The effect of The World Transplant Games on transplant rates in five continents. *Ann Transplant* 2004;9(1):46-50.
- (11) Jha V, Wang AY, Wang H. The impact of CKD identification in large countries: the burden of illness. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2012 Oct;27 Suppl 3:iii32-8.
- (12) Chronic Kidney Disease Prognosis Consortium, Matsushita K, van der Velde M, Astor BC, Woodward M, Levey AS, et al. Association of estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in general population cohorts: a collaborative meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2010 Jun 12;375(9731):2073-2081.
- (13) Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, McCulloch CE, Hsu C. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351(13):1296-1305.
- (14) Wolfe RA, Ashby VB, Milford EL, Ojo AO, Ettenger RE, Agodoa LY, et al. Comparison of mortality in all patients on dialysis, patients on dialysis awaiting transplantation, and recipients of a first cadaveric transplant. *N Engl J Med* 1999;341(23):1725-1730.

- 992 (15) Von der Lippe N, Waldum B, Brekke FB, Amro AA, Reisæter AV, Os I. From dialysis to
993 transplantation: a 5-year longitudinal study on self-reported quality of life. BMC nephrology
994 2014;15(1):191.
- 995 (16) Simmons RG, Abress L. Quality-of-life issues for end-stage renal disease patients. American
996 Journal of Kidney Diseases 1990;15(3):201-208.
- 997 (17) Rao PS, Merion RM, Ashby VB, Port FK, Wolfe RA, Kayler LK. Renal transplantation in elderly
998 patients older than 70 years of age: results from the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients.
999 Transplantation 2007 Apr 27;83(8):1069-1074.
- 1000 (18) Oniscu GC, Brown H, Forsythe JL. How great is the survival advantage of transplantation over
1001 dialysis in elderly patients? Nephrol Dial Transplant 2004 Apr;19(4):945-951.
- 1002 (19) Bottomley MJ, Harden PN. Update on the long-term complications of renal transplantation. Br
1003 Med Bull 2013;106:117-134.
- 1004 (20) Boots JM, Christiaans MH, van Hooff JP. Effect of immunosuppressive agents on long-term
1005 survival of renal transplant recipients. Drugs 2004;64(18):2047-2073.
- 1006 (21) Marcén R. Immunosuppressive drugs in kidney transplantation. Drugs 2009;69(16):2227-2243.
- 1007 (22) Ghanta M, Kozicky M, Jim B. Pathophysiologic and Treatment Strategies for Cardiovascular
1008 Disease in End Stage Renal Disease and Kidney Transplantation. Cardiol Rev 2014 Oct 10.
- 1009 (23) Abedini S, Holme I, Marz W, Weihrauch G, Fellstrom B, Jardine A, et al. Inflammation in renal
1010 transplantation. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2009 Jul;4(7):1246-1254.
- 1011 (24) Varagunam M, Finney H, Trevitt R, Sharples E, McCloskey DJ, Sinnott PJ, et al. Pretransplantation
1012 levels of C-reactive protein predict all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, but not graft outcome, in
1013 kidney transplant recipients. American journal of kidney diseases 2004;43(3):502-507.
- 1014 (25) Malyszko J, Malyszko JS, Mysliwiec M. Fluvastatin therapy affects TAFI concentration in kidney
1015 transplant recipients. Transplant Int 2003;16(1):53-57.
- 1016 (26) Malyszko J, Malyszko JS, Hryszko T, Brzosko S, Lebkowska U, Mysliwiec M. Renal transplant
1017 recipients with coronary artery disease exhibit impairment in fibrinolysis and structural changes in
1018 carotid arteries. Transplant Int 2005;18(2):256-259.
- 1019 (27) Opatrny K,Jr, Zemanova P, Opatrna S, Vit L. Fibrinolysis in chronic renal failure, dialysis and renal
1020 transplantation. Ann Transplant 2002;7(1):34-43.
- 1021 (28) McManus RP, O'Hair DP, Beitzinger JM, Schweiger J, Siegel R, Breen TJ, et al. Patients who die
1022 awaiting heart transplantation. J Heart Lung Transplant 1993 Mar-Apr;12(2):159-71; discussion 172.
- 1023 (29) Schulze PC, Kitada S, Clerkin K, Jin Z, Mancini DM. Regional differences in recipient waitlist time
1024 and pre-and post-transplant mortality after the 2006 United Network for Organ Sharing policy
1025 changes in the donor heart allocation algorithm. JACC: Heart Failure 2014;2(2):166-177.

- 1026 (30) Mulloy DP, Bhamidipati CM, Stone ML, Ailawadi G, Kron IL, Kern JA. Orthotopic heart transplant
1027 versus left ventricular assist device: a national comparison of cost and survival. J Thorac Cardiovasc
1028 Surg 2013;145(2):566-574.
- 1029 (31) Squires RW. Exercise therapy for cardiac transplant recipients. Prog Cardiovasc Dis
1030 2011;53(6):429-436.
- 1031 (32) Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network. Available at:
1032 <http://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/converge/data/default.asp>. Accessed June, 2015.
- 1033 (33) Hartert M, Senbaklavacin O, Gohrbandt B, Fischer BM, Buhl R, Vahld CF. Lung transplantation: a
1034 treatment option in end-stage lung disease. Dtsch Arztebl Int 2014 Feb 14;111(7):107-116.
- 1035 (34) Yusen RD, Christie JD, Edwards LB, Kucheryavaya AY, Benden C, Dipchand AI, et al. The Registry
1036 of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: thirtieth adult lung and heart-lung
1037 transplant report—2013; focus theme: age. The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation
1038 2013;32(10):965-978.
- 1039 (35) Harringer W, Haverich A. Heart and heart-lung transplantation: standards and improvements.
1040 World J Surg 2002;26(2):218-225.
- 1041 (36) Langer D, Gosselink R, Pitta F, Burtin C, Verleden G, Dupont L, et al. Physical activity in daily life 1
1042 year after lung transplantation. The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation 2009;28(6):572-578.
- 1043 (37) Wickerson L, Mathur S, Singer LG, Brooks D. Physical activity levels early after lung
1044 transplantation. Phys Ther 2015 Apr;95(4):517-525.
- 1045 (38) Global Observatory on Donation and Transplantation: Liver Transplant Activity 2010. Available
1046 at: <https://reports.ont.es/caaan.aspx>. Accessed June, 2015.
- 1047 (39) Everhart JE, Lombardero M, Lake JR, Wiesner RH, Zetterman RK, Hoofnagle JH. Weight change
1048 and obesity after liver transplantation: incidence and risk factors. Liver Transplantation and Surgery
1049 1998;4(4):285-296.
- 1050 (40) Fussner LA, Heimbach JK, Fan C, Dierkhising R, Coss E, Leise MD, et al. Cardiovascular Disease
1051 After Liver Transplantation. When, what and who is at risk. Liver Transplantation 2015.
- 1052 (41) Bravata DM, Olkin I, Barnato AE, Keeffe EB, Owens DK. Health-related quality of life after liver
1053 transplantation: A meta-analysis. Liver Transplantation and Surgery 1999;5(4):318-331.
- 1054 (42) Powers SK, Lennon SL, Quindry J, Mehta JL. Exercise and cardioprotection. Curr Opin Cardiol
1055 2002;17(5):495-502.
- 1056 (43) Nielens H, Lejeune TM, Lalaoui A, Squifflet JP, Pirson Y, Goffin E. Increase of physical activity
1057 level after successful renal transplantation: a 5 year follow-up study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2001
1058 Jan;16(1):134-140.
- 1059 (44) Jakovljevic DG, McDiarmid A, Hallsworth K, Seferovic PM, Ninkovic VM, Parry G, et al. Effect of
1060 Left Ventricular Assist Device Implantation and Heart Transplantation on Habitual Physical Activity
1061 and Quality of Life. Am J Cardiol 2014;114(1):88-93.

1062 (45) Zelle DM, Corpeleijn E, Stolk RP, de Greef MH, Gans RO, van der Heide JJ, et al. Low physical
1063 activity and risk of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in renal transplant recipients. Clin J Am Soc
1064 Nephrol 2011 Apr;6(4):898-905.

1065 (46) MILLER, T. D., SQUIRES, R. W., GAU, G. T., ILSTRUP, D. M., FROHNERT, P. P., & STERIOFF, S.
1066 Graded exercise testing and training after renal transplantation: a preliminary study. Mayo Clinic
1067 Proceedings: Elsevier; 1987.

1068 (47) Painter PL, Hector L, Ray K, Lynes L, Paul SM, Dodd M, et al. Effects of exercise training on
1069 coronary heart disease risk factors in renal transplant recipients. American journal of kidney diseases
1070 2003;42(2):362-369.

1071 (48) Painter PL, Hector L, Ray K, Lynes L, Dibble S, Paul SM, et al. A randomized trial of exercise
1072 training after renal transplantation. Transplantation 2002;74(1):42-48.

1073 (49) Keteyian S, Shepard R, Ehrman J, Fedel F, Glick C, Rhoads K, et al. Cardiovascular responses of
1074 heart transplant patients to exercise training. J Appl Physiol (1985) 1991 Jun;70(6):2627-2631.

1075 (50) Dall C, Snoer M, Christensen S, Monk-Hansen T, Frederiksen M, Gustafsson F, et al. Effect of
1076 High-Intensity Training Versus Moderate Training on Peak Oxygen Uptake and Chronotropic
1077 Response in Heart Transplant Recipients: A Randomized Crossover Trial. American Journal of
1078 Transplantation 2014;14(10):2391-2399.

1079 (51) Haykowsky M, Taylor D, Kim D, Tymchak W. Exercise training improves aerobic capacity and
1080 skeletal muscle function in heart transplant recipients. American Journal of Transplantation
1081 2009;9(4):734-739.

1082 (52) Bernardi L, Radaelli A, Passino C, Falcone C, Auguadro C, Martinelli L, et al. Effects of physical
1083 training on cardiovascular control after heart transplantation. Int J Cardiol 2007;118(3):356-362.

1084 (53) Bexton RS, Milne JR, Cory-Pearce R, English TA, Camm AJ. Effect of beta blockade on exercise
1085 response after cardiac transplantation. Br Heart J 1983 Jun;49(6):584-588.

1086 (54) Langer D, Burtin C, Schepers L, Ivanova A, Verleden G, Decramer M, et al. Exercise training after
1087 lung transplantation improves participation in daily activity: a randomized controlled trial. American
1088 Journal of Transplantation 2012;12(6):1584-1592.

1089 (55) Effect of a DanJeon Breathing Exercise Program on the quality of life in patients with kidney
1090 transplants. Transplantation proceedings: Elsevier; 2008.

1091 (56) Sharif A, Moore R, Baboolal K. Influence of lifestyle modification in renal transplant recipients
1092 with postprandial hyperglycemia. Transplantation 2008 Feb 15;85(3):353-358.

1093 (57) Juskowa, J., Lewandowska, M., Bartłomiejczyk, I., Foroniewicz, B., Korabiewska, I., Niewczas,
1094 M., & Sierdziński, J. Physical rehabilitation and risk of atherosclerosis after successful kidney
1095 transplantation. Transplantation proceedings: Elsevier; 2006.

1096 (58) Triolo G, Segoloni GP, Tetta C, Vercellone A, Cassader M, Boggio-Bertinet D, et al. Effect of
1097 combined diet and physical exercise on plasma lipids of renal transplant recipients. Nephrol Dial
1098 Transplant 1989;4(3):237-238.

- 1099 (59) Sharif A, Moore R, Baboolal K. Influence of lifestyle modification in renal transplant recipients
1100 with postprandial hyperglycemia. *Transplantation* 2008 Feb 15;85(3):353-358.
- 1101 (60) Choudhary NS, Saigal S, Saraf N, Mohanka R, Rastogi A, Goja S, et al. Sarcopenic obesity with
1102 metabolic syndrome: a newly recognized entity following living donor liver transplantation. *Clin*
1103 *Transplant* 2015;29(3):211-215.
- 1104 (61) Watt KD, Charlton MR. Metabolic syndrome and liver transplantation: a review and guide to
1105 management. *Journal of hepatology*. 2010 Jul 31;53(1):199-206..
- 1106 (62) Kallwitz ER, Loy V, Mettu P, Roenn N, Berkes J, Cotler SJ. Physical activity and metabolic
1107 syndrome in liver transplant recipients. *Liver Transplantation* 2013;19(10):1125-1131.
- 1108 (63) van den Berg-Emons RJ, van Ginneken BT, Nooijen CF, Metselaar HJ, Tilanus HW, Kazemier G, et
1109 al. Fatigue after liver transplantation: effects of a rehabilitation program including exercise training
1110 and physical activity counseling. *Phys Ther* 2014 Jun;94(6):857-865.
- 1111 (64) Orazio L, Hickman I, Armstrong K, Johnson D, Banks M, Isbel N. Higher levels of physical activity
1112 are associated with a lower risk of abnormal glucose tolerance in renal transplant recipients. *Journal*
1113 *of Renal Nutrition* 2009;19(4):304-313.
- 1114 (65) Nyberg G, Hallste G, Norden G, Hadimeri H, Wramner L. Physical performance does not improve
1115 in elderly patients following successful kidney transplantation. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*
1116 1995;10(1):86-90.
- 1117 (66) van den Ham, Eugénie CH, Kooman JP, Christiaans MH, van Hooff JP. Relation between steroid
1118 dose, body composition and physical activity in renal transplant patients. *Transplantation*
1119 2000;69(8):1591-1598.
- 1120 (67) Horber FF, Hoopeler H, Scheidegger JR, Grunig BE, Howald H, Frey FJ. Impact of physical training
1121 on the ultrastructure of midhigh muscle in normal subjects and in patients treated with
1122 glucocorticoids. *J Clin Invest* 1987 Apr;79(4):1181-1190.
- 1123 (68) Braith RW, Welsch MA, Mills RM,Jr, Keller JW, Pollock ML. Resistance exercise prevents
1124 glucocorticoid-induced myopathy in heart transplant recipients. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998
1125 Apr;30(4):483-489.
- 1126 (69) Nytrøen K, Rustad LA, Aukrust P, Ueland T, Hallén J, Holm I, et al. High-Intensity Interval Training
1127 Improves Peak Oxygen Uptake and Muscular Exercise Capacity in Heart Transplant Recipients.
1128 *American Journal of Transplantation* 2012;12(11):3134-3142.
- 1129 (70) Krasnoff J, Vintro A, Ascher N, Bass N, Paul S, Dodd M, et al. A randomized trial of exercise and
1130 dietary counseling after liver transplantation. *American journal of transplantation* 2006;6(8):1896-
1131 1905.
- 1132 (71) Tomas MT, Santa-Clara H, Bruno PM, Monteiro E, Carrolo M, Barroso E, et al. The impact of
1133 exercise training on liver transplanted familial amyloidotic polyneuropathy (FAP) patients.
1134 *Transplantation* 2013 Jan 27;95(2):372-377.
- 1135 (72) Braith RW. Exercise training in patients with CHF and heart transplant recipients. *Med Sci Sports*
1136 *Exerc* 1998;30(10 Suppl):S367-78.

- 1137 (73) Braith RW, Magyari PM, Fulton MN, Lisor CF, Vogel SE, Hill JA, et al. Comparison of calcitonin
1138 versus calcitonin + resistance exercise as prophylaxis for osteoporosis in heart transplant recipients.
1139 Transplantation 2006 Apr 27;81(8):1191-1195.
- 1140 (74) Mitchell MJ, Baz MA, Fulton MN, Lisor CF, Braith RW. Resistance training prevents vertebral
1141 osteoporosis in lung transplant recipients. Transplantation 2003;76(3):557-562.
- 1142 (75) Braith RW, Conner JA, Fulton MN, Lisor CF, Casey DP, Howe KS, et al. Comparison of
1143 alendronate vs alendronate plus mechanical loading as prophylaxis for osteoporosis in lung
1144 transplant recipients: a pilot study. The Journal of heart and lung transplantation 2007;26(2):132-
1145 137.
- 1146 (76) Korabiewska, L., Lewandowska, M., Juskowa, J., & Białoszewski, D. Need for rehabilitation in
1147 renal replacement therapy involving allogeneic kidney transplantation. Transplantation proceedings:
1148 Elsevier; 2007.
- 1149 (77) Kempeneers G, Noakes T, van Zyl-Smit R, Myburgh K, Lambert M, Adams B, et al. Skeletal
1150 muscle limits the exercise tolerance of renal transplant recipients: effects of a graded exercise
1151 training program. American Journal of Kidney Diseases 1990;16(1):57-65.
- 1152 (78) Greenwood SA, Koufaki P, Mercer TH, Rush R, O'Connor E, Tuffnell R, et al. Aerobic or resistance
1153 training and pulse wave velocity in kidney transplant recipients: a 12-week pilot randomized
1154 controlled trial (the Exercise in Renal Transplant [ExeRT] Trial). American Journal of Kidney Diseases
1155 2015;66(4):689-698.
- 1156 (79) Kobashigawa JA, Leaf DA, Lee N, Gleeson MP, Liu H, Hamilton MA, et al. A controlled trial of
1157 exercise rehabilitation after heart transplantation. N Engl J Med 1999;340(4):272-277.
- 1158 (80) Williams TJ, Grossman RF, Maurer JR. Long-term functional follow-up of lung transplant
1159 recipients. Clin Chest Med 1990 Jun;11(2):347-358.
- 1160 (81) Miyoshi S, Trulock E, Schaefer H, Hsieh C, Patterson G, Cooper J. Cardiopulmonary exercise
1161 testing after single and double lung transplantation. CHEST Journal 1990;97(5):1130-1136.
- 1162 (82) Williams TJ, Patterson GA, McClean PA, Zamel N, Maurer JR. Maximal exercise testing in single
1163 and double lung transplant recipients. Am Rev Respir Dis 1992;145(1):101-105.
- 1164 (83) Levine SM, Anzueto A, Peters JL, Cronin T, Sako EY, Jenkinson SG, et al. Medium term functional
1165 results of single-lung transplantation for endstage obstructive lung disease. Am J Respir Crit Care
1166 Med 1994 Aug;150(2):398-402.
- 1167 (84) Lands LC, Smountas AA, Mesiano G, Brosseau L, Shennib H, Charbonneau M, et al. Maximal
1168 exercise capacity and peripheral skeletal muscle function following lung transplantation. The Journal
1169 of heart and lung transplantation 1999;18(2):113-120.
- 1170 (85) Mathur S, Levy RD, Reid WD. Skeletal muscle strength and endurance in recipients of lung
1171 transplants. Cardiopulm Phys Ther J 2008 Sep;19(3):84-93.
- 1172 (86) Vivodtzev I, Pison C, Guerrero K, Mezin P, Maclet E, Borel J, et al. Benefits of home-based
1173 endurance training in lung transplant recipients. Respiratory physiology & neurobiology
1174 2011;177(2):189-198.

- 1175 (87) Munro, P. E., Holland, A. E., Bailey, M., Button, B. M., & Snell, G. I. Pulmonary rehabilitation
1176 following lung transplantation. Transplantation proceedings: Elsevier; 2009.
- 1177 (88) Garcia, A. M. C., Veneroso, C. E., Soares, D. D., Lima, A. S., & Correia, M. I. T. D. Effect of a
1178 Physical Exercise Program on the Functional Capacity of Liver Transplant Patients. Transplantation
1179 proceedings: Elsevier; 2014.
- 1180 (89) Richard R, Verdier J, Doutreleau S, Piquard F, Gény B, Rieu M. Exercise limitation in trained heart
1181 and kidney transplant recipients: central and peripheral limitations. The Journal of heart and lung
1182 transplantation 2005;24(11):1774-1780.
- 1183 (90) Quigg R, Salyer J, Mohanty P, Simpson P. Impaired exercise capacity late after cardiac
1184 transplantation: influence of chronotropic incompetence, hypertension, and calcium channel
1185 blockers. Am Heart J 1998;136(3):465-473.
- 1186 (91) Guerrero K, Wuyam B, Mezin P, Vivodtzev I, Vendelin M, Borel JC, et al. Functional coupling of
1187 adenine nucleotide translocase and mitochondrial creatine kinase is enhanced after exercise training
1188 in lung transplant skeletal muscle. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2005 Oct;289(4):R1144-
1189 54.
- 1190 (92) Stiebellehner L, Quittan M, End A, Wieselthaler G, Klepetko W, Haber P, et al. Aerobic
1191 endurance training program improves exercise performance in lung transplant recipients. CHEST
1192 Journal 1998;113(4):906-912.
- 1193 (93) Stephenson AL, Yoshida EM, Abboud RT, Fradet G, Levy RD. Impaired exercise performance
1194 after successful liver transplantation. Transplantation 2001;72(6):1161-1164.
- 1195 (94) Lemyze M, Dharancy S, Nevière R, Pruvot F, Declerck N, Wallaert B. Aerobic capacity in patients
1196 with chronic liver disease: Very modest effect of liver transplantation. La Presse Médicale
1197 2010;39(7):e174-e181.
- 1198 (95) Revicki DA, Osoba D, Fairclough D, Barofsky I, Berzon R, Leidy N, et al. Recommendations on
1199 health-related quality of life research to support labeling and promotional claims in the United
1200 States. Quality of Life Research 2000;9(8):887-900.
- 1201 (96) Tonelli M, Wiebe N, Knoll G, Bello A, Browne S, Jadhav D, et al. Systematic review: kidney
1202 transplantation compared with dialysis in clinically relevant outcomes. American Journal of
1203 Transplantation 2011;11(10):2093-2109.
- 1204 (97) Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Health and quality of life outcomes
1205 2003;1(1):1.
- 1206 (98) Ware Jr JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36): I. Conceptual
1207 framework and item selection. Med Care 1992;473-483.
- 1208 (99) Cross-sectional assessment of psychiatric disorders in renal transplantation patients in Turkey: a
1209 preliminary study. Transplantation proceedings: Elsevier; 2004.
- 1210 (100) Mehr ZP, Hami M, Eshgh ZM. Anxiety and Depression: A Comparison between Living and
1211 Cadaveric Renal Transplant Recipients. International journal of organ transplantation medicine
1212 2011;2(4):178.

- 1213 (101) Hathaway DK, Winsett RP, Johnson C, Tolley EA, Hartwig M, Milstead J, et al. Post kidney
1214 transplant quality of life prediction models. *Clin Transplant* 1998;12(3):168-174.
- 1215 (102) Dew MA, Kormos RL, Roth LH, Murali S, DiMartini A, Griffith BP. Early post-transplant medical
1216 compliance and mental health predict physical morbidity and mortality one to three years after
1217 heart transplantation. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation* 1999;18(6):549-562.
- 1218 (103) Fisher DC, Lake KD, Reutzel TJ, Emery RW. Changes in health-related quality of life and
1219 depression in heart transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 1995 Mar-Apr;14(2):373-381.
- 1220 (104) Walden JA, Stevenson LW, Dracup K, Wilmarth J, Kobashigawa J, Moriguchi J. Heart
1221 transplantation may not improve quality of life for patients with stable heart failure. *Heart Lung*
1222 1989 Sep;18(5):497-506.
- 1223 (105) Bohachick P, Anton BB, Wooldridge PJ, Kormos RL, Armitage JM, Hardesty RL, et al.
1224 Psychosocial outcome six months after heart transplant surgery: a preliminary report. *Res Nurs*
1225 *Health* 1992;15(3):165-173.
- 1226 (106) Caine N, Sharples LD, English TA, Wallwork J. Prospective study comparing quality of life before
1227 and after heart transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 1990 Aug;22(4):1437-1439.
- 1228 (107) Packa DR. Quality of life of adults after a heart transplant. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 1989;3(2):12-22.
- 1229 (108) Pinson CW, Feurer ID, Payne JL, Wise PE, Shockley S, Speroff T. Health-related quality of life
1230 after different types of solid organ transplantation. *Ann Surg* 2000 Oct;232(4):597-607.
- 1231 (109) Dew MA, Kormos RL, DiMartini AF, Switzer GE, Schulberg HC, Roth LH, et al. Prevalence and
1232 risk of depression and anxiety-related disorders during the first three years after heart
1233 transplantation. *Psychosomatics* 2001;42(4):300-313.
- 1234 (110) Ruzyczka, E. W., Milaniak, I., Przybyłowski, P., Wierzbicki, K., Siwińska, J., Hubner, F. K., &
1235 Sadowski, J. Depression and quality of life in terms of personal resources in heart transplant
1236 recipients. *Transplantation proceedings: Elsevier*; 2011.
- 1237 (111) Lanuza DM, McCabe M, Norton-Rosko M, Corliss JW, Garrity E. Symptom experiences of lung
1238 transplant recipients: comparisons across gender, pretransplantation diagnosis, and type of
1239 transplantation. *Heart & Lung: The Journal of Acute and Critical Care* 1999;28(6):429-437.
- 1240 (112) Smeritschnig B, Jaksch P, Kocher A, Seebacher G, Aigner C, Mazhar S, et al. Quality of life after
1241 lung transplantation: a cross-sectional study. *The Journal of heart and lung transplantation*
1242 2005;24(4):474-480.
- 1243 (113) Benzing C, Krezdorn N, Hinz A, Glaesmer H, Brahler E, Forster J, et al. Mental Status in Patients
1244 Before and After Liver Transplantation. *Ann Transplant* 2015 Nov 17;20:683-693.
- 1245 (114) Belle SH, Porayko MK, Hoofnagle JH, Lake JR, Zetterman RK. Changes in quality of life after liver
1246 transplantation among adults. *Liver Transplantation and Surgery* 1997;3(2):93-104.
- 1247 (115) Mazzoni, D., Cicognani, E., Mosconi, G., Totti, V., Roi, G. S., Trerotola, M., & Costa, A. N. Sport
1248 Activity and Health-Related Quality of Life After Kidney Transplantation. *Transplantation*
1249 *proceedings: Elsevier*; 2014.

- 1250 (116) Plonek T, Pupka A, Marczak J, Skora J, Blocher D. The influence of regular exercise training on
1251 kidney transplant recipients' health and fitness condition. *Adv Clin Exp Med* 2013 Mar-
1252 Apr;22(2):203-208.
- 1253 (117) Romano G, Simonella R, Falletti E, Bortolotti N, Deiuri E, Antonutto G, et al. Physical training
1254 effects in renal transplant recipients. *Clin Transplant* 2010;24(4):510-514.
- 1255 (118) Tzvetanov I, West-Thielke P, D'Amico G, Johnsen M, Ladik A, Hachaj G, Grazman M, Heller RU,
1256 Fernhall B, Daviglus ML, Solaro RJ. A Novel and Personalized Rehabilitation Program for Obese
1257 Kidney Transplant Recipients. *Transplantation proceedings*: Elsevier; 2014.
- 1258 (119) Hsu CJ, Chen SY, Su S, Yang MC, Lan C, Chou NK, Hsu RB, Lai JS, Wang SS. The effect of early
1259 cardiac rehabilitation on health-related quality of life among heart transplant recipients and patients
1260 with coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Transplantation proceedings*: Elsevier; 2011.
- 1261 (120) Karapolat H, Engin C, Eroglu M, Yagdi T, Zoghi M, Nalbantgil S, Durmaz B, Kirazlı Y, Özbaran M.
1262 Efficacy of the cardiac rehabilitation program in patients with end-stage heart failure, heart
1263 transplant patients, and left ventricular assist device recipients. *Transplantation proceedings*:
1264 Elsevier; 2013.
- 1265 (121) Ihle F, Neurohr C, Huppmann P, Zimmermann G, Leuchte H, Baumgartner R, et al. Effect of
1266 inpatient rehabilitation on quality of life and exercise capacity in long-term lung transplant survivors:
1267 a prospective, randomized study. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation* 2011;30(8):912-
1268 919.
- 1269 (122) Painter P, Krasnoff J, Paul SM, Ascher NL. Physical activity and health-related quality of life in
1270 liver transplant recipients. *Liver transplantation* 2001;7(3):213-219.
- 1271 (123) van den Berg-Emons R, van Ginneken B, Wijffels M, Tilanus H, Metselaar H, Stam H, et al.
1272 Fatigue is a major problem after liver transplantation. *Liver transplantation* 2006;12(6):928-933.
- 1273 (124) Roi GS, Parigino M, Pisoni D, Mosconi G, Nanni Costa A, Stefoni S. Energy expenditure during a
1274 day of sport competitions in kidney transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2010 Nov 27;90(10):1136-
1275 1138.
- 1276 (125) Howard-Jones J. A Transplant Athlete's Perspective. *Annals of Transplantation* 2005;10(4):57-
1277 58.
- 1278 (126) Einollahi B, Nafar M, Taheri S, Nemati E. Renal allograft in a professional boxer. *Saudi J Kidney*
1279 *Dis Transpl* 2008 Mar;19(2):241-243.
- 1280 (127) Rajendran A, Pandurangi U, Mullasari A, Gomathy S, Kuppurao K, Vijayan V. High intensity
1281 exercise training programme following cardiac transplant. *Indian J Chest Dis All Sci* 2006;48(4):271-
1282 273.
- 1283 (128) Patterson JA, Pitetti KH, Young KC, Goodman WF, Farhoud H. Case report on PWC of a
1284 competitive cyclist before and after heart transplant. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39(9):1447.
- 1285 (129) Patterson JA, Walton NG. Exercise limitations in a competitive cyclist twelve months post heart
1286 transplantation. *Journal of sports science & medicine* 2009;8(4):696.

- 1287 (130) Surmely J, Mohacsi P, Schmid J, Carrel T, Delacretaz E. For gold, heart rate matters. The Journal
1288 of heart and lung transplantation 2005;24(8):1171-1173.
- 1289 (131) Kavanagh T, Yacoub M, Campbell R, Mertens D. Marathon Running After Cardiac
1290 Transplantation: A Case History. Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation and Prevention
1291 1986;6(1):16-20.
- 1292 (132) Golding LA, Mangus BC. Competing in Varsity Athletics After Cardiac Transplantation. Journal
1293 of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation and Prevention 1989;9(12):486-491.
- 1294 (133) Jimenez L, Lefevre G, Richard R, Duvallet A, Rieu M. Exercise does not induce oxidative stress in
1295 trained heart transplant recipients. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2000 Dec;32(12):2018-2023.
- 1296 (134) Fink, G., Lebzelter, J., Blau, C., Klainman, E., Aravot, D., & Kramer, M. R. The sky is the limit:
1297 exercise capacity 10 years post-heart–lung transplantation. Transplantation proceedings: Elsevier;
1298 2000.
- 1299 (135) Mosconi G, Colombo D, Graziani E, Franceschelli N, Roi GS, Totti V, et al. Physical performance
1300 in kidney transplanted patients: a study on desert trekking. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents 2011 Jul-
1301 Sep;25(3):417-425.
- 1302 (136) Pirenne J, Van Gelder F, Kharkevitch T, Nevens F, Verslype C, Peetermans WE, et al. Tolerance
1303 of Liver Transplant Patients to Strenuous Physical Activity in High-Altitude. American Journal of
1304 Transplantation 2004;4(4):554-560.
- 1305 (137) Roney PD, Wellington JL. Traumatic lymphocele following renal transplantation. J Urol 1985
1306 Aug;134(2):322-323.
- 1307 (138) Browne G, Allan P, Madhavan KK, Winney R. Exercise-induced anuria in a renal allograft
1308 recipient. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2001 Dec;16(12):2431-2433.
- 1309 (139) Gleeson M, Bishop NC, Stensel DJ, Lindley MR, Mastana SS, Nimmo MA. The anti-inflammatory
1310 effects of exercise: mechanisms and implications for the prevention and treatment of disease.
1311 Nature Reviews Immunology 2011;11(9):607-615.
- 1312 (140) Königsrainer I, Löffler M, Bühler S, Walter M, Schafbuch L, Beckert S, et al. Impact of endotoxin
1313 exposure after exhausting exercise on the immune system in solid organ transplant recipients. Exerc
1314 Immunol Rev 2012;18:177-183.
- 1315 (141) Königsrainer I, Zieker D, Löffler M, Bühler S, Walter M, Beckert S, et al. Influence of exhaustive
1316 exercise on the immune system in solid organ transplant recipients. Exerc Immunol Rev
1317 2010;16:184-193.
- 1318 (142) Zhao QM, Mettauert B, Epailly E, Falkenrodt A, Lampert E, Charloux A, Charpentier A,
1319 Lonsdorfer J. Effect of exercise training on leukocyte subpopulations and clinical course in cardiac
1320 transplant patients. Transplantation proceedings: Elsevier; 1998.
- 1321 (143) Pierce GL, Schofield RS, Casey DP, Hamlin SA, Hill JA, Braith RW. Effects of exercise training on
1322 forearm and calf vasodilation and proinflammatory markers in recent heart transplant recipients: a
1323 pilot study. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2008 Feb;15(1):10-18.

1324 (144) Mathur S, Janaudis-Ferreira T, Wickerson L, Singer LG, Patcai J, Rozenberg D, et al. Meeting
1325 report: consensus recommendations for a research agenda in exercise in solid organ transplantation.
1326 American Journal of Transplantation 2014;14(10):2235-2245.