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#### Abstract

We define a family of generalizations of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tilings to higher dimensions called $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ - $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tilings. We show that, in each dimension 3 or greater, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ - $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tilings exist only for certain choices of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. In the case that they exist, we show that they are essentially unique and have a concrete description in terms of odd Fibonacci numbers.


## 1. $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-Tilings of the Plane

The aim of this note is to study higher-dimensional analogues of the following object.
Definition 1 ([1]). A bi-infinite array $\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is called an $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ if the entries satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i, j+1} a_{i+1, j}-a_{i j} a_{i+1, j+1}=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A bi-infinite array $\left(b_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $b_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is called an anti-SL ${ }_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ if the entries satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i, j+1} b_{i+1, j}-b_{i j} b_{i+1, j+1}=-1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notion of an anti- $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling is not actually giving anything new as shown by the following lemma, however this notion will be useful for our considerations in higher dimensions.

Lemma 2. If $\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling, then taking $b_{i j}=a_{i,-j}$ gives an anti- $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling.
One should think of the difference between $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tilings and anti- $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tilings as viewing the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ "from above" or "from below." The following result from [1] was our starting point.

Theorem 3 ([1]). There exist infinitely many $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tilings of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$.
In fact, it is shown in [1] that any admissible frontier of 1's in the lattice, can be completed into a unique $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling. An interpretation of all possible $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tilings was later given in [2] in terms of triangulations of a polygon with infinitely many vertices.

The following anti-SL ${ }_{2}$-tiling will be relevant in our higher dimensional analysis. We will call it the staircase anti-SL ${ }_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$.

Example 4. Consider the anti-SL 2 -tiling $\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ with $a_{i j}=1$ if $i+j \in\{0,1\}$. Using (2) and the well-known recursion $F_{2 r-1} F_{2 r+3}=F_{2 r+1}^{2}+1(r \geq 1)$ for the odd Fibonacci numbers, it is easy to see that

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}F_{2 r-1} & \text { if } i+j=r \geq 1 \\ F_{-2 r+1} & \text { if } i+j=r \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

where we number the Fibonacci numbers as:

| $F_{1}$ | $F_{2}$ | $F_{3}$ | $F_{4}$ | $F_{5}$ | $F_{6}$ | $F_{7}$ | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | $\cdots$ |

The following figure is a portion of this tiling. Note the bolded frontier of 1 's; it is an "infinite staircase".
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| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 5 | 13 | 34 | 89 | 233 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 5 | 13 | 34 | 89 |
| 5 | 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 5 | 13 | 34 |
| 13 | 5 | 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 5 | 13 |
| 34 | 13 | 5 | 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 5 |
| 89 | 34 | 13 | 5 | 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 |
| 233 | 89 | 34 | 13 | 5 | 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 610 | 233 | 89 | 34 | 13 | 5 | 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## 2. $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-Tilings in Higher Dimensions

Denote integer vectors by $\boldsymbol{i}=\left(i_{1} \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ and by $\boldsymbol{e}_{k}$ the $k$-th unit vector. A signature matrix is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}=\left(\epsilon_{k \ell}\right)$ with $\epsilon_{k \ell}= \pm 1$ whenever $k \neq \ell$ and $\epsilon_{k k}=-1$.

Definition 5. Fix a signature matrix $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. An array $\left(a_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)_{\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}$ with $a_{\boldsymbol{i}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is called an $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}-\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ if for each $k \neq \ell$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i+\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell}} a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{k}}-a_{\boldsymbol{i}} a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{k}+\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell}}=\epsilon_{k \ell} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The requirement on the diagonal entries of signature matrices might seem arbitrary right now because they do not play any role in the above definition; we will see later on that it is indeed a consistent choice.

The situation is now different than the $n=2$ case, all the $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ - $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tilings are not necessarily equivalent, however there do remain relations among them.
Lemma 6. Let $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}=\left(\epsilon_{k \ell}\right)$ be any signature matrix and write $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(r)}$ for the matrix obtained from $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ by changing the sign of all the entries in row $r$ and column $r$, leaving the diagonal entries fixed. That is, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(r)}=\left(\epsilon_{k \ell}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\epsilon_{k \ell}^{\prime}=-\epsilon_{k \ell}$ if exactly one of $k$ and $\ell$ equals $r$ and $\epsilon_{k \ell}^{\prime}=\epsilon_{k \ell}$ otherwise. If $\left(a_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)_{\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}$ is an $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}-\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling, then taking $b_{\boldsymbol{i}}=a_{\boldsymbol{i}-2 i_{r} \boldsymbol{e}_{r}}$ gives an $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(r)}-\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling.

Definition 7. If $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is a signature matrix such that $\epsilon_{k \ell}=1$ (resp. $\epsilon_{k \ell}=-1$ ) whenever $k \neq \ell$, we refer to an $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$-SL $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling as an $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling (resp. anti- $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling) of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Lemma 8. Let $n \geq 3$ and assume $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}$ is either an $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling or an anti-SL ${ }_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Then for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ the set $\left\{a_{i}: \sum_{j=1}^{n} i_{j}=r\right\}$ consists of a single element.

Proof. Pick any three distinct indices $j, k, \ell \in[1, n]$. To prove our claim we compute $a_{i+\boldsymbol{e}_{j}+\boldsymbol{e}_{k}+\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell}}$ in terms of $a_{\boldsymbol{i}}, a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{j}}, a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{k}}, a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell}}$ in three different ways. For simplicity of notation we set:

$$
\epsilon_{j k}=\epsilon_{j \ell}=\epsilon_{k \ell}=\epsilon, \quad a_{\boldsymbol{i}}=a, \quad a_{i+\boldsymbol{e}_{j}}=x, \quad a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{k}}=y, \quad a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell}}=z
$$

The following picture will be useful.


Using (3) three times we get

$$
a_{i+\boldsymbol{e}_{j}+\boldsymbol{e}_{k}}=\frac{x y-\epsilon}{a}, \quad a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{k}+\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell}}=\frac{y z-\epsilon}{a}, \quad a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{j}+\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell}}=\frac{x z-\epsilon}{a} .
$$

Then applying (3) three more times gives

$$
a_{i+\boldsymbol{e}_{j}+\boldsymbol{e}_{k}+\boldsymbol{e}_{\ell}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{a_{i+e_{j}+e_{k}} a_{i+e_{j}+e_{\ell}}-\epsilon}{a_{i+e_{j}}}=\frac{x y z}{a^{2}}-\epsilon \frac{y+z}{a^{2}}-\epsilon \frac{a^{2}-\epsilon}{a^{2} x} \\
\frac{a_{i+e_{j}}+e_{k} a_{i+e_{k}+e_{\ell}}-\epsilon}{a_{i+e_{k}}}=\frac{x y z}{a^{2}}-\epsilon \frac{x+z}{a^{2}}-\epsilon \frac{a^{2}-\epsilon}{a^{2} y} \\
\frac{a_{i+e_{j}+e_{\ell}} a_{i+e_{k}+e_{\ell}}-\epsilon}{a_{i+e_{\ell}}}=\frac{x y z}{a^{2}}-\epsilon \frac{x+y}{a^{2}}-\epsilon \frac{a^{2}-\epsilon}{a^{2} z}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows that $\frac{x-y}{a^{2}}=\frac{a^{2}-\epsilon}{a^{2} x}-\frac{a^{2}-\epsilon}{a^{2} y}$ or $\left(x y+a^{2}-\epsilon\right)(x-y)=0$. But $x y+a^{2}-\epsilon \geq 1$ since $a, x, y \geq 1$, hence $x=y$. Similarly $y=z$. The result then follows by iterating on all possible triples of distinct indices.

We now come to our first main result: in dimension $n$, an "infinite staircase" of 1's yields the only possible anti- $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling.
Theorem 9. For $n \geq 3$, there exists a unique (up to translation) anti- $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Any of its "two dimensional slices" obtained by fixing all but two of the coordinates of $\boldsymbol{i}$ is a translation of the staircase anti-SL $2_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ from Example 4. In particular, all the integers appearing are odd Fibonacci numbers.
Proof. Assume $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}$ is a anti-SL ${ }_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Pick $\boldsymbol{i}$ with $a_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ minimal. Applying (3) gives

$$
a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{1}} a_{\boldsymbol{i}-\boldsymbol{e}_{2}}=a_{\boldsymbol{i}} a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{1}-\boldsymbol{e}_{2}}+1=a_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{2}+1
$$

where we applied Lemma 8 in the last equality. If $a_{\boldsymbol{i}}>1$, this implies $a_{i+\boldsymbol{e}_{1}}<a_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ or $a_{\boldsymbol{i}-\boldsymbol{e}_{2}}<a_{\boldsymbol{i}}$, contradicting minimality, so we must have $a_{i}=1$. In turn, again leveraging Lemma 8 , this implies $\left\{a_{i+\boldsymbol{e}_{k}}, a_{i-\boldsymbol{e}_{k}}\right\}=\{1,2\}$. Without loss of generality we will assume $a_{i+e_{k}}=2$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} i_{j}=1$. Then applying (3) repeatedly shows that $a_{i^{\prime}}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{n} i_{j}^{\prime}=r \geq 1$ is exactly the $r^{t h}$ odd Fibonacci number $F_{2 r-1}$ (see Example 4). Similarly one sees that $a_{i^{\prime}}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{n} i_{j}^{\prime}=r \leq 0$ is the odd Fibonacci number $F_{-2 r+1}$.
Proposition 10. There does not exist any $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ for $n \geq 3$.
Proof. It suffices to show that there is no $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$. Assume $\left(a_{i}\right)_{\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}}$ is an $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$. Pick $\boldsymbol{i}$ with $a_{i}$ minimal. Applying (3) gives

$$
a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{1}} a_{\boldsymbol{i}-\boldsymbol{e}_{2}}=a_{\boldsymbol{i}} a_{\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{e}_{1}-\boldsymbol{e}_{2}}-1=a_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{2}-1
$$

where we applied Lemma 8 in the last equality. But this implies $a_{i+\boldsymbol{e}_{1}}<a_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ or $a_{\boldsymbol{i}-\boldsymbol{e}_{2}}<a_{\boldsymbol{i}}$, contradicting minimality.
Corollary 11. For $n=3$, there are precisely 4 signature matrices $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ for which there exists an $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ - $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling. For such $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, this $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ - $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling is unique (up to translation). More precisely, an $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}-\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling exists if and only if $\epsilon_{12} \epsilon_{13} \epsilon_{23}=-1$.

Proof. The claim follows immediately from the observation that any signature matrix for $n=3$ is either one of the two satisfying $\epsilon_{12}=\epsilon_{13}=\epsilon_{23}$ or is obtained from one of these with a single application of Lemma 6

We are finally ready to classify all $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ - $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tilings for any $n \geq 3$.
Theorem 12. For $n \geq 3$, there are precisely $2^{n-1}$ signature matrices $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ for which there exists an $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ - $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. They are precisely the signature matrices obtainable from the anti- $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-signature matrix by repeated application of Lemma 6. Whenever an $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ - $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling exists, it is unique up to translation.

Proof. Let $\left(a_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)_{\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}$ be an $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$-SL ${ }_{2}$-tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Fixing all but any three distinct entries of $\boldsymbol{i}$ gives a tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$. Therefore, it follows from Corollary 11 that we have an inclusion $E \subset E^{\prime}$, where $E$ is the set of $n \times n$ signature matrices $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ which admit an $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$-SL ${ }_{2}$-tiling, and $E^{\prime}$ is the set of $n \times n$ signature matrices $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ satisfying $\epsilon_{j k} \epsilon_{k \ell} \epsilon_{j \ell}=-1$ for any triple of distinct indices $j, k, \ell$.

Any row (or equivalently any column) of a matrix $\epsilon$ in $E^{\prime}$ determines uniquely all the remaining entries of $\epsilon$, therefore $E^{\prime}$ is in bijection with $\{ \pm 1\}^{n-1}$ and $\# E^{\prime}=2^{n-1}$.

Using Lemma 6, there is an action of $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$ on $E$ given by $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(r)}$ for $1 \leq r \leq n-1$. This action is free; indeed the only element of $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$ leaving invariant the last column of any given matrix of $E$ is the identity. Thanks to Theorem $9 E$ is not empty and so we compute $\# E \geq 2^{n-1}=\# E^{\prime} \geq \# E$ and deduce that $E=E^{\prime}$.

The uniqueness claim also follows immediately from Corollary 11 by fixing all but any three distinct entries of $\boldsymbol{i}$.

Note that the claim of Theorem 12 could be rephrased by saying that, up to fixing the origin and choosing the orientation of each of the coordinate axes, there is a unique tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ for $n \geq 3$.
Remark 13. It is now clear why we choose the diagonal entries of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ to be equal to -1 : any $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}-\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-tiling consists of odd Fibonacci numbers and (3) is satisfied also for $k=\ell$.
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