YAMTL Solution to the TTC 2019 TT2BDD Case

Artur Boronat School of Informatics, University of Leicester, UK artur.boronat@le.ac.uk

1 Introduction

In this paper, we present a solution for the TTC'19 TT2BDD case [GDH19], where a set of boolean functions represented in a truth table with several input ports and several output ports is to be represented using binary decision diagrams (BDDs) [Bry86]. Our solution¹ is implemented using YAMTL [Bor18], an internal DSL of Xtend² that enables the use of model-to-model transformation within JVM programs, and produces a target model containing one reduced ordered BDD (ROBDD) [Bry86, Bry92] per boolean function³, whose signature is given by the input ports and by one output port in the source truth table. In the rest of the paper, we discuss relevant aspects of our solution and we evaluate it according to the criteria proposed in the case.

2 Solution

The YAMTL solution is implemented with a model transformation that is executed in two stages, as illustrated in Fig. 1. In the first stage, the truth table is represented as a set of boolean expressions in disjunctive normal form (DNF), one per output port. In the second stage, the target model is built and a ROBDD is build from each of the boolean expressions.



Figure 1: Outline of Solution

In the following subsections, the representation of boolean expressions used in the solution is presented. Then the two stages of the transformation are explained.

Copyright held by the author.

In: A. Garcia-Dominguez, G. Hinkel and F. Krikava (eds.): Proceedings of the 12th Transformation Tool Contest, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, 19-07-2019, published at http://ceur-ws.org

¹Available at https://github.com/arturboronat/ttc2019-tt2bdd/tree/master/solutions/EMFSolutionYAMTL/

 $^{^{2}}$ In the rest of the paper, some familiarity with Xtend is assumed. In particular, no effort has been made at representing its dialect for handling collections as pseudocode.

³The upper bound of the reference BDD::root in the graph BDD metamodel (BBDv2.ecore) has been set to * to enable this.

2.1 Boolean Expressions and their Reduction

Boolean expressions are represented in disjunctive normal form (DNF), i.e., as a disjunction of conjunctive clauses. Each conjunctive clause is represented using a list of integers, where the index of a member identifies the input port and where the value 0 represents a negated port \overline{A} , and the value 1 represents a port. In the source truth table, a row that does not include any assignment for a port represents a set of rows, corresponding to rows containing all possible assignments for such port. This fact has been accounted for by including tautologies of the form $A + \overline{A}$ in conjunctive clauses, which are represented with the value 2, and the actual conjunctive clauses can be obtained using the + distributive law. For example, the first row in the truth table of Fig. 1 is captured by the formula $\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}$, which is represented as [0,0,2,2]. For each output port, a boolean expression is constructed as a disjunction of conjunctive clauses. The example in Fig. 1 shows the boolean expression obtained for the given truth table, where the expression $\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}$ is not included. dnfMap represents a global map of boolean expressions, indexed by output ports.

An important step in the construction of BDD graphs used in our solution consists in computing a successor after replacing a port with either 0 or 1. The reduction step, implemented in the listing below, involves replacing each port in a clause for its value with a subsequent cancellation of clauses that become invalid (line 3), when the port is negated \overline{A} and the value is **true** or when the port A is not negated and the value is **false**. Cancellation is performed by removing the corresponding clause from the list (line 3). When a tautology of the form $A + \overline{A}$ is present in a clause, representing several clauses, then a conjunctive formula is cancelled (line 4). For example, if the value is **false**, then the formula with \overline{A} would be preserved. When an expression **dnf** is reduced for all of its input ports, then each remaining element in the list **dnf** represents a list of assignments for input ports that satisfies a clause, thereby denoting that the expression **dnf** is valid. If no elements are left, then the boolean expression is not valid for the list of assignments used in reduction steps.

```
1 def reduce(List<List<Integer>> dnf, Port x, Integer value) {
2  val i = x.owner.ports.indexOf(x)
3  dnf.removeIf([r | r.get(i) != value])
4  dnf.filter[r | r.get(i)==2].forEach[r | r.set(i, value.complement)]
5  dnf
6 }
```

2.2 Stage 1: Obtaining Boolean Expressions in DNF

In the first stage, the rows of the source truth table are processed with a high priority rule Row, which builds a conjunctive clause from the values of the input ports in the row and, for each output port, completes the corresponding DNF expression in dnfMap if it is valid.

In YAMTL, a rule consists of an in pattern matching elements of the source model, which may contain filters, and of an out pattern, creating elements in the target model. Rule Row applies to rows in the truth table and creates an assignment in the target model. This rule does not have any side effect in the target model because it is declared as transient but it does initialize the dnfMap containing a DNF boolean expression per output port, as explained in the previous section. The YAMTL operator fetch at the start of the out element action (line 3) retrieves the value of the matched object r.

```
1 new Rule('Row').priority(1).transient // input cells are assumed to appear before output cells
    .in('r', TT.row).build()
.out('a', BDD.assignment, [ val r = 'r'.fetch as Row
       val portList = new ArrayList<ttc2019.metamodels.tt.Port>(r.owner.ports)
val oPortList = portList.filter[it instanceof ttc2019.metamodels.tt.OutputPort]
.map[it as ttc2019.metamodels.tt.OutputPort]
6
       if (dnfMap.empty) // initialize list of boolean expressions, one per output port
          or (oPort: oPortList) dnfMap.put(oPort,
                                                         newArrayList)
       val List<Integer> clause = newArrayList
9
       for (c: r.cells) // each row yields a dnf formula
         if (c.port != portList.head) { // a port is not set in the row
           clause.add(2) // considered as a tautology: c.port or not(c.port)
12
         } else {
           portList.remove(c.port)
14
            if (c.port instanceof ttc2019.metamodels.tt.InputPort)
              clause.add(c.value.toInt) // false.toInt=0, true.toInt=1
            else // when the dnf is valid, it is added to the corresponding expression
              if (c.value) dnfMap.get(c.port).add(clause)
18
         }
19
```

```
20 ]).build()/* for out element */.build()/* for rule */
```

2.3 Stage 2: Building ROBDDs

In the second stage, the BDD model with ports is created with the rules TableToBDD, InputPort and OutputPort, which are not transient. The class AuxStruct declares three auxiliary data structures: nodeIndex, defined for indexing nodes in ROBDDs; nodeMap for obtaining the index of a given ROBDD node; treeMap for caching nodes by the name of the input variable and by the indexes of the zero and one successor nodes, zeroIndex and oneIndex resp., in order to avoid duplication.

The rule TableToBDD builds the root object of the model and creates input and output ports in the BDD model via the rules InputPort and OutputPort respectively. The YAMTL operator fetch at the start of the out element action (line 4) retrieves the value of the matched truth table tt and of the created out BDD object bdd (and similarly for the other rules). The YAMTL operator fetch, in lines 5-6, resolves a list of references to elements in the source model by returning a list of references to elements in the target model, as they are transformed by other rules. In particular, YAMTL will automatically find that these references are resolved with the rule InputPort in line 5 and by the rule OutputPort in line 6. The rule TableToBDD also uses the helper build, which returns the root tree nodes of each ROBDD corresponding to each output port in the truth table.

```
1 new Rule('TableToBDD')
     .in('tt', TT.truthTable).build()
.out('bdd', BDD.BDD, [
    val tt = 'tt'.fetch as TruthTable; val bdd = 'bdd'.fetch as BDD
2
3
       bdd.ports += tt.ports.fetch('bdd_p','InputPort') as List<InputPort>
bdd.ports += tt.ports.fetch('bdd_p','OutputPort') as List<OutputPort>
6
        bdd.root += dnfMap.build(bdd)
    1).build()
8
9.build().
11 new Rule('InputPort')
    .in('tt_p', TT.inputPort).build()
     .out('bdd_p', BDD.inputPort, [
   val tt_p = 'tt_p'.fetch as tt.InputPort; val bdd_p = 'bdd_p'.fetch as InputPort
13
14
       bdd_p.name = tt_p.name
    ]).build()
16
17 . build(),
19 new Rule('OutputPort')
20
     .in('tt_p', TT.outputPort).build()
     .out('bdd_p', BDD.outputPort, [
    val tt_p = 'tt_p'.fetch as tt.OutputPort; val bdd_p = 'bdd_p'.fetch as OutputPort
21
22
        bdd_p.name = tt_p.name
    ]).build()
24
25 . build()
```

For each boolean expression in dnfMap, the helper build initializes the auxiliary data structures in an AuxStruct instance and calls the helper build for that particular expression (entry.value) returning the root of the generated ROBDD, which is then added in the rootTreeList (line 6 below).

```
1 def build(Map<tt.OutputPort,List<List<Integer>>> dnfMap, BDD bdd) {
2 var List<Tree> rootTreeList = newArrayList
3 val iPortList = bdd.ports.filter[it instanceof InputPort].map[it as InputPort].toList
4 for (entry: dnfMap.entrySet) {
5 val oPort = bdd.ports.findFirst[it.name==entry.key.name] as OutputPort
6 rootTreeList.add(entry.value.build(iPortList, oPort, new AuxStruct))
7 }
8 rootTreeList
9 }
```

The helper build for a particular boolean expression dnf, in the listing below, takes the next input port from iPortList to be processed (line 2), and builds the ROBDD for the assignments 0 (in line 5) and 1 (in line 6) using the helper buildTree, which returns the root of the corresponding ROBDD and indexes the nodes of the ROBDD. When the indexes of the root nodes for both branches coincide, then the two branches are the same and no new node needs to be added (line 11-12). Otherwise, a new node is created and cached if it has not been indexed by input port name and indexes of successors (lines 16-19). When the node to be created has been indexed already (the triple in line 15 is not null), then the subtree has already been created and it does not need to be duplicated. The helper createSubtree instantiates the class Subtree and initializes its variable and children, indexing it in treeMap.

```
1 def build(List<List<Integer>> dnf, List<InputPort> iPortList, OutputPort oPort, AuxStruct aux) {
  val iPort = iPortList.head
    val portTail = iPortList.tail.toList
3
   // create successors
4
   var tree0 = dnf.clone().buildTree(iPort, portTail, oPort, 0, aux)
var tree1 = dnf.clone().buildTree(iPort, portTail, oPort, 1, aux)
5
6
   // create tree by adding root
    var Tree tree
    val zeroIndex = aux.nodeIndex.get(tree0)
9
    val oneIndex = aux.nodeIndex.get(tree1)
   if (zeroIndex == oneIndex) { // avoid redundant tests
11
12
      tree = tree0
   } _{\rm else} { // create tree if not yet indexed by port name, zero index and one index
13
      val triple = new ImmutableTriple(iPort.name, zeroIndex, oneIndex)
14
      tree = aux.treeMap.get(triple)
15
      if (tree === null) {
16
        tree = iPort.createSubtree(tree0, tree1, aux) // creates a subtree with root x and two children
17
18
        aux.treeMap.put(triple,tree)
     }
19
   }
20
21
    tree
22 }
```

The helper buildTree, in the listing below, reduces the boolean expression dnf by substituting value for the input port iPort (line 3), obtaining reducedDNF, and continues processing the next input port by calling build for reducedDNF (line 5). If the list of remaining input ports portTail is empty, the helper returns a zero leaf (line 8) when there are no assignments for the expression dnf, i.e. the list is empty meaning that the DNF is not satisfiable, and a one leaf (line 10) when there are assignments, i.e. the list dnf contains the assignments for the input ports for which the expression is valid. The createLeaf creates a Leaf instance, initializing its value and indexing the node.

```
1 def Tree buildTree(List<List<Integer>> dnf, Port iPort, List<InputPort> portTail, OutputPort oPort, Integer
       value, AuxStruct aux) {
    var Tree tree
2
   val reducedDNF = dnf.reduce(iPort, value) // applies substitution value/x and cancels invalid dnfs
3
   if (!portTail.empty) { // there are still more variables to be reduced
4
     tree = reducedDNF.build(portTail, oPort, aux)
5
6
   } else { // no variables left
     if (reducedDNF.empty) // no DNFs left in the expression: the result is 0 \,
7
       tree = oPort.createLeaf(0, aux) // helper that creates leaf node for oPort and indexes it at 0
8
      else // the result is 1
9
        tree = oPort.createLeaf(1, aux) // helper that creates leaf node for oPort and indexes it at 1
10
   }
11
12
   tree
13 }
```

3 Evaluation and Conclusions

Input Model		ATL (tree)				YAMTL			
input	output	size	init	load	run	size	init	load	run
4	1	32	256.9	118.8	457.6	16	247.0	110.5	59.8
4	2	70	253.0	124.5	594.5	30	245.4	126.1	66.9
8	2	1034	249.9	220.0	53.7''	168	245.1	252.8	136.2
10	2	4108	252.1	375.7	1330.8''	503	256.7	404.9	222.0
12	2					1489	242.5	914.1	397.5
14	4					9193	248.9	2.3''	1.1"
15	5					21592	244.5	4.3''	2.5''

Below we discuss the criteria proposed to evaluate the solution, which we conclude by highlighting the aspects of YAMTL that have been exploited in this solution.

Table 1: Model element cardinalities and execution times in ms. (unless stated " for s.)

3.1 Correctness and Completeness

As explained above, each output port in the final BDD model corresponds to the output of a boolean function, which is represented as a separate ROBDD. The correctness of our solution has been checked with a generalization of the proposed graph validator, which runs the validator for each of the ROBDDs that have been generated and that are available under BDD::root (with upper bound *).

The solution can be executed for all of the sample input truth table models provided, including up to 15 input ports and up to 5 output ports.

3.2 Performance

For input models, Table 1 shows the number of *input* ports and *output* ports. The table also shows the size of the resulting BDD model (object cardinality) and execution times for each of the phases (*init*ialization, *load*, *run*) both for the reference solution (tree metamodel) and for the YAMTL solution.

For the asymptotic analysis of our solution, we consider n input ports and m output ports in the source truth table. The algorithm in our solution is split in two parts. First, the truth table is linearly processed in order to build boolean expressions, which involves up to n^2 rows consisting of n input cells, that is $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$. Second, each boolean expression consisting of n input ports is simplified, once for each output port, that is $n \times m$. Simplification involves reducing each port in all clauses of a DNF expression. In case of a tautology, this involves making substitutions in n^2 clauses! Therefore, the worst time complexity of the second part is $\mathcal{O}(m \times n^3)$, and the worst time for the overall solution is $\mathcal{O}(n^3) + \mathcal{O}(m \times n^3)$. However, as shown in Fig. 1, tautologies are a rare case and we can assume that the second part will tend towards the best time complexity, which is $\mathcal{O}(m \times n)$, during the reduction of each boolean expression. Hence, average performance is increasingly dominated by the processing of the truth table in the first part as the number of input ports grow and, in practice, our solution tends to be linear in terms of the number of cells, corresponding to input ports, in the source truth table, that is $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

3.3 Optimality

Our BDD models correspond to lists ROBBDs, one per output port, where all paths through the graph respect the linear order of input ports, as defined in the input table, and all nodes are unique (no two distinct nodes have the same variable name and zero and one successors) and contain no redundant tests (no variable node has identical zero and one successors). The result is a compact representation of a boolean function, as can be observed in the size column of the solutions in Table 1.

3.4 Conclusion and Research Direction

The solution presented is correct and complete w.r.t. the evaluation criteria provided in the case. When comparing it with the reference ATL transformation (on the tree metamodel), in Table 1, the transformation shows good performance and produces smaller output models. Moreover, the solution presented showcases several features of YAMTL: interoperability with Java data structures via Xtend; application of rules in stages using priorities; declarative rules with side effects, one of which is transient.

References

- [Bor18] Artur Boronat. Expressive and efficient model transformation with an internal dsl of xtend. In *Proceedings of the 21th ACM/IEEE International Conference on MoDELS*, pages 78–88. ACM, 2018.
- [Bry86] Randal E. Bryant. Graph-based algorithms for boolean function manipulation. *IEEE Trans. Computers*, 35(8):677–691, 1986.
- [Bry92] Randal E. Bryant. Symbolic boolean manipulation with ordered binary-decision diagrams. ACM Comput. Surv., 24(3):293–318, 1992.
- [GDH19] Antonio García-Domínguez and Georg Hinkel. Truth Tables to Binary Decision Diagrams. In Antonio García-Domínguez, Georg Hinkel, and Filip Krikava, editors, Proceedings of the 12th Transformation Tool Contest, a part of the Software Technologies: Applications and Foundations (STAF 2019) federation of conferences, CEUR Workshop Proceedings. CEUR-WS.org, July 2019.