

Highly Stable $[\text{C}_{60}\text{AuC}_{60}]^{+/-}$ Dumbbells

Marcelo Goulart,¹ Martin Kuhn,¹ Paul Martini,¹ Lei Chen,¹ Frank Hagelberg,² Alexander Kaiser,¹ Paul Scheier^{1,*} and Andrew M. Ellis^{3,*}

¹ Institut für Ionenphysik und Angewandte Physik, Universität Innsbruck, Technikerstr. 25, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, TN 37614, USA


³ Department of Chemistry, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK

Email: paul.scheier@uibk.ac.at; andrew.ellis@le.ac.uk

Manuscript submitted to *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*

Abstract

Ionic complexes between gold and C_{60} have been observed for the first time. Cations and anions of the type $[Au(C_{60})_2]^{+/-}$ are shown to have particular stability. Calculations suggest that these ions adopt a C_{60} -



Au- C_{60} sandwich-like (dumbbell) structure which is reminiscent of $[XAuX]^{+/-}$ ions previously observed for much smaller ligands. The $[Au(C_{60})_2]^{+/-}$ ions can be regarded as Au(I) complexes, regardless of whether the net charge is positive or negative, but in both cases the charge transfer between the Au and C_{60} is incomplete, most likely because of a covalent contribution to the Au- C_{60} binding. The C_{60} -Au- C_{60} dumbbell structure represents a new architecture in fullerene chemistry which might be replicable in synthetic nanostructures.

The interaction of gold with molecules provides a vivid illustration of the impact of relativity on chemistry.¹ The high nuclear charge in a gold atom induces far stronger relativistic effects than in the lighter coinage metals, copper and silver. One consequence is that the energy of the 6s orbital is lowered by relativity whereas that of the 5d orbital is raised. This brings these orbitals closer together energetically and leads to enhanced sd hybridization, which in turn enhances the ability of gold atoms to undergo covalent bonding.² This gives gold some unique and important chemical properties. Among these are the role of its compounds, particularly Au(I) complexes, in homogeneous catalysis^{3,4} and as building blocks in supramolecular chemistry.⁵

In the +1 oxidation state Au atoms normally show a coordination number of two in both cations and anions and the resulting $[\text{AuX}_2]^{+/-}$ complexes adopt linear $[\text{X-Au-X}]^{+/-}$ structures, where X is a ligand. A particularly interesting cation is $[\text{XeAuXe}]^+$, which is remarkably stable given that it consists of a combination of a noble metal and a noble gas.^{6,7} The individual Au-Xe binding energies in this ion are close to 1 eV and are thought to arise from a combination of ionic bonding and a significant covalent effect.⁷ The best known example of an anionic $[\text{X-Au-X}]^-$ complex is $[\text{Au}(\text{CN})_2]^-$, which is the most stable Au(I) complex currently known.^{8,9} Here too, a combination of ionic and covalent contributions are thought to be responsible for the strong binding.

The interaction of gold with fullerenes, and particularly C_{60} , has been the topic of a number of studies. The main interest has been the electronic properties derived from the metal-fullerene contact and their implication for the development of new nanoscale devices.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ Chemical interactions between single gold atoms and C_{60} molecules have received far less attention and have been restricted to an infrared spectroscopic investigation of the AuC_{60} complex¹⁵ along with a few theoretical studies.^{16,17} However, nothing is known about ionic

complexes involving Au and C₆₀ and whether this combination shows any notable chemical features.

Here we report the first experimental observation of cationic and anionic complexes containing Au and C₆₀. The complexes were made by combining Au atoms and C₆₀ molecules inside liquid helium nanodroplets. The doped droplets were then exposed to electrons, which yielded ions in the gas phase that can be detected by mass spectrometry. Low energy electrons (22 eV) were used to create anions and higher energy electrons (75 eV) generated cations.




Figure 1. Mass spectrum of $[\text{Au}_n(\text{C}_{60})_m]^-$ anions. Note that only one bare Au_n^- ion, Au_3^- , is visible in this image. Note also that for the series of peaks identified as coming from $[\text{Au}_n\text{C}_{60}]^-$, $[\text{Au}_n(\text{C}_{60})_2]^-$ and $[\text{Au}_n(\text{C}_{60})_3]^-$, each series begins at $n = 1$.

Figure 1 shows the mass spectrum observed for anions. We can identify series of ions of the type $[\text{Au}_n(\text{C}_{60})_m]^-$, where n and m are small integers. However, instead of a smooth distribution of ion sizes, these series are punctuated by anions with abnormally high abundances, so-called magic number ions.¹⁸ For the $[\text{Au}_n\text{C}_{60}]^-$ series the peak from the

$[\text{Au}_2\text{C}_{60}]^-$ ion is particularly intense: this ion has roughly twice the abundance of $[\text{AuC}_{60}]^-$ while the $[\text{Au}_3\text{C}_{60}]^-$ ion is almost entirely absent. This suggests that $[\text{Au}_2\text{C}_{60}]^-$ has a particularly high stability which makes it resistant to dissociation and therefore enhances its abundance. Even more extreme behaviour is seen for the $m = 2$ series of $[\text{Au}_n(\text{C}_{60})_m]^-$, where $[\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_2]^-$ almost completely dominates. There is a similar dominance for $[\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_3]^-$ in the $m = 3$ series.




Figure 2. Mass spectrum of $[\text{Au}_n(\text{C}_{60})_m]^+$ cations. For the series of peaks identified as coming from $[\text{Au}_n\text{C}_{60}]^+$, $[\text{Au}_n(\text{C}_{60})_2]^+$ and $[\text{Au}_n(\text{C}_{60})_3]^+$, note that each series begins at $n = 1$.

We can also identify anomalous intensity behaviour for the cations, as can be seen in Figure 2. In the $[\text{Au}_n\text{C}_{60}]^+$ series the $[\text{Au}_2\text{C}_{60}]^+$ ions have an abnormally low abundance, so show anti-magic behaviour. Similar to the anions, although not quite so extreme, the $[\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_2]^+$ ion is dominant in the $[\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_m]^+$ series. We can therefore conclude that $[\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_2]^{+/-}$ ions are particularly stable ions regardless of charge state.

Table 1. Calculated dissociation energies of $[\text{Au}_n(\text{C}_{60})_m]^{+/-}$ ions

Complex	Dissociation products ^{a)}	Dissociation energy/eV
$[\text{AuC}_{60}]^+$	$\text{Au} + \text{C}_{60}^+$	1.38
$[\text{Au}_2\text{C}_{60}]^+$	$\text{Au}_2 + \text{C}_{60}^+$	0.79
$[\text{Au}_3\text{C}_{60}]^+$	$\text{Au}_3^+ + \text{C}_{60}$	1.72
$[\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_2]^+$	$\text{AuC}_{60} + \text{C}_{60}^+$	1.47 ^{b)}
$[\text{Au}_2(\text{C}_{60})_2]^+$	$\text{Au}_2\text{C}_{60} + \text{C}_{60}^+$	1.05 ^{b)}
$[\text{AuC}_{60}]^-$	$\text{Au} + \text{C}_{60}^-$	0.83
$[\text{Au}_2\text{C}_{60}]^-$	$\text{Au}_2 + \text{C}_{60}^-$	0.98
$[\text{Au}_3\text{C}_{60}]^-$	$\text{Au}_3^- + \text{C}_{60}$	0.49
$[\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_2]^-$	$\text{AuC}_{60} + \text{C}_{60}^-$	0.83
$[\text{Au}_2(\text{C}_{60})_2]^-$	$\text{Au}_2\text{C}_{60} + \text{C}_{60}^-$	0.51 ^{b)}

^{a)} Other dissociation products are possible (see Supplementary Information) but those listed in this column are the calculated lowest energy dissociation channels.

^{b)} Optimized structures with a few low-lying imaginary frequencies. In these cases, zero-point corrections were not taken into account.

To try and explain these findings, we have performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations, as detailed in the supplementary information. These calculations have been used to predict dissociation energies of the ions, which are shown in Table 1. Where there is more than one possible dissociation channel, only the dissociation energy for the lowest energy channel is shown. The calculations have been restricted to complexes with a maximum of two C_{60} molecules in order to keep the calculations affordable. We find good agreement between the theoretical predictions and our experimental findings. For example, the energy needed to remove one C_{60} molecule from $[\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_2]^-$ is considerably higher than for $[\text{Au}_2(\text{C}_{60})_2]^-$, which is consistent with the magic character of the former when compared with the latter. Likewise, the enhanced abundance of $[\text{Au}_2\text{C}_{60}]^-$ relative to $[\text{AuC}_{60}]^-$ is explicable in terms of the

calculated dissociation energies. In the case of cations the $[\text{Au}_2\text{C}_{60}]^+$ ion has a far lower dissociation energy than either $[\text{AuC}_{60}]^+$ or $[\text{Au}_3\text{C}_{60}]^+$, explaining the weak $[\text{Au}_2\text{C}_{60}]^+$ peak in the mass spectrum. Given this good agreement between theory and experiment, we feel that the current calculations capture the essence of the Au- C_{60} interactions.




Figure 3. Calculated lowest energy structure of $[\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_2]^+$.

The mass spectra clearly demonstrate new ion chemistry resulting from the interaction between Au and C_{60} . One is particularly drawn to the strongly magic character associated with the $[\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_2]^{+/-}$ ions and it is tempting to draw parallels with other well-known $[\text{AuX}_2]^{+/-}$ ions.^{6-9,19} The DFT calculations predict that the two C_{60} molecules are located on opposite sides of the Au atom in a dumbbell-like arrangement, as illustrated pictorially in Figure 3. The Mulliken charges on the Au atom are +0.59 and +0.38 for the cation and anion, respectively, *i.e.* in both cases the metal centre is positively charged. The ionization energy of C_{60} is considerably lower than that of Au and so one might expect the majority of the positive charge in the cation to reside on the C_{60} molecules. The fact that this is quite different from the

Mulliken prediction suggests a significant covalent component to the bonding, as posited previously for other $[\text{AuX}_2]^+$ ions.^{6,7}




Figure 4. (a) Calculated charge density difference map for $[\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_2]^+$ fixed at its equilibrium geometry. This image shows the isosurface (isovalue 0.002608 e/a_0^3) of the charge density difference $\rho([\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_2]^+) - \rho((\text{C}_{60})_2^+) - \rho(\text{Au})$. Blue regions indicate charge accumulation and red regions indicate charge depletion. (b) Contour map of the electron localization function (ELF) in the region close to the Au atom, as calculated with the multiwfn utility programme.²⁰ The dumbbell is vertically oriented in this plot and the plane cuts through the gold atom of $[\text{Au}(\text{C}_{60})_2]^+$ and four neighboring C atoms. The white arrows point to zones between the Au atom and the nearest C atoms in each C_{60} molecule where the $\text{ELF} \approx 0.75$.

Likewise, the higher electron affinity of C_{60} (2.68 eV)²¹ when compared with that of a gold atom (2.31 eV)²² should draw much of the excess negative charge in $[Au(C_{60})_2]^-$ towards the C_{60} molecules. However, the Mulliken analysis suggests that this anion is some way from being described as $C_{60}^{-0.5}AuC_{60}^{-0.5}$: further charge transfer from the Au occurs, creating an electron deficient Au atom. The calculated charge on the Au atom is similar to that calculated for anions such as $[Au(CN)_2]^-$ and $[AuCl_2]^-$, where substantial contributions from both ionic and covalent bonding have been found.^{8,9} It is likely that the binding in $[Au(C_{60})_2]^-$ is of a similar mixed composition.

To explore this further we show in Fig 4(a) a calculated charge density difference map obtained at the optimized structure for $[Au(C_{60})_2]^+$. This image indicates that there is a small amount of charge accumulation in the form of two small blue lobes between the closest C and Au atoms. Figure 4(b) shows a contour plot of the electron localization function (ELF)²³ near to the Au atom. The ELF between adjacent carbon atoms has a value close to 1.0, showing (as expected) that these are strongly covalent bonds. An arc (indicated by white arrows) with $ELF \approx 0.75$ lies between the Au atom and the closest C atom in each C_{60} molecule, which indicates some covalent bonding. Very similar conclusions can be drawn for the anion. We note that the calculated distance between the Au atom and the nearest carbon atom in the $[Au(C_{60})_2]^-$ anion is 2.216 Å, which is significantly longer than the Au-C distances reported for $[Au(CN)_2]^-$ (1.99 Å)⁸ and $[AuC_2]^-$ ((1.95 Å)²⁴.

The discovery of $[C_{60}AuC_{60}]^{+/-}$ ions with stable dumbbell structures in the gas phase suggests the possibility that these complexes, and particularly the anion, might be synthesized in conventional wet chemistry. Such ions are new to chemistry and may prove useful tools in both gold and fullerene chemistry. Although not included in the current study, we flag the possibility that the corresponding neutral dumbbell species may also show particular stability.

The applications of complexes built from these components are not clear at this juncture but possibilities may exist in the development of new supramolecular architectures involving fullerenes. Potential also exists in molecular electronics given the ongoing interest in gold-fullerene contacts in nanoelectronics.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ We therefore hope that the current study prompts a search for the C₆₀AuC₆₀ dumbbell moiety, both in solution chemistry and in the solid state.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF (projects P26635, M1908, and P28979-N27) and the European Commission (ELEvaTE H2020 Twinning Project).

Supporting Information

Computational methodology employed; calculated ionization energies and electron affinities; calculated dissociation energies for various ions.

References

1. Pyykö, P. Theoretical Chemistry of Gold, *Angew. Chemie. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 4412-4456.
2. Wang, L. –S. Covalent gold, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *12*, 8694-8705.
3. Teles, J. H.; Brode, S.; Chabanas, M. Cationic Gold(I) Complexes: Highly Efficient Catalysts for the Addition of Alcohols to Alkynes, *Angew. Chemie. Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 1415-1418.
4. Gorin, D. J.; Toste, F. D. Relativistic effects in homogeneous gold catalysis, *Nature* **2007**, *446*, 395-403.
5. Hunks, W. J.; Jennings, M. C.; Puddephatt, R. J. Supramolecular Gold(I) Thiobarbiturate Chemistry: Combining Auophilicity and Hydrogen Bonding to Make Polymers, Sheets, and Networks, *Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, *41*, 4590-4598.
6. Pyykö, P. Predicted Chemical Bonds between Rare Gases and Au⁺, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 2067-2070.
7. Xin-Ying, L.; Xue, C. *Ab initio* study of MXe_n⁺ (M=Cu, Ag, and Au; n=1,2), *Phys. Rev. A* **2008**, *77*, 022508.
8. Wang, X. –B.; Wang, Y. –L.; Yang, J.; Xing, X. –P.; Li, J.; Wang, L. –S. Evidence of Significant Covalent Bonding in Au(CN)₂[–], *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 16368-16370.
9. Xiong, X. –G.; Wang, Y. –L.; Xu, C. –Q.; Qiu, Y. –H.; Wang, L. –S.; Li, J. On the gold–ligand covalency in linear [AuX₂][–] complexes, *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, *44*, 5535-5546.
10. Joachim, C.; Gimzewski, J. K.; Schlittler, R. R.; Chavy, C. Electronic Transparence of a Single C₆₀ Molecule, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1995**, *74*, 2102-2105.

11. Park, H.; Park, J.; Lim, A. K.; Anderson, E. H.; Alivisatos, A. P.; McEuen, P. L. Nanomechanical oscillations in a single-C₆₀ transistor, *Nature* **2000**, *407*, 57-60.
12. Parks, J. J.; Champagne, A. R.; Hutchison, G. R.; Flores-Torres, S.; Abruña, H. D.; Ralph D. C. Tuning the Kondo Effect with a Mechanically Controllable Break Junction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2007**, *99*, 026601.
13. Lee, S. K.; Malen, J. A.; Majumdar, A.; Segalman R. A. Thermoelectricity in Fullerene–Metal Heterojunctions, *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11*, 4089-4094.
14. Bilan, S.; Zotti, L. A.; Pauly, F.; Cuevas, J. C. Theoretical study of the charge transport through C₆₀-based single-molecule junctions, *Phys. Rev. B* **2012**, *85*, 205403.
15. Lyon, J. T.; Andrews, L. Infrared Spectrum of the Au–C₆₀ Complex, *ChemPhysChem* **2005**, *6*, 229-232.
16. Shukla, M. K.; Dubey, M.; Leszczynski, J. Theoretical investigation of electronic structures and properties of C₆₀-gold nanocontacts, *ACS Nano* **2008**, *2*, 227-234.
17. Zeng, Q.; Chu, X.; Yang, M.; Wu, D. –Y. Spin–orbit coupling effect on Au–C₆₀ interaction: A density functional theory study, *Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *395*, 82-86.
18. Soler, J. M.; Sáenz, J. J.; García, N.; Echt, O. The effect of ionization on magic numbers of rare-gas clusters, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1984**, *109*, 71-75.
19. Schröder, D.; Brown, R.; Schwerdtfeger, P.; Wang, X. B.; Yang, X.; Wang, L. S.; Schwarz, H. Gold Dichloride and Gold Dibromide with Gold Atoms in Three Different Oxidation States, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 311-314.
20. Lu, T.; Chen, F. Multiwfn: A multifunctional wavefunction analyzer, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2012**, *33*, 580-592.
21. Huang, D. L.; Dau, P. D.; Liu, H. –T.; Wang, L. S. High-resolution photoelectron imaging of cold C₆₀[–] anions and accurate determination of the electron affinity of C₆₀, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *140*, 224315.

22. Wu, X.; Qin, Z. B.; Xie, H.; Cong, R.; Wu, X. H.; Tang, Z. C.; Fan, H. J. Photoelectron Imaging and Theoretical Studies of Group 11 Cyanides MCN (M = Cu, Ag, Au), *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2010**, *114*, 12839-12844.
23. Silvi, B.; Savin, A. Classification of chemical bonds based on topological analysis of electron localization functions, *Nature* **1994**, *371*, 683-686.
24. León, I; Yang, Z.; Wang, L. -S. Probing the electronic structure and Au-C chemical bonding in AuC_2^- and AuC_2 using high-resolution photoelectron spectroscopy, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *140*, 084303.