Controls on global peat fires and consequences for the carbon cycle

Merritt R. Turetsky^{1*}, Brian Benscoter², Susan Page³, Guillermo Rein⁴, Guido R. van der Werf⁵, Adam Watts⁶

¹Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph ON, Canada N1G 1G2, mrt@uoguelph.ca, 519-824-4120- ext 56166

²Department of Biological Sciences, Florida Atlantic University, Davie, FL, USA 33314, brian.benscoter@fau.edu, 954-236-1141

³Department of Geography, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK, sep5@le.ac.uk, 44 (0)116 252 3318

⁴Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ London, UK; g.rein@imperial.ac.uk; +44 (0)20 7594 7036

⁵Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1085, 1081HV, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, guido.vander.werf@vu.nl, +31205985687
⁶Division of Atmospheric Sciences, Desert Research Institute, 2215 Raggio Parkway, Reno, NV 89512 USA; adam.watts@dri.edu; 775-674-7033

*Corresponding author

1 Abstract

The global peat carbon pool exceeds that of global vegetation and is similar to the current atmospheric carbon pool. Because fire is increasingly appreciated as a threat to peatlands and their carbon stocks, here we review the controls on and effects of peat fires across biomes. Peat fires are dominated by smouldering combustion, which ignites more easily than flaming combustion and persists in wet conditions. In undisturbed peatlands, most of the peat C stock typically is protected from smouldering, and resistance to fire has increased peat carbon storage in boreal and tropical regions over long time scales. However, drying as a result of climate change and anthropogenic activity lowers the peatland water table and increases the frequency and extent of peat fires. The combustion of deep peat affects older soil carbon that has not been part of the active carbon cycle for centuries to millennia, and will dictate the importance of peat fire emissions to the carbon cycle and feedbacks to the climate.

Peatlands are ecosystems that accumulate thick organic soil layers because of a long-term imbalance in which plant production exceeds decomposition throughout the entire organic soil column (Figure 1). Peatlands cover only about 2-3% of the Earth's land surface, but store around 25% of the world's soil carbon (C)¹. They are most abundant at northern high latitudes (Figure 2A), where they cover approximately 4,000,000 km² of land¹ and store an estimated 500 - 600 Gt (Gt = 10^{15}) C. Tropical peatlands store an additional ~100 Gt C across 400,000 km², primarily in Southeast Asia^{1,2}. Hence the global peat C pool exceeds that of global vegetation (~560 Gt C) and may be of similar magnitude to the atmospheric C pool (~850 Gt C)³.

Peat is defined as an organic soil composed of partially decayed plant remains with less than 20-35% mineral content. Slow decomposition rates created by anaerobic conditions are viewed as a necessary condition for peatland development⁴. Plant remains are deposited into the upper peat layer, which often is located above the mean water table for at least part of the year, and undergoes aerobic decomposition. Remaining organic matter is buried and transferred to the saturated peat layer below the water table where decomposition is minimal. Thus, water table depth is a key regulator of peatland decomposition and peat accumulation rates. If warming or disturbance lowers the water table in peatlands, removal of anaerobic constraints on decomposition will stimulate loss of peat carbon to the atmosphere⁵. A lower water table also will stimulate the loss of peat carbon via combustion during wildfires^{2,6}, which we discuss in more detail in the sections below.

Peatland vulnerability to burning

Due to high moisture contents, the bulk of peat soils in pristine peatlands are naturally protected from burning, which facilitates the accumulation of peat over centuries to millennia in both boreal and tropical settings^{7,8}. In contrast, while a shallow peat layer accumulates in many well-drained boreal forests, these soil organic layers are typically consumed during wildfires, resulting in negligible soil C accumulation across multiple fire cycles⁹.

As with all wildland fires, peatlands burn when an ignition event occurs in the presence of fuel and the right conditions to support combustion. In low biomass systems, such as grasslands, fuel load availability and continuity controls fire spread. However, in high biomass systems such as peatlands, fires are controlled by heat transfer¹⁰ and water content¹¹. Peat fires generally are dominated by smouldering combustion¹², a flameless form of combustion that occurs more readily than flaming combustion¹⁰. Smouldering fires can persist under low temperatures, high moisture content and low oxygen concentrations¹³ and as a result can burn for long periods (e.g. weeks, months) despite rain events or changes in fire weather¹². While fast moving flaming fires can travel over 10 km h⁻¹, the rate of spread of smouldering can be as slow as 0.5 m per week¹⁴. Smouldering and flaming combustion during wildfires often are coupled. For example, smoldering peat can provide a pathway to a flaming fire even if the heat sources (embers or lightning) are too weak to ignite a flame directly.

In general, the peat C stock is protected from deep smouldering because of hydrologic self-regulation in peatlands^{15,16}. The high porosity and storativity (storage coefficient) of surface peat layers minimizes water table variability and helps peatlands to maintain conditions too wet to sustain smouldering. If surface peat does dry and become

flammable, wet dense organic layers found deeper in the peat profile typically serve as a fire barrier. However, when natural or anthropogenic disturbances interfere with hydrologic self-regulation and allow further drying, deep peat becomes vulnerable to more frequent or more severe burning.

Across some boreal regions, particularly continental North America, mean annual burn area has more than doubled in the past several decades, associated at least in part with regional warming^{17,18}. Even during severe fire years, burning in undisturbed boreal peatlands typically is limited to the upper 10-20 cm of peat^{19,20}. Forestry, agriculture, peat harvesting, and road construction in boreal regions all lead to peatland drainage, which can greatly exacerbate the burning of peat. Experimental drainage of a Canadian fen increased fire emissions nine-fold, resulting in release of more than 450 years' worth of peat accumulation during a single fire⁶.

In the tropics, abundant and regular rainfall combined with a humid understory microclimate ensures that water inputs usually exceed evapotranspiration losses from peatlands, maintaining high peat moisture²¹. As a result, tropical swamps in their natural state are fire resistant owing to moist microclimate and low-flammability soils. Prior to large-scale settlement and agricultural conversion of peatlands, only occasional fires were detected on peatlands in Southeast Asia, even during drought spells, and with a sufficient time between fires to allow recovery of forest cover²². Human activities in the tropics, including plantation development, agriculture, and logging, have made peatlands more vulnerable to burning²³. For example, disturbed peatlands in Southeast Asia are fire-prone owing to the high amount of dry, flammable materials and the lower humidity that results from a reduced tree canopy. Additionally, increased human access and activities increase

the number of accidental or intentional fire ignitions. As a result, drained tropical peats tend to burn extensively. Fires consumed peat up to depths of 50 cm during the ENSO events of 1997/98 and 2006^{24,25}. Drainage and logging in tropical peatlands also has shortened fire frequencies, and repeated burning has further reduced the peatland carbon stock²⁶.

Fire and ecological feedbacks

Due to fire resistance, fire has not played a significant historic role in the ecology of tropical peatlands. In contrast, wildfire plays an important role in the functioning of undisturbed boreal peatlands. Fire in boreal peatlands initiates plant successional change, increases soil temperatures, and increases nutrient availability similarly to burning in other ecosystems^{27,28}. Heterogeneous patterns in the combustion of peat promote biodiversity by supporting the establishment of more species-rich pioneer plant communities²⁷. Spatial variation in combustion also influences the undulating hummocks and hollows that characterize the ground surface of most northern peatlands. In part because of the water use strategies of *Sphagnum* (peat mosses), hummock peat has greater water holding capacity and burns less extensively than peat in hollows, which reinforces these microtopographic features^{28,29}.

Deeper burning of peat resulting from water table drawdown has consequences for post-fire ecosystem function and succession in both boreal and tropical regions. Although energy release from flaming fires is more intense than smouldering, active flaming produces high temperatures at the ground surface for only a brief period of time, with minimal heating of even shallow soil layers³¹. The longer duration of smouldering

transfers more heat to surrounding soils and plants than active flaming. As a result, smouldering fires transfer heat deeper into the soil, and can lead to extensive fuel consumption that can be two orders of magnitude larger than that in flaming fires¹². Increased smouldering of deeper peat as a result of water table drawdown will increase damage to heat-sensitive plant roots and microorganisms such as ectomycorrhizae and bacteria^{32,33}. These altered fire effects are likely to be more long-lived in disturbed peatlands. Post-fire succession can cause disturbed boreal and tropical peatlands to shift from nonflammable to more flammable fuel types, increasing fire risk²⁶. These post-fire shifts also are indicative of a loss of hydrological regulation in these systems, which likely cause a diminishment of peat accumulation even in the absence of repeated fires.

Carbon emissions from peatland burning

Due to the accumulation of peat and their role as a persistent global sink of atmospheric CO₂ throughout the Holocene, peatlands have had a net cooling effect on the Earth's climate³⁴. This is despite the fact that these systems also serve as a source of methane³⁴, which is produced by microbes under anaerobic conditions. However, increased soil C losses from disturbed peatlands may have significant climate impacts in the future³⁵. From an atmospheric viewpoint, fires in undisturbed peatlands are most likely to be CO₂ neutral because the combustion of surface peat influences carbon that is cycling rapidly (i.e., combusted carbon is quickly re-sequestered by recovering vegetation). This type of burning results in a near zero effect on atmospheric carbon over time scales of decades to centuries³⁶. However, the combustion of deep peat has the potential to affect older soil carbon that has not been part of the active carbon cycle for

centuries to millennia. If increases in fire frequency or burn severity lead to deeper burning in peatlands, these fires will no longer be carbon neutral, at least on time scales of centuries to millennia.

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

Perhaps as a harbinger of future emissions, widespread and deep burning peat fires in Indonesia in 1997 and 1998 released approximately 0.95 Gt of carbon^{24,37}, equivalent to ~ 15 % of global fossil fuel emissions at that time. Peat fire emissions also have indirect climate impacts. Smoke produced by peat smouldering leads to regional haze and reduced light levels, which suppresses plant CO₂ uptake³⁹. Smoke from peat fires could have more widespread influences, such as on marine ecosystems⁴⁰. Smouldering is known to produce larger emissions of CO and CH₄, volatile organic compounds, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, and particulate matter than flaming combustion. For example, tropical peat fires emit as much as three to six times more particulate matter than grassland, forest, or plantation fires per unit carbon combusted⁸. An understanding of the contribution of aerosols from biomass burning to radiative forcing in general is limited³, and the lack of attention to aerosols from peat fires creates a striking knowledge gap with respect to future global climate change⁴¹. The quantity of peat fire-derived emissions and the amounts emitted under different flaming and smouldering phases is poorly understood¹² and represent important areas of future research.

At regional to global scales, estimates of fire C emissions usually are derived from coarse-scale models, typically at spatial resolutions of 0.50° or 0.25° (Figure 2), that have not been specifically designed to estimate peatland fire emissions. Peatlands themselves are difficult to map⁴², and as a result there are few remote sensing products that allow for spatially explicit assessments of peatland abundance or the effects of

wildfire on peatland carbon dynamics. Smouldering fires also are inherently difficult to detect with spatial data such as thermal anomaly maps, which often are used in wildfire detection⁴³. For these reasons, estimates of fire carbon emissions depend on rough indications of fire frequencies (Figure 2) and cannot resolve the high spatial variability typically associated with peatland fire dynamics. Despite these uncertainties, it is clear that peat fires have the potential to contribute significantly to global emissions of greenhouse gases.

Current and future risks of peat fires

This review has highlighted a number of important areas in which tropical and boreal peatlands differ in fire vulnerability. Low latitude peatlands, like those of Indonesia, Malaysia, Peru, Brazil, and the Caribbean region, are juxtaposed with densely populated urban areas. In these regions, drainage due to anthropogenic activities and increased frequency of human-caused ignitions has converted many peatlands from fire-resistant to fire-prone systems. In contrast, drier soils and increased lightning ignitions as a result of a warming climate are the most important factors increasing the likelihood of northern high latitude peat fires. The role of expanding human populations in this region is not well understood. Independent of these anthropogenic factors, it seems likely that future climate will increase the vulnerability of peatlands to fire at a global scale. In virtually all areas where peatlands are abundant, relative humidity is expected to decrease during the burning season (Figure 2C), which may increase the likelihood of peat fires.

Our synthesis of the current state of knowledge on peatland ecosystem carbon fluxes indicates that losses via fire can exceed those due to enhanced decomposition in

disturbed boreal and tropical peatlands (Figure 1). Climatic or anthropogenic drying of peatlands enhances microbial decomposition of organic soils and stimulates fire activity. While drying in some boreal peatlands will stimulate tree growth and enhance total vegetation C uptake, reduced moss productivity combined with a more frequent and severe fire regime will diminish peat accumulation and long-term C storage. In the tropics, anthropogenic drainage and deforestation reduces the vegetation carbon sink and shifts vegetation towards more flammable fuels. Drying in peatlands also increases the depth of belowground fuel combustion, releasing carbon that has been stored in soils for centuries to millennia to the atmosphere, thus creating a positive feedback to the climate system (Figure 1). These conclusions are limited by the current state of research, but clearly point to the importance of fire to future peatland carbon balance.

The past decade of geoscience research has greatly improved our understanding of the controls on peat fires, their effects on ecosystems, and feedbacks to climate. Increases in peat fires also have landscape and health consequences that extend beyond the geosciences. Because smouldering peat fires are difficult to suppress, land managers will require new tools to respond to extreme fire danger situations in areas where peatlands are prone to burning. Peat fire emissions cause diminished air quality⁴⁴, resulting in respiratory disease and human mortality⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷. In some cases, fire can cause a long-term change in the environment, e.g. the thawing of the underlying frozen ground in permafrost peatlands, the initiation of extensive peat erosion in upland temperate peatlands⁴⁸ or replacement of biodiverse forested peatlands in SE Asia by species-poor herbaceous communities²⁶. If these changes enhance peat drying and lead to the accumulation of flammable fuels, they will increase fire frequencies and lead to even

more severe burning of peat. Alternatively, if vegetation regrowth decreases insolation and wind penetrance, increases in local humidity could reduce peatland fire risk. Similarly, a reduction in woody fuels in favor of sparse, discontinuous vegetation could limit the spread of wildland fires in peatlands. Due to these uncertainties, there is a need for studies that address the ecology of peat fires, and the role of peat fires in long-term Earth System processes.

204 References

- ¹ Yu, Z. Northern peatland carbon stocks and dynamics: a review. *Biogeosciences* **9**,
- 206 4071-4085 (2012).
- ² Page, S., Rieley, J.O. & Banks, C.J. Global and regional importance of the tropical
- peatland carbon pool. *Global Change Biology* **17**, 798-818 (2011).
- ³ IPCC. Climate Change 2013. The Physical Science Basis. Working Group I
- 210 Contribution to the 5th Assessment of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- Change. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, NY. (2013)
- ⁴ Belyea, L. R. & Clymo, R. S. Feedback control of the rate of peat formation.
- 213 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London: Biological Sciences 268, 1315-1321
- 214 (2001).
- ⁵ Freeman C, Ostle J, Kang H. An enzymic latch on a global carbon store. *Nature* **409**,
- 216 149 (2001).
- ⁶ Turetsky, M. R., Donahue, W. & Benscoter, B. W. Experimental drying intensifies
- burning and carbon losses in a northern peatland. *Nature Comm* **2**:514 (2011).
- ⁷ Harden, J. W., Trumbore, S. E., Stocks, B. J., Hirsch, A., Gower, S. T., O'Neill, K. P. &
- Kasischke, E.S. The role of fire in the boreal carbon budget. *Global Change*
- 221 *Biology* **6**, 174-184 (2000).
- ⁸ Page, S. E., Wüst, R. A. J., Weiss, D., Rieley, J. O., Shotyk, W. & Limin, S. H. A
- record of Late Pleistocene and Holocene carbon accumulation and climate change
- from an equatorial peat bog (Kalimantan, Indonesia): implications for past,
- present and future carbon dynamics. *Journal of Quaternary Science* **19**, 625-635
- 226 (2004).

⁹ Johnston, J. F., Hollingsworth, T. N., Chapin, F. S. III & Mack, M. C. Changes in fire 227 228 regime break the legacy lock on successional trajectories in Alaskan boreal forest. 229 Global Change Biology 16, 1281-1295 (2010). ¹⁰ Ohlemiller, T.J. Modeling of smoldering combustion propagation. *Progress in Energy* 230 231 and Combustion Science 11, 277-310 (1985). ¹¹ Frandsen, W.H. Ignition probability of organic soils. Can. J. For. Res. 27, 1471–1477 232 233 (1997).¹² Rein. G. Smouldering Fires and Natural Fuels. Chapter 2, Fire Phenomena in the Earth 234 System – An Interdisciplinary Approach to Fire Science, pp. 15–34, C Belcher 235 236 (Wiley and Sons, DOI: 10.1002/9781118529539.ch2 2013). 237 ¹³ Belcher, C. M. Yearsley, J. M., Hadden, R. M., McElwain, J. C. & Rein, G. Baseline 238 Intrinsic Flammability of Earth's Ecosystems Estimated from Paleoatmospheric 239 Oxygen over the Past 350 Million Years. Proceedings of the National Academy of 240 Sciences 107, 22448-22453 (2010). ¹⁴ Rollins, M. S., Cohen, A. D. & Durig, J. R. Effects of fires on the chemical and 241 242 petrographic composition of peat in the Snuggedy Swamp, North Carolina. Int. J. 243 Coal. Geol. 22, 101-117 (1993). ¹⁵ Dommain, R., Couwenberg, J. & Joosten, H. Hydrological self-regulation of domed 244 245 peatlands in south-east Asia and consequences for conservation and restoration. 246 *Mires and Peat* **6**, 1-17 (2010). 247 ¹⁶ Whittington, P. N. & Price, J. S. The effects of water table draw-down (as a surrogate 248 for climate change) on the hydrology of a fen peatland, Canada, *Hydrol*. Processes 20, 3589–3600 (2006). 249

¹⁷ Gillett, N.P., Weaver, A.J., Zwiers, F.W., & Flannigan, M.D. Detecting the effect of 250 251 climate change on Canadian forest fires. Geophysical Research Letters 31, 252 L18211. doi:10.1029/2004GL020876 (2004). ¹⁸ Kasischke, E. S. & Turetsky, M. R. Recent changes in the fire regime across the North 253 254 American boreal region—Spatial and temporal patterns of burning across Canada 255 and Alaska. Geophysical Research Letters 33, L09703, 256 doi:10.1029/2006GL025677 (2006). ¹⁹ Benscoter, B. W. & Wieder, R. K. Variability in organic matter lost by combustion in a 257 boreal bog during the 2001 Chisholm fire. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 258 259 **33**, 2509-2513 (2003). ²⁰ Turetsky, M. R., Kane, E. S., Harden, J. W., Ottmar, R. D., Manies, K. L., Hoy, E. & 260 261 Kasischke, E. S. Recent acceleration of biomass burning and carbon losses in 262 Alaskan forests and peatlands. *Nature Geoscience* **4**, 27-31 (2011). ²¹ Kelly, T.J., Baird, A.J., Roucoux, K.H., Baker, T.R., Honorio Coronado, E.N., Lawson, 263 264 I. T. & Rios, M. The high hydraulic conductivity of three wooded tropical peat 265 swamps in northeast Peru: Measurements and implications for hydrological 266 function. *Hydrological Processes* doi: 10.1002/hyp.9884 (2013). ²² Goldammer, J.G. Tropical wildland fires and global changes: prehistoric evidence, 267 268 present fire regimes, and future trends. Global Biomass Burning: Atmospheric, 269 Climatic, and Biospheric Implications, pp 83-91, JS Levine (MIT Press, 270 Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1992). ²³ Langner, A. & Siegert, F. Spatiotemporal fire occurrence in Borneo over a period of 10 271 272 years. Global Change Biology 15, 48-62 (2009).

- ²⁴ Page, S. E., Siegert F., Rieley, J. O., Boehm, H.-D.V., Java, A. & Limin, S. The 273 274 amount of carbon released from peat and forest fires in Indonesia during 1997. 275 *Nature* **420**, 61-65 (2002). ²⁵ Ballhorn, U., Siegert, F., Mason, M. & Limin, S. Derivation of burn scar depths and 276 277 estimation of carbon emissions with LIDAR in Indonesian peatlands. PNAS 106. 21213-21218, doi:10.1073/pnas.0906457106 (2009). 278 ²⁶ Hoscilo, A., Page, S. E., Tansey, K. J. & Rieley, J. O. Effect of repeated fires on land-279 280 cover change on peatland in southern Central Kalimantan, Indonesia, from 1973 281 to 2005, Int. J. Wildland Fire **20**, 578–588 (2011). ²⁷ Benscoter, B. W. & Vitt, D. H. Spatial patterns and temporal trajectories in bog ground 282 283 layer composition along a post-fire chronosequence. *Ecosystems* 11, 1054-1064 284 (2008).²⁸ Kettridge, N., Thompson, D. K., & Waddington, J. M. Impact of wildfire on the 285 286 thermal behavior of northern peatlands: Observations and model simulations, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeoscience*, **117**, G02014 (2012) 287 ²⁹ Shetler, G., Turetsky, M.R., Kane, E., & Kasischke, E. S. *Sphagnum* mosses limit total 288 289 carbon consumption during fire in Alaskan black spruce forests. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 38, 2328-2336 (2008). 290 ³⁰ Benscoter, B., Thompson, D., Waddington, J.M., Wotton, M., Flannigan, M., de Groot, 291 292 W., & Turetsky, M.R. Interactive effects of vegetation, soil moisture, and bulk 293 density on depth of burning of thick organic soils. *International Journal of* 294 Wildland Fire **20**, 418-429 (2011).
 - ³¹ Hartford, R.A. & Frandsen, W. When It's Hot, It's Hot... Or Maybe It's Not! (Surface

296 Flaming May Not Portend Extensive Soil Heating). *International Journal of* 297 Wildland Fire 2, 139–144. doi 10.1071/WF9920139 (1992). ³² Hart, S. C., DeLuca, T. H., Newman, G. S., MacKenzie, M. D., & Boyle, S. I., Post-fire 298 299 vegetative dynamics as drivers of microbial community structure and function in 300 forest soils. Forest Ecology and Management 220, 166-184 (2005). ³³ Treseder, K. K., Mack, M. C. & Cross, A. Relationships among fires, fungi, and soil 301 302 dynamics in Alaskan Boreal Forests. *Ecological Applications* **14**, 1826-1838 303 (2004).³⁴ Frolking, S. & Roulet, N.T. Holocene radiative forcing impact of northern peatland 304 305 carbon accumulation and methane emissions. Global Change Biology 13, 1079-306 1088 (2007). ³⁵ Frolking, S., Talbot, J., Jones, M., Treat, C.C., Kauffman, J.B., Tuittila, E.S. & Roulet, 307 308 N.T. Peatlands in the Earth's 21st century climate system, *Environmental Reviews* 309 **19**, 371-296 (2011). ³⁶ Trumbore, S. Radiocarbon and Soil Carbon Dynamics. *Annual Review of Earth and* 310 311 Planetary Sciences 37, 47-66 (2009). ³⁷ van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. 312 S., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y. & van Leeuwen, T. T. Global fire 313 314 emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and 315 peat fires (1997–2009). Atmos Chem Phys. 10, 11707-11735 (2010). ³⁸ Heil, A., Langmann, B. & Aldrian, E. Indonesian peat and vegetation fire emissions: 316 Study on factors influencing large-scale smoke haze pollution using a regional 317 318 atmospheric chemistry model. Mitigation Adaptation Strategies for Global

319 Change 12, 113 – 133, doi:10.1007/s11027-006-9045-6 (2006). ³⁹ Davies, S.J. & Unam, L. Smoke-haze from the 1997 Indonesian forest fires: effects on 320 321 pollution levels, local climate, atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, and tree photosynthesis. Forest Ecology and Management 124, 137-144 (1999). 322 323 ⁴⁰ Jaafar, Z. & Loh, T-L. Linking land, air, and sea: potential impacts of biomass burning 324 and the resultant haze on marine ecosystems of Southeast Asia. *Global Change* 325 Biology DOI: 10.1111/gcb.12539 (2014). 326 ⁴¹ Chakrabarty, R. K., Moosmüller, H., Chen, L.-W. A., Lewis, K., Arnott, W. P., Mazzoleni, C., Dubey, M. K., Wold, C. E., Hao, W. M. & Kreidenweis, S. M. 327 328 Brown Carbon in Tar Balls from Smoldering Biomass Combustion, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss. 10, 6297-6300 (2010). 329 330 ⁴² Frey, K. E. & Smith, L. C. How well do we know northern land cover? Comparison of 331 four global vegetation and wetland products with a new ground-truth database for 332 West Siberia. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 21, GB1016, 333 doi:10.1029/2006GB002706 (2007). 334 ⁴³ Tansey, K., Beston, J., Hoscilo, A., Page, S. E. & Hernandez, C. U. P. Relationship 335 between MODIS fire hot spot count and burned area in a degraded tropical peat 336 swamp forest in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. Journal of Geophysical Research 337 **113**. DOI:10.1029/2008JD010717 (2008). ⁴⁴ See, S. W., Balasubramanian, R., Rianawati, E., Karthikeyan, S. & Streets, D. G.: 338 339 Characterization and source apportionment of particulate matter ≤ 2.5 micrometer 340 in Sumatra, Indonesia, during a recent peat fire episode. Environmental Science and Technology 41, 3488–3494 (2007). 341

⁴⁵ Rappold, A. G., Stone, S. L., Cascio, W. E., Neas, L. M., Kilaru, V. J., Carraway, M. 342 343 S., Szykman, J. J., Ising, A., Cleve, W. E., Meredith, J. T., Vaughan-Batten, H., 344 Deyneka, L. & Devlin, R. B. Peat Bog Wildfire Smoke Exposure in Rural North 345 Carolina Is Associated with Cardiopulmonary Emergency Department Visits 346 Assessed through Syndromic Surveillance. Environ Health Perspect. 119, 1415– 347 1420 (2011). ⁴⁶ Johnston, F. H., Henderson, S. B., Chen, Y., Randerson, J. T., Marlier, M., DeFries, R. 348 349 S., Kinney, P., Bowman, D. J. M. S. & Brauer, M. Estimated Global Mortality 350 Attributable to Smoke from Landscape Fires. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **120**, 351 doi:10.1289/ehp.1104422 (2012). ⁴⁷ Sastry, N. Forest fires, air pollution and mortality in Southeast Asia. *Demography*, **39**, 352 1-23 (2002). 353 ⁴⁸ Tallis, J. H. Fire and flood at Holme Moss: erosion processes in an upland blanket 354 mire. Journal of Ecology 75, 1099-1130 (1987). 355 ⁴⁹ Yu, Z. C., Loisel, J., Brosseau, D. P., Beilman, D. W., & Hunt, S. J. Global peatland 356 357 dynamics since the Last Glacial Maximum. Geophys. Res. Lett. 37, L13402, 358 doi:10.1029/2010GL043584 (2010). ⁵⁰ Giglio, L., Randerson, J. T. & van der Werf, G. R. Analysis of daily, monthly, and 359 360 annual burned area using the fourth-generation global fire emissions database 361 (GFED4). Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 118, 317–328, 362 doi:10.1002/jgrg.20042 (2013). 363 364 All correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Merritt R. Turetsky 365 (mrt@uoguelph.ca).

Acknowledgements We thank A. D. McGuire and M. Flannigan for comments on previous versions of this manuscript. We also thank our numerous colleagues working in boreal and tropical regions for their efforts and progress on the subject of peat fires. MRT is supported by NSERC and NASA grants, GvdW is supported by European Research Council grant 280061. **Author Contributions** M.R.T. led this synthesis and all authors contributed to writing and ideas presented. There are no competing financial interests.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Drying and fires increase peat carbon loss to the atmosphere. Changes in ecosystem carbon stocks in response to fire and drying scenarios in (A) North American continental boreal peatlands, and (B) SE Asian swamps. Ecosystem carbon balance is the difference between net CO₂ uptake by plants (NPP) and CO₂ loss to the atmosphere through decomposition (Rh) and combustion (C). In undisturbed peatlands, peat accumulates because the vegetation carbon sink exceeds soil carbon losses throughout the entire peat column. Drying associated with climate warming or human activities can influence peatland carbon balance by altering plant carbon uptake or losses such as decomposition (Rh) and combustion (C). Changes in the amount of belowground fuels with drying or drainage is denoted by the red line. Arrows depict the direction of carbon transfer, with the length of the arrows indicating the magnitude of changes in flux over a 100-year period relative to the undisturbed state. Cooling effects on climate are shown by blue arrows; warming effects by red arrows.

Figure 2. Fire and climate dynamics in peatlands. (A) Global peatland abundance based on multiple data sources⁴⁹, (B) average fire return intervals based on satellite derived burned area⁵⁰ in 0.25 × 0.25° grid cells coinciding with the peatland abundance data, and (C) average change in relative humidity in the peatland grid cells based on the multi-model mean CMIP5 climate projections (http://cmip-pcmdi.llnl.gov/cmip5/) in 2081-2100 compared to 1991-2010. In all panels, insets show an enlargement of SE Asia for visual purposes.

B. Tropical Swamps A. Continental Boreal Peatlands Climate-Mediated Drying Socially-Mediated Drying and Deforestation **Undisturbed Undisturbed** and Afforestation NPP NPP NPP NPP Ecosystem C Stock Ecosystem C Stock

